

There are, therefore, no pre-existing germs, or germs infinitely contained within each other. But there is an organic matter diffused through all animated nature, which is always active, always tending to form, to assimilate, and to produce beings similar to those which receive it. The species of animals and of vegetables, therefore, can never be exhausted: As long as individuals subsist, the different species will be constantly new; they are the same now that they were three thousand years ago: The whole will perpetually exist by their own powers, unless they be annihilated by the will of their Creator.

THE

## NATURAL HISTORY

OF

M A N.

## S E C T. I.

*Of the Nature of Man.*

**T**HOUGH man be much interested in obtaining a knowledge of himself, yet I suspect that he is better acquainted with every other object. Endowed by Nature with organs destined solely for our own preservation, we employ them for the reception of external impressions only. Anxious to expand our external existence beyond the limits of our powers, and to multiply the functions of our senses, we seldom employ that internal sense which reduces us to our true dimensions, and distinguishes us from every other being. If, however, we are

desirous of knowing ourselves, we must cultivate this sense, by which alone we are enabled to form a dispassionate judgment concerning our nature and condition. But how shall we give to this sense its full extent and activity? How shall we emancipate the soul, in which it resides, from all the illusions of fancy? We have lost the habit of employing this sense; its activity is repressed by the tumult of corporeal sensations, and parched with the heat of our passions; the heart, the imagination, the senses, all conspire to annihilate its exertions. Unchangeable, however, in its nature, and invulnerable by its essence, it continues always the same. Its splendour may be obscured, without losing its force; it may enlighten us less, but it guides us with certainty. Let us collect those rays which it still emits, and the darkness which surrounds us will diminish; and, though the path should not be equally illuminated from one end to the other, we shall at least have a torch to prevent us from wandering.

The first and most difficult step, in arriving at a proper knowledge of ourselves, is to acquire distinct ideas of the two substances of which we are composed. Simply to affirm, that the one is immaterial, unextended, and immortal, and that the other is material, extended, and mortal, is only denying those qualities to the one, which we know the other possesses. What real knowledge can be acquired from this mode of negation?

negation? Such negative expressions can communicate no positive idea. But, to say that we are certain of the existence of the former, and less assured of that of the latter; that the substance of the one is simple, indivisible, and has no form, because it manifests itself only by a single modification, which is that of thought; that the other is less a substance, than a subject capable of receiving species of forms relative to our senses, which are all as uncertain and as variable as the organs themselves, is advancing one step towards a distinct idea of the nature of the two substances: It is ascribing to both different and peculiar properties; it assigns to them positive qualities, and enables us to institute a comparison between them.

All our knowledge is ultimately derived from comparison. What is absolutely incomparable, must be incomprehensible. Of this God is the only example: He cannot be comprehended, because he can be compared with no other being. But every thing which is susceptible of being compared, and of being relatively viewed in different lights, becomes a source of human knowledge. The more subjects of comparison which any object affords, the means of forming a proper knowledge concerning it are proportionally increased and facilitated.

The existence of the soul is self-evident: To be, and to think, are, with regard to us, the same thing. This truth is more than intuitive: It is

independent of the senses, of the imagination, of the memory, and of all our other relative faculties. But the existence of our bodies, and of external objects, is doubtful to every unprejudiced reasoner; for that extension in length, breadth, and thickness, which we call our bodies, and which seem to be so intimately connected with us, is nothing more than a relation of our senses; and the organs of sensation themselves are only certain affinities with the objects which affect them. Has the internal sense, the mind, any thing common or similar to these organs? Have the sensations produced by light or sound any resemblance to that subtle fluid which excites the idea of light, or to the vibration of the air which conveys to us the notion of sound? These effects result solely from the necessary and intimate relation that subsists between the eyes and ears and the different matters which act upon them. But, as we have demonstrated, that there is no resemblance between sensations and the objects which produce them, is not this a sufficient proof that the nature of the soul is different from that of matter?

We may, therefore, consider it as an established point, that internal sensation is totally different from its cause; and we have already shown, that, if external objects exist, they must be very different from the ideas we form of them; because sensation has not the most distant resemblance to the objects by which it is excited.

May

May we not hence conclude, that the causes of our sensations necessarily differ from our notions concerning them? That extension which we perceive by the eye, that impenetrability of which we acquire the idea by touching, and all the other constituent properties of matter, may have no existence; since our internal sensations of extension, impenetrability, &c. are neither extended nor impenetrable, and possess nothing in common with these qualities.

As the mind, during sleep, is affected with sensations which are often different from those excited by the actual presence of the objects, is it not natural to think, that the presence of objects is not necessary to the existence of our sensations, and, consequently, that both mind and body may exist independent of these objects? For, during sleep and after death, the body has the same existence as before, though the mind recognises not its existence, and, with regard to us, the body entirely loses its being. Now I ask, if any object that can exist, and afterwards be no more, which affects us in a manner totally different from what it is, and from what it has been, can be so real as to leave no doubt of its existence?

We may still, however, believe, though we are uncertain, that something exists without us; but we cannot hesitate concerning the real existence of every thing within us. The existence of the soul, therefore, is certain, and that of the

z 3

body

body seems to be doubtful. The mind has one mode of perception when we sleep, and another when we are awake; after death, she will perceive in a manner still more different; and the objects of sensation, or matter in general, may then have no more existence with regard to her, than our bodies, with which we have no farther connection.

But, though we admit the existence of matter, and that it exists in the very manner we perceive it; yet, in comparing it with the mind, we shall find the latter endowed with qualities so opposite, that we cannot hesitate concerning the difference of its nature, and the superiority of its rank.

It is impossible to recognise the mind under any other form than that of thinking, which is extremely general, simple, and uniform. This form is not divisible, extended, impenetrable, nor possesses any other quality of matter. The mind, therefore, which is the subject of this form, must be indivisible and immaterial. Our bodies, on the contrary, as well as all external objects, have many forms, each of which is compounded, divisible, and destructible; and the whole are only relative to the different organs by which we perceive them. Our bodies, and matter in general, therefore, possess no constant, real, or universal properties, which can enable us to acquire a certainty of their existence. A blind man has no idea of the images of bodies presented

presented to us by means of light. A leper, whose skin was insensible, could have none of those ideas which originate from the sense of feeling. A deaf man knows nothing of sound. Supposing a person to be successively deprived of these three instruments of sensation, the mind would still exist, and manifest itself by its own internal power of thinking. But, if you abstract colour, extension, solidity, and all the other qualities of matter which have a relation to our senses, matter, in this case, would be entirely annihilated: The mind, therefore, is indestructible; but matter may, and must, perish.

The same reasoning applies to the other faculties of the mind, when compared with the most essential properties of matter. The mind wills and commands; the body obeys as far as it is able: The mind can unite itself, in an instant, to the most distant or most elevated objects; and nothing can prevent this union, when she commands it to be effected. But the body is incapable of uniting with any object; it is wounded by every thing that makes too close an approach. Every thing resists and becomes an obstacle to its motions, which are naturally slow. Is this will, then, nothing more than a corporeal movement; and is contemplation only a simple contact? How could this contact be effected with remote objects, or abstract subjects? Or how could this motion be instantaneously accomplished? Without space and time,



the idea of motion is inconceivable. The will, therefore, if it be a motion, is not a material motion; and, if the union of the mind with its object be a contact, it must be a contact, or rather an intimate penetration, at a distance! qualities which are the reverse of those of matter, and which, of course, can belong only to an immaterial being.

But I am apprehensive of having dwelt too long upon a subject, which, by some, may be regarded as foreign to the nature of this work. What connection, it may be said, have metaphysical remarks on the mind with natural history? If I were conscious of abilities sufficient for the discussion of a topic so elevated and extensive, this reflection, I acknowledge, would not give me any uneasiness; and I have abridged my observations, solely because I despaired of being able to comprehend a subject so immense, and so important in its nature. Why should the noblest part of man be rejected from his history? Why thus preposterously debase him, by considering him merely as an animal, while his nature is so different, and so superior to that of the brutes, that nothing but the most brutal ignorance could ever dream of confounding them?

Man, it is true, resembles the other animals in the material part of his being; and, in the enumeration of natural existences, we are obliged to rank him in the class of animals. But, in nature, there are neither classes nor genera; all

are mere independent individuals. Classes and genera are only the arbitrary operations of our own fancy: And, though we place man in one of these classes, we change not his nature; we derogate not from his dignity; we alter not his real condition; we only assign him the first rank among beings which resemble him solely in the material part of his existence.

When we compare man with the animal creation, we find in both a material organized body, senses, flesh and blood, motion, and many other striking resemblances. But all these analogies are external, and authorize us not to pronounce, that the nature of man is similar to that of the brute. In order to acquire a distinct idea concerning the nature of each, it is necessary that we should have as complete a knowledge of the internal qualities of animals as we have of our own. But, as it is impossible to know what passes within animals, or how to rank or estimate their sensations, in relation to those of man, we can only judge by comparing the effects which result from the natural operations of both.

Let us, therefore, consider these effects; and, while we acknowledge all the particular resemblances, we shall only examine some of the most general distinctions. The most stupid man, it will be admitted, is able to manage the most alert and sagacious animal: He governs it, and makes it subservient to his purposes. This he effects

defective, not in the mechanism of their organs, but in their intellectual powers.

Language implies a train of thinking; and it is for this reason that brute animals are incapable of speech: For, though we should allow them to possess something similar to our first apprehensions, and to our most gross and mechanical sensations, it is certain that they are unable to form that association of ideas in which alone the essence of reflection and of thought consists. They can neither think nor speak, because they can neither join nor separate ideas; and, for the same reason, they neither invent nor bring any thing to perfection. If they were endowed with the power of reflecting, even in the slightest degree, they would be capable of making some progress, and acquire more industry; the present race of beavers would build their houses with more art and solidity than their progenitors; and the bee would daily improve the cell which she inhabits: For, by supposing that this cell has all the perfection of which it is capable, we ascribe to this insect a genius and understanding superior to the human, by which it is enabled, at one glance, to perceive the utmost point of perfection to which its work can be carried. But man never can attain a clear view of this point: Much time, reflection, and practice are necessary, before the meanest of our arts can be brought to maturity.

Whence

Whence proceeds this uniformity in all the operations of animals? Why does every species perform the same work in the very same manner? And why is the execution of different individuals neither better nor worse than that of every other? Can there be a stronger proof that their operations are only the results of pure mechanical impulse? If they possessed a single spark of that inward light which illuminates mankind, we should find variety, at least, if not perfection, in their works; every individual would exhibit some difference in his mode of execution. But such differences never appear: They all work upon the same plan; their mode of acting runs through the whole species, and is not peculiar to any individual. If, therefore, we ascribe to animals a mind or soul, we must allow but one to every species, of which each individual has an equal share: This soul would, of course, be divisible, and, consequently, material, and very different from ours.

Why, on the contrary, is so much variety exhibited in the operations of men? Why does servile imitation cost us more labour than original design? Because our souls are proper to us, and independent of any other; and because we possess nothing common to the species, but the matter which constitutes our bodies, and by which alone we have any resemblance to the brute creation.

If

If internal sensation depended on corporeal organs, should not as great a variety appear in the operations of the same species of animals, as in those of men? Would not those endowed with finer organs build their nests, and their cells, in a manner more solid, elegant, and commodious? If any individual had more genius than another, would it not be rendered conspicuous by its mode of acting? But nothing of this kind is ever exhibited: The greater or lesser perfection of corporeal organs, therefore, has no influence upon the nature of internal sensation. From this circumstance, we may safely conclude, that animals possess no sensations of this kind; that they neither belong to matter, nor depend, as to their nature, upon the texture of corporeal organs; and of course that there is in man a substance totally distinct from matter, which is the subject and the cause that produce these sensations.

• But these proofs of the immateriality of the human mind may be extended still farther. We have often remarked, that Nature proceeds in her operations by imperceptible degrees. This truth, which otherwise admits of no exception, is here totally reversed. Between the faculties of man and those of the most minute animal, the distance is infinite. This is a clear proof, that the nature of man is different from that of the brute creation; that he himself constitutes a separate class from which there are numberless  
degrees

degrees of descent, before we arrive at the state of the mere animal; for, if man were of the same rank with the animals, there would be in nature a certain number of beings less perfect than man, and superior to any animal we are acquainted with; and those intermediate beings would descend imperceptibly from man to the monkey tribes. But no such beings exist. The passage is sudden from a thinking being to a material one, from intellectual faculties to mechanical powers, from order and design to blind impulse, from reflection and choice to ungovernable appetite.

This is a strong indication of the excellence of our nature, and of the immense distance fixed by the bounty of the Creator between men and animals. Man is a reasoning being; the animal is totally deprived of that noble faculty: And as there is no intermediate point between a positive and a negative, between a rational and an irrational animal, it is evident that man's nature is entirely different from that of the animal; that the latter only resembles the former in the external or material part; and that, to form a judgment from this material resemblance alone, is shutting our eyes voluntarily against that light which enables us to distinguish truth from falsehood.

Having considered the internal nature of man, and demonstrated the immateriality of his soul, we shall next examine his external part, and  
give

give the history of his body. In the preceding chapters, we have explained his formation and expansion, and traced him to the very moment of his birth: Let us now run over the different ages of his life; and, after conducting him to that period when he is separated from his body, we shall leave it to moulder in the common mass of matter to which it originally belonged.

## S E C T. II.

*Of Infancy.*

NOTHING exhibits such a striking picture of weakness, of pain, and of misery, as the condition of an infant immediately after birth. Incapable of employing its organs or its senses, the infant requires every kind of succour and assistance: It is more helpless than the young of any other animal: Its uncertain life seems every moment to vibrate on the borders of death. It can neither move nor support its body: It has hardly force enough to exist, and to announce, by groans, the pain which it suffers; as if Nature intended to apprise the little innocent, that it is born to misery, and that it is to be ranked among human creatures only to partake of their infirmities and of their afflictions.

Let us not disdain to consider that state in which our existence commenced: Let us view human nature in the cradle; and, leaving the disgust that might arise from a detail of the cares which infancy demands, let us inquire by what degrees this delicate and hardly existing machine acquires motion, consistency, and strength.

An infant, at birth, passes from one element into another. When it escapes from the waters which surrounded it in the womb of the mother, it is exposed to the air, and instantly feels the impressions of that active fluid. The air acts upon the olfactory nerves, and upon the organs of respiration. This action produces a shock, a kind of sneezing, which expands the chest, and gives the air a free passage into the lungs, the vesicles of which it dilates. After the air remains for some time, it is heated and rarified to a certain degree, and the stimulus or spring arising from the dilatation of the fibres re-acts upon this rarified fluid, and expels it from the lungs. We will not here attempt to explain the causes of the alternate motion of respiration, but shall confine ourselves to its effects.

This function is essentially necessary to the existence of man and of many other animals. If respiration ceases, the animal must perish; when once commenced, it never stops till death; and, after the foetus begins to respire, it continues this action without interruption. It is probable, however, that the foramen ovale of the heart does not close immediately after birth, and, consequently, that part of the blood must pass through that aperture. The whole blood, therefore, enters not, at first, into the lungs; and a new-born child may perhaps be deprived of air for a considerable time without suffocation. This con-

jecture

jecture seems to be confirmed by some experiments I lately made upon young dogs. I procured a pregnant bitch, of the large gray-hound kind, and, when just about to litter, I fixed her so in a bucket full of warm water, that her hinder parts were entirely covered. In this situation she brought forth three puppies, which, after being disengaged from their membranes, were immersed in a fluid nearly of an equal temperature with that of the amnios. After assisting the mother, and washing the puppies in this water, I suddenly removed them into a pail of warm milk, without allowing them time to respire. I put them into the milk, in preference to water, that they might have an opportunity of taking some food, if they found a desire for nourishment. I kept them immersed in the milk for more than half an hour; and, when taken out of it, all the three were alive. They began to breathe; and they discharged a quantity of fluid matter by the mouth. I allowed them to respire about half an hour, and again immersed them in the warm milk, where they remained another half hour. I then took them out: Two of them were still vigorous; but the third seemed to languish: I therefore ordered it to be carried to the mother, which, beside the three brought forth in the water, had littered other six in the natural manner. The puppy which was born in the water, and had continued one half hour in warm milk, before it was allowed



to breathe, and another half hour after it had respired, seemed to be very little incommoded; for it soon recovered, and was as active and lively as those which had received no injury. Of the six that were brought forth in the air, I threw away four; so that there remained only two with the mother, beside the one that had been littered in the water. I continued my experiments upon the other two which had been twice immersed in the milk: After allowing them to breathe about half an hour, I plunged them a third time into the milk, where they remained another half hour. Whether they swallowed any of the milk, I could not determine; but, when removed, they appeared to be nearly as vigorous as before their immersion. Having carried them to the mother, however, one of them died that same day; but I know not whether its death was owing to some accident, or to the injury it received from being plunged into the milk, and deprived of air. The other lived as well as the first; and both grew up, and were equally vigorous as those which had not been subjected to the experiment. I pushed these trials no farther: But I learned enough to convince me, that respiration is not so indispensibly necessary to the existence of a new-born animal, as to an adult; and that, by employing certain precautions, it is, perhaps, possible to keep the foramen ovale open, and, by this means, produce excellent divers, or a species of amphibious animals, which

which would be able to live equally in air or in water.

The air, on its first entrance into the lungs, generally meets with some obstacle, occasioned by the fluid substance collected in the wind-pipe. This obstacle is greater or less, in proportion to the viscidness of the liquor. But the infant, at birth, raises its head, which formerly reclined on its breast; and, by this operation, the canal of the wind-pipe is lengthened; the air, of course, rushes in, forces this fluid into the cells of the lungs, which it dilates; and, in this manner, the mucous substance, which opposed the free passage of the air, is diffused through the whole substance of the lungs. The perpetual admission of fresh air soon dries up this superfluous moisture; or, if it should still incommode the infant, it excites a cough, and is thrown off by expectoration, which generally runs out of the mouth, because the child has not yet strength enough to spit.

We can remember nothing that passes at this early period of our existence. It is, therefore, impossible to discover the feelings produced in the child by the first impressions of the air. But the cries and groans it utters immediately after birth, are certain indications of the pain occasioned by the action of the atmosphere. Till the moment of birth, the infant is accustomed to the mild warmth of a tranquil fluid. It is, therefore, consonant to reason, that the action of a fluid

unequal



unequal in its temperature, is too violent for the lax and delicate fibres of a new-born infant. It is equally sensible of heat as of cold: In every situation it utters complaints; and pain appears to be its first and only sensation.

Most animals are blind for some days after birth. Infants open their eyes the moment they come into the world; but their eyes are fixed and dull: They have not that lustre and brilliancy they afterwards acquire; neither have they those motions which accompany distinct vision. But they seem to feel the impression of light; for the pupil contracts or dilates, in proportion to the quantity of light. A new-born infant cannot distinguish objects; because the organs of vision are still imperfect: The cornea is wrinkled; and perhaps the retina is too soft and lax for receiving the impressions of external bodies, and for producing the sensations peculiar to distinct vision.

The same remark may be applied to the other senses. They have not yet acquired that force and consistency which the operation of the senses demand: And, even when they arrive at this state, it is long before the sensations of the infant can be just and complete. The senses are instruments of which we must gradually learn the use. That of vision is the most noble, and the most wonderful; but, at the same time, it is the most uncertain and elusory. The sensations produced by it, if not rectified every mo-

ment

ment by the sense of touching, would uniformly lead us into false conclusions. The sense of touching is the criterion of all the other senses: It alone is essential to animal existence, and is universally diffused through every part of the body. But even this sense is imperfect at birth: A new-born infant, indeed, discovers symptoms of pain by its cries and its groans; but it has no expression that indicates pleasure. It begins not to smile in less than 40 days: It is about this time, likewise, that it begins to weep; for its former cries were not accompanied with tears. There are no vestiges of the passions in the countenance of a new-born child. The features of the face have not acquired that consistence and elasticity which are necessary for expressing the sentiments of the mind. All the other parts of the infant's body are extremely feeble; and their motions are awkward and ill-directed. It is unable to stand erect; its thighs and legs are still bended, from the habit contracted while in the womb of the mother; it has not strength to stretch out its arms, or to lay hold of any thing with its hands; and, if abandoned in this condition, it would remain on its back, without being able to turn to one side or another.

From these remarks, it appears, that the pain felt by infants recently born, and which they express by crying, is only a corporeal sensation, similar to that of other animals, who likewise cry the moment they are brought forth; and

that

that mental sensation commences not sooner than 40 days after birth; for smiles and tears are the effects of two internal sensations, which both depend upon the action of the mind. The former is an agreeable sensation, which originates from the sight or remembrance of a known and desirable object. The latter is a disagreeable agitation, compounded of sympathy and anxiety concerning our own welfare. Both these passions presuppose a certain degree of knowledge, and a power of reflecting, and of comparing ideas. Smiles and tears are expressions of pleasure and pain peculiar to the human species; for the cries, the motions, and the other marks of bodily pains and pleasures, are common to man and most of the other animals.

But we must now return to the material organs and affections of the body. The size of an infant born at the full time is generally about 21 inches, though some exceed, and others fall much below this standard. The breast of a child of 21 inches, measured by the length of the sternum, is about three inches, and only two, when the infant exceeds not 14 inches in length. At nine months, a foetus generally weighs from 12 to 14 pounds. The head is large in proportion to the body; but this disproportion gradually wears off, as the infant increases in size. The skin of a new-born child is very fine, and of a reddish colour, its transparency

allowing a slight tint of the blood to shine through. It is even alledged, that the redder the skin of an infant is at birth, it will afterwards become the fairer and more beautiful.

The form of the body and members of infants, recently after birth, is by no means perfect. The parts are too much rounded; and, even when the child is in high health, they have a swollen appearance. A kind of jaundice generally comes on at the end of three days; and, at the same time, there is milk in the breasts of infants, which is squeezed out by the fingers. As the growth of the child increases, the superfluous juices and swelling of the parts gradually diminish.

In some infants, a palpitation may be seen in the fontanella, or opening of the head; and, in every child, the beating of the sinuses, or arteries of the brain, may be felt at this place. Above this opening, a species of scurf appears, which is often very thick, and must be rubbed off with a brush, when it becomes dry. This matter seems to have some analogy to the horns of quadrupeds, which likewise derive their origin from an opening in the skull, and from the substance of the brain. We shall afterwards show, that the extremities of the nerves become solid when exposed to the air; and that horns, nails, claws, &c. are genuine productions of this nervous substance.

The

The fluid contained in the amnios leaves upon the infant's body a viscid whitish matter, which is sometimes so adhesive, that it requires to be diluted with some mild liquid before it can be removed. In this country, we always wash the infant with warm liquors; but there are whole nations, who inhabit climates much colder than ours, where the infants are plunged into cold water as soon as they are born, without receiving the smallest injury. The Laplanders are even said to leave their new-born infants in the snow till their respiration is almost stopped with cold, and then throw them into a warm bath. This rough treatment is continued three times every day for the first year: And, after that period, the children are bathed thrice a week in cold water. The inhabitants of the northern regions are firmly persuaded that cold bathing makes men more healthy and robust; and, therefore, they inure their children to this habit from their very birth. We are, indeed, totally ignorant how far our bodies may be rendered capable of suffering, of acquiring, or of losing by the power of habit. The savages in the isthmus of America, when covered with sweat, plunge themselves into cold water with impunity: The women throw their drunk husbands into the rivers, in order the more speedily to remove the effects of intoxication: The mothers bathe in cold water along with their infants the moment after they are delivered; and yet

yet much fewer of them die of child-bearing than in other countries, where a practice of this kind would be regarded as extremely dangerous.

Infants, a few minutes after birth, and generally after feeling the heat of a fire, discharge urine, and likewise the meconium or excrement which had been formed in the intestines during their abode in the womb. But this last evacuation does not always happen so soon; and, when it is retarded during the first day, the child is often affected with cholic pains; the discharge must, therefore, be promoted by proper remedies. The meconium is black, and, when entirely purged off, the stools are of a whitish colour. This change generally happens on the second or third day. The odour of the excrement becomes then more offensive than that of the meconium; which is a proof that the bile, and other bitter humours of the body, begin to be mixed with the *feces*.

This observation seems to confirm what was formerly advanced concerning the growth and nourishment of the *fœtus*. We then remarked, that the *fœtus* was nourished by absorption, and that it received no food by the mouth. This change in the odour of the excrement is a proof that the stomach and intestines of the *fœtus* have no action, or, at least, that they act not in the same manner, as after the motions communicated to them by respiration; since it is only after this period that digestion, and the mixture of  
the

the bile and pancreatic juice with the food, takes place in the stomach and intestines. Thus though the bile and pancreatic juice are secreted in the foetus, these liquors remain in their reservoirs, and pass not into the intestines; because, like the stomach, these reservoirs have yet no motion or action sufficient to make them empty their contents into the receptacles of the food.

Before the child is allowed to suck, we allow it time to discharge the slime and meconium in its stomach and bowels. As these substances might sour the milk, and produce bad effects, we first give the child a little wine and sugar, in order to fortify its stomach, and to promote such evacuations as are necessary to prepare it for receiving and digesting its food. Ten or twelve hours, therefore, ought to elapse, before the child be allowed to suck for the first time.

The infant has hardly escaped from the womb of its mother, and enjoyed the liberty of stretching its limbs, when it is again condemned to a more cruel and unnatural bondage. The head of the little innocent is fixed; its legs are fettered; its arms are bound down to its sides; and it is laced with bandages so strait, that it cannot move a single joint. It is a fortunate circumstance, when the swaddings are not drawn so tight as to stop respiration, or when the midwife has the sense to lay the miserable captive on its side, that the natural moisture may spontaneously flow from its mouth; for it is denied

nied the liberty of turning its head to facilitate this necessary discharge. Is it not an instance of superior wisdom in those nations, who simply clothe their infants, without tormenting them with swaddling-bands? The Siamese, the Indians, the Japanese, the Negroes, the savages of Canada, of Virginia, of Brasil, and almost all the inhabitants of South America, lay their infants naked into hanging beds of cotton, or put them into cradles lined with fur. These practices are both sensible and humane: The restraint of swaddling-bands must be painful. The efforts made by infants to disentangle themselves have a more direct tendency to distort their members, than any positions they could assume, if left in the full possession of liberty. Swaddling-bands may be compared to the stays worn by young girls, which occasion many more deformities and diseases than they are intended to prevent.

If the efforts for liberty made by infants thus fettered be hurtful, the inactivity to which they are condemned is, perhaps, still more noxious. The want of exercise retards the growth of their members, and diminishes the strength of their bodies; and, of course, those children who are allowed full freedom of motion will be the most vigorous and healthy. It was this motive that induced the Peruvians to leave the arms of their infants perfectly loose, in a wide swathing-bag: Afterwards, when their children grew older, they

they put them up to the middle in a hole dug out of the earth, and lined with linen; their arms, by this contrivance, were at full liberty; and they could move their heads, and bend their bodies, without falling, or hurting themselves. As soon as they were able to step, the breast was presented to them at a little distance, to entice them to walk. The children of Negroes are often exposed to greater difficulties before they can approach the nipple; they cling round one of the mother's haunches with their knees and legs; they adhere so fast, that they support themselves without the assistance of the mother; they lay hold of the breast with their hands; and they continue to suck without inconvenience or danger of falling, though the mother moves about or works at her ordinary labour. These children begin to walk or rather to creep, on their hands and knees, at the end of the second month; and, by exercise, they acquire the faculty of running, in this situation, with nearly equal quickness as they do upon their feet.

Infants, recently after birth, sleep much; but their sleep is often interrupted. As they likewise require frequent nourishment, they ought to have the breast once every two hours during the day, and, in the night, as often as they awake. At first, they sleep almost continually; and they seem never to awake but when stimulated by hunger or pain: Their sleep, therefore, generally terminates by a fit of crying. As, in the cradle,

cradle, they are obliged to lie in the same position, and are chained down by bandages, this situation soon becomes painful. They are besides often wet and chilled by their excrements, the acrimony of which irritates their delicate and sensible skin. In this condition, the efforts of children are extremely feeble; and their calls for relief are expressed by cries and groans. This succour should always be speedily administered; or rather the inconveniences they feel should be prevented, by frequently changing part of their clothing. The savages are so attentive to this article, that, though they cannot change their furs so often as we do our linen-cloths, yet they supply this defect by employing other substances, of which they have no occasion to be sparing. In North America, they put wood dust, which they obtain from trees that have been corroded by worms, into the bottom of the cradle, and renew it as often as necessary: The children are laid upon this powder and covered with skins. Though this powder, may, perhaps, be as soft as our down-beds; yet they use it not for the purposes of delicacy, but because it quickly absorbs moisture of every kind. In Virginia, they place the child naked upon a board covered with cotton, and provided with a hole for the passage of the excrement. The cold in this country is unfavourable to such a practice; but it is almost general in the east of Europe, and particularly in Turkey. This precaution



caution has another advantage; it precludes all kind of care, and prevents the dreadful effects which too commonly result from the common negligence of nurses. Nothing inferior to maternal affection can support that perpetual vigilance and minute attention which the infantine state requires. With what propriety, then, can such exertions be expected from ignorant and mercenary nurses?

Some nurses desert their children for several hours without feeling the smallest anxiety: Others are so callous as not to be affected with their cries. In this situation the unfortunate infants seem to despair; they exert all the force of which they are capable; and their cries only cease when their strength is exhausted. This excessive crying either occasions diseases, or at least throws them into a state of lassitude, which deranges their constitutions, and may have some influence on their tempers. Indolent nurses are guilty of another abuse: Instead of employing proper means for pleasing the child, they rock it violently in the cradle. This agitation confuses the brain, stops the crying, and, if long continued, stuns the child into sleep. But this forced and unnatural sleep is only a palliative; it removes not the original cause of complaint. Long and violent rocking, on the contrary, may disorder the stomach and head, and lay the foundation of future disorders.

Before children are put into the cradle we ought to be certain that they want nothing; and they should never be rocked with such violence as to confound or flun them. If they sleep not sufficiently, a gentle and equal motion may be employed. Neither should they be often rocked; for, if they be once accustomed to this motion, they will not afterwards sleep without it. Though children, when in health, should sleep long without the assistance of art; yet their constitution may be injured by too much sleep. In this case, they should be roused by gentle motion, by soft and agreeable sounds, and by amusing them with brilliant objects. This is the period when they receive the first impressions from the senses, which are, perhaps, of more importance during life than is generally imagined.

Infants always direct their eyes to the lightest part of a room; and if, from the child's situation, one eye only can see the most luminous part, the other, for want of equal exercise, will not acquire equal strength. To prevent this inconvenience, the foot of the cradle, whether the light proceeds from a window or a candle, should be placed opposite to the light: In this position both eyes receive the light at the same time, and consequently acquire, by exercise, an equal degree of strength: If one eye acquires more strength than the other, the child will squint; for I have elsewhere proved, that an inequality of strength in the eyes is the cause of squinting\*.

\* See Mem. de l'Acad. des Sciences, année 1743.



For the first two months, the infant should receive no other food than the milk of the mother or nurse; and, if its constitution be delicate, this nourishment alone should be continued during the third and fourth month. A child, however robust, may be injured, if any other food be administered before the end of the first month. In Holland, in Italy, in Turkey, and through the whole Levant, children are allowed no other food during the first year. The savages of Canada nurse their children four or five, and sometimes six or seven years. In our country, as most nurses have not a sufficient quantity of milk to satisfy the desires of their children, in order to spare it, they give them, even from the beginning, a composition of boiled bread and milk. This nourishment appeases hunger; but, as the stomach and intestines are yet too weak to digest such a gross, viscid substance, the children are greatly hurt by it, and often die of indigestion.

The milk of animals, in cases of necessity, may supply that of the mother: But then the child should be obliged to suck the animal's teat, that it may receive the milk in an equal and proper degree of heat, and that, by the action of the muscles in sucking, the milk may be mixed with saliva, which greatly promotes digestion. I have known several peasants who had no other nurses than ewes; and yet they were equally vigorous

vigorous as those who had been nursed by their mothers.

After two or three months, when the child has acquired some strength, it may have food somewhat more solid, as flour baked with milk, a species of bread which gradually disposes the stomach to receive common bread, and such other nourishment as it must afterwards be accustomed to take.

The consistence of liquid food is thus gradually increased, that the child's stomach may be prepared to receive what is still more solid. Infants, during the first year, are incapable of mastication. The rudiments of the teeth are still covered with the gums, which are so soft, that they can have little effect upon hard substances. Some nurses, especially among the common people, first chew the food, and then give it to their children. Before reflecting on this practice, we must throw aside every idea of disgust, of which children, at this age, have not the least conception. They are equally disposed to receive nourishment from the mouth of the nurse, as from her breasts. This custom seems to have originated from some natural instinct; for we meet with it in many countries which are exceedingly remote from each other; as in Italy, in Turkey, in most parts of Asia, in America, in the Antilles, in Canada, &c. As it is the only way by which the stomachs of children can be supplied with a proper quantity of saliva, I believe it is very use-

ful to them. If the nurse chews a bit of bread, it is soaked in her saliva, which renders it fitter for nourishment than if it had been diluted in any other liquor. This practice, however, is unnecessary after children are furnished with teeth, which enable them to chew their food, and to mix it with their own saliva.

The *incisores*, or cutting teeth, are eight in number, four in each jaw, and they generally appear about the seventh month, though, in some cases, not till the end of the first year. These teeth are often premature; for some children have them at birth, and fetuses have been found with teeth completely formed long before the ordinary time of gestation is finished.

The rudiments of the teeth are lodged in sockets, and covered with the gums: In the process of their growth, they extend their roots to the bottom of the socket, and break through the gums. This process observes not the ordinary laws of Nature, which act continually on the human body, without occasioning any painful sensation. Here Nature makes a violent and painful effort, which is often attended with fatal consequences. Children, when teething, lose their usual sprightliness, and become peevish and fretful. The gums are at first red and swelled; and, when the circulation of the blood is nearly stopped by the pressure of the teeth, they turn whitish. Children perpetually apply their fingers to the affected part, in order to re-

move

move the irritation: To procure still farther relief, they are furnished with a piece of ivory, coral, or any other hard smooth substance, which they rub against the gums. This operation relaxes the parts, affords a momentary cessation of pain, renders the gums thinner, and facilitates their rupture. But, notwithstanding every precaution, the rupture of the gums is always accompanied with pain and danger. When the gums are uncommonly strong and rigid, they resist the pressure of the teeth for a considerable time, and occasion a violent inflammation, which often proves fatal. The simple operation of cutting the gum removes the inflammation, and gives a free passage to the teeth.

The canine or dog-teeth, which are four in number, and situated next to the cutting-teeth, generally appear in the ninth or tenth month. About the end of the first, or during the course of the second year, the sixteen molares or grinders, four on each side of the canine-teeth, cut the gums. But these periods vary greatly in different children.

The cutting-teeth, the dog-teeth, and the first four grinders, are generally shed during the fifth, sixth, or seventh year; and are commonly replaced in the seventh year, though sometimes not before the age of puberty. The shedding of these sixteen teeth is occasioned by the expansion of the rudiments of a second set, which are situated at the bottom of the sockets, and, by

B B 3

their

their growth, push out the first set. But there is no second set below the other grinders; and, therefore, they never shed but by accident, and their loss is seldom repaired.

There are still other four teeth situated at the extremity of each jaw. In some persons, these teeth are entirely wanting: They seldom appear before the age of puberty, and sometimes not till a more advanced period. They are distinguished by the name of *Wisdom-teeth*, and either appear successively, or two at a time. It is owing to this irregularity in the wisdom-teeth, that the number of teeth is not uniformly the same, which varies from 28 to 32. Women, it has been alledged, have generally fewer teeth than men.

Some authors maintain, that the human teeth, like those of certain animals, would continue to grow during life, if they were not constantly worn down by grinding the food. But this notion seems to be contradicted by experience; for people who live upon liquid food have not longer teeth than those who eat the hardest kinds of aliment. Besides, those who hold this opinion probably mistake the tusks of certain animals for their teeth. The tusks of the wild boar, and of the elephant, for example, continue to grow during life; but their increase, after they arrive at their natural size, is extremely doubtful. Tusks have a greater analogy to horns than to teeth: But this is not a proper  
place

place for such discussions. We shall only remark, that, in children, the first set of teeth are less solid, and more loosely fixed in their sockets, than the second.

It has been often asserted, that the first hair of children is always brown; and that after it falls off, it is replaced by hair of different colours. I am unable to determine whether this remark be well founded; but the hair of most children is fair, and often entirely white. In some it is red, and in others black: But in all those who are to have fair or brown complexions, the hair is more or less fair in early infancy. Those who are to be fair have generally blue eyes; those who are to be red have yellowish eyes; and those who are to be brown have eyes of a dark yellowish colour: But these distinctions are imperfectly marked in children recently after birth; because their eyes are then almost always blue.

When infants are allowed to cry long and violently, ruptures are frequently the consequence of the efforts they make. These are easily reduced by the application of bandages. But, if this remedy be too long neglected, the disease may continue during life. The limits to which I have prescribed myself permit me not to mention all the diseases incident to children. I shall only remark on this subject, that worms, with which they are often infested, are produced from the nature of their food. Milk is a  
species

species of chyle, a purely nutritive substance, without any mixture: It, of course, consists entirely of organic and prolific matter, which, when not properly digested by the stomach, and applied to the nourishment and growth of the body, assumes, by its natural activity, other forms, and produces animated beings, or worms, in such profusion, that the child is often in danger of being destroyed by them. The bad effects occasioned by worms might perhaps be prevented, by allowing children to drink a little wine; because fermented liquors have a tendency to prevent the generation of worms: Fermented liquors likewise contain few organic nutritive particles; and it is chiefly by acting on the solids, that wine communicates strength to the body; for it contains little nourishment. Besides, most children are fond of wine; or, at least, they are easily accustomed to drink it.

Though the bodies of infants be extremely delicate, they are less sensible of cold than at any other period of life. Their internal heat, it would appear, is proportionally greater: The quickness of the pulse in children seems to fortify this opinion. Small animals, for the same reason, have unquestionably more heat than large ones; for the action of the heart and arteries increases in proportion to the comparative smallness of animals, which takes place in the same, as well as in different species. The pulse of an infant, or of a little man, is more frequent than that

that of an adult, or of a large man. The pulse of an ox is slower than that of a man; a dog's pulse is quicker than a man's; and the motion of the heart in very small animals, as that of a sparrow, is so rapid that the strokes can hardly be numbered.

The life of a child, till it be three years of age, is extremely precarious. In the two or three succeeding years, however, its life becomes more certain; and, in the sixth or seventh year, a child has a better chance of living than at any other period. By consulting Simpson's tables of the degrees of mortality at different ages\*, it appears, that, of a certain number of children born at the same time, more than a fourth of them died in the first year, more than a third in two years, and at least one half in the first three years. If this calculation be just, when a child is born, we might lay a bet, that it would not live above three years. This exhibits a melancholy view of the human species; for, though a man who dies at the age of 21 is generally lamented, as being prematurely deprived of life; yet, according to these tables, one half of mankind must die before the termination of three years; and, consequently, every man who lives more than three years, instead of complaining of his fate, ought to consider himself as peculiarly favoured by his Creator. But this mortality of children is not nearly so great in every

\* See Simpson's tables, published at London in 1742.

place as in London: M. Dupré de S. Maur has demonstrated, by a number of experiments made in France, that one half of the children born at the same time are not extinct in less than seven or eight years; and, therefore, we might insure the life of a new-born child for seven or eight years. When a child arrives at five, six, or seven years, it appears, from the same experiments, that its life is more certain than at any other age; for we may then insure for 42 years more. But in proportion as it advances above five, six, or seven years, the number of years it will probably live constantly decreases. At 12, for instance, the chance is equal for 39 years only, at 20 for 33 $\frac{1}{2}$ , at 30 for 28, and so on, till the age of 85, when the chance is equal for three years more\*.

In the growth of the human body, one thing is exceedingly remarkable. The growth of the foetus increases more and more, in equal times, till it escapes from the womb. The growth of the child, on the contrary, gradually diminishes, in equal times, till the age of puberty, to which it makes a sudden bound, and soon acquires its full stature. The foetus, at the end of the first month, is an inch long; at the end of the second it is two inches and a quarter; in three months it is three inches and a quarter; in four months it is more than five inches; in five

\* See the tables at the end of this volume.

months,

months, it is six and a half, or seven inches; in six months, it is eight and a half, or nine inches; in seven months, it is more than 11 inches; in eight months, it is 14 inches; and in nine months, it is 18 inches. Though these measures vary in different subjects, yet the uniform result is, that the foetus, in equal times, continues to have a proportionally greater increase. But, if a child at birth be 18 inches long, it will not acquire, for the next 12 months, above six or seven inches more; that is, at the end of the first year, it will be 24 or 25 inches; in two years it will only be 28 or 29 inches; in three years it will be no more than 30, or, at most, 32 inches; and afterwards, till the age of puberty, it will not acquire above one and a half, or two inches, every year. Thus the foetus grows more in one month, when near the termination of its abode in the uterus, than the child does in one year, till it arrives at the age of puberty, when Nature seems to make a sudden effort to bring her work to maturity.

For preserving the health of children, virtuous and wholesome nurses are of the utmost importance. We have too many melancholy examples of certain diseases being communicated from the nurse to the child, and from the child to the nurse. Whole villages have, in this manner, been infected with the venereal virus.

Children, it is probable, would be much more strong and vigorous, if they were nursed by their



their mothers, whose milk must be more agreeable to them than that of any other woman; for the fetus is nourished in the womb with a liquor which has a great resemblance to the milk in the breasts. Thus the infant is, in some measure, accustomed to the milk of the mother, even before its birth. But the milk of another woman is not only new to the child, but is often of so different a nature, that it is difficult to reconcile the child to the use of a stranger's milk. We sometimes see children, who cannot digest the milk of certain women, languish and turn diseased; and, if they are not speedily supplied with another nurse, they soon perish.

Nothing can be more destructive to children than the practice of crowding numbers of them into the same hospital. Most of them die of infectious diseases, which they would certainly escape, if they were brought up in separate houses, and particularly at a distance from great towns. The same expence would be sufficient to support them; and numberless citizens, which constitute the riches of a state, would, by this simple and natural mode of treatment, be saved to the public.

Children begin the difficult task of learning to speak about the 12th or 15th month. They pronounce the vowel A with more facility, because it requires only the opening of the mouth, and forcing out the air. E requires the tongue

to

to be raised, at the same time that the lips are opened. In pronouncing I, the tongue is still more elevated, and approaches the teeth of the upper jaw. O requires the tongue to be depressed, and the lips contracted; and, in the pronunciation of U, the lips must be still more contracted, and somewhat extended. The first consonants articulated by children are those which require the least motion of the organs. B, M, and P, are most easily pronounced. B and P require only the lips to be joined, and then opened with celerity; and for M, they must be first opened, and then quickly shut. The articulation of the other consonants cannot be effected without more complicated movements. The pronunciation of C, D, G, L, N, Q, R, S, and T, depends upon particular motions of the tongue, which are not easily described. F requires a prolongation of sound beyond any of the other consonants. Thus, of the vowels, A is most easily pronounced; and of the consonants, B, P, and M. It is for this reason that children, in all countries, first begin to articulate the words *Baba, Papa, Mama*. These words are the most natural, only because they are most easily pronounced; and the letters of which they are composed must exist in every language.

It is worthy of remark, however, that, as the sounds of several consonants are very similar, as those of B and P, of C and S, of K and Q, of D and



D and T, of F and V, of G and J, of G and K, and of L and R, there may be many languages in which these different consonants are not employed. But, in every language, a B or a P, a C or an S, a K or a Q, a D or a T, an F or a V, a G or a J, an L or an R, are indispensable; and, in the most contracted alphabets, there must be at least six or seven consonants; because the articulation of them is not complicated, and the sounds by which they are uttered are all distinct and different from each other. Children who cannot easily pronounce R, substitute L in place of it, and T in place of D; because the former are more difficult to articulate than the latter: And the softness or harshness of a language depends on the choice of consonants which are more or less difficult to pronounce. But it is needless to enlarge upon this subject.

Some children, at two years of age, articulate distinctly, and repeat whatever is said to them; but most children require a long time. It has been remarked, that those who are long before they learn to speak, never articulate with the same facility as those who acquire that faculty more early. The latter may be taught to read before they are three years of age; and I have known children read amazingly at four. But, after all, it is difficult to determine whether any advantages are to be derived from such premature instruction. We have had so many examples of

prodigies of learning at four, at eight, at twelve, and at sixteen years, who turned out to be either fools, or men of very little ability, at twenty-five, that I am inclined to think, that the common mode of education, by which Nature is not prematurely forced, and which is discreetly proportioned to the strength and capacity of children, is still the best.

## S E C T. III.

*Of Puberty.*

PUBERTY commences where childhood ends, and accompanies us through the after periods of life. Before puberty, Nature seems to have had nothing in view but the growth and preservation of her work. The provision she has made for the infant extends no farther than the nourishment and expansion of its members. It lives, or rather enjoys a kind of vegetable existence, which is confined to itself, and which it cannot communicate. But the principles of life soon multiply: We are soon possessed of a stock, sufficient not only for our own being, but for enabling us to bestow existence upon others. This redundancy of life, the source of health and vigour, can no longer be confined, but is strongly impelled to expand and diffuse itself. The age of puberty is accompanied with several external and internal marks. It is the spring of life; the season of pleasure. May we be enabled to write the history of this critical period, without exciting any ideas but what are strictly philosophical!

In

In the history of man, puberty, circumcision, castration, virginity, impotence, and many other circumstances, are articles too essential to be omitted. We shall, therefore, endeavour to describe them with that delicacy of style, that philosophical apathy, which annihilate every loose desire, and bestow on words nothing more than their simple and primitive signification.

Circumcision is a custom of great antiquity, and is still practised over the greatest part of Asia. Among the Hebrews, the operation was performed eight days after birth. In Turkey it is delayed to the seventh or eighth year, and sometimes to the eleventh or twelfth. The children in Persia are circumcised at the age of five or six; the wound is healed with caustic or astringent powders; and burnt paper is very generally used, which according to Chardin is the best remedy. This author tells, that the operation, when performed on grown persons, is attended with considerable pain: That they are obliged to be confined to the house three or four weeks; and that death is sometimes the consequence.

In the Maldivia islands, children are circumcised at the age of seven years. To render the skin soft, the children are bathed in the sea six or seven hours before the operation. The Israelites made use of a sharp stone: The Jews observe the same mode in most of their synagogues. But the Mahometans employ a knife or a razor.

VOL. II.

c c

An

An operation similar to circumcision is necessary in certain diseases. It is a common opinion, that the prepuces of the Turks, and of other nations where circumcision is practised, would naturally grow too long, if they were not curtailed in childhood. Boulaye says, that he has seen, in the deserts of Arabia and Mesopotamia, on the banks of the Tigris and Euphrates, numbers of Arabian boys whose prepuces were so long as to render them incapable of generation, without the aid of circumcision.

The people of the east have likewise longer eye-lids than the inhabitants of other nations. The skin of the eye-lids resembles that of the prepuce. But what relation can take place between the growth of those distant parts?

Girls as well as boys are circumcised, upon the borders of the Persian Gulph and the Red Sea. But these people never perform the operation till the girls have passed the age of puberty; because there is no redundancy before that period. In other climates, the excess of growth in the nymphæ appears more early; and is so general among certain people, as those upon the river Benin, that they circumcise both girls and boys eight or ten days after birth. The circumcision of females was an ancient custom, even in Africa. Herodotus mentions it among the usages of the Ethiopians.

Circumcision may, therefore, be founded on necessity; it has, at least, propriety for its ob-

ject. But *infibulation*\* and castration must have arisen from jealousy alone. These ridiculous and cruel operations have been invented by gloomy and fanatical tyrants, who, actuated by a mean envy, and a desire of monopolizing natural pleasures, enacted and enforced those barbarous and bloody laws, which make privation a virtue, and mutilation meritorious.

Boys are infibulated by drawing the prepuce forward, piercing it, and putting a small cord through the holes, which remains till the cicatrice of the opposite sides be formed: The cord is then removed, and a ring substituted in its place, which is made of sufficient strength to last as long as the person who ordered the operation pleases; and sometimes it remains for life. The eastern monks, who take on the vow of chastity, employ a large ring, which renders a breach of their oath impossible. We shall afterwards mention the method of infibulating females. It is impossible to imagine any thing too ridiculous upon this subject, which has not been practised by some men, either from motives of passion or of superstition.

During infancy, there is sometimes but one testicle in the scrotum, and sometimes none. We must not, however, conclude that children in this situation are totally destitute of these parts. It often happens, that the testicles remain in the

\* This word signifies the operation of tying or sewing parts together.

abdomen, or are entangled in the rings of the muscles. But time generally removes these obstacles; and the testes descend into their proper receptacle at the age of eight or ten, and sometimes not till the season of puberty. Parents, therefore, have no reason to be anxious about such of their children as seemingly have no testes, or but one. The testicles of adults are seldom concealed; because nature, at the age of puberty, makes strong efforts to bring them to light. The same effect is sometimes produced by disease, or violent motion, such as a leap, a fall, &c. Even when the testicles never make their appearance, the purposes of generation are not frustrated. Men of this kind are often endowed with uncommon vigour.

There are men who have but one testicle. This defect, however, is inoffensive; for it is always uncommonly large. Some men have three, and are, on that account, said to possess more vigour and bodily strength. We learn, from the animal creation, how greatly these parts contribute to strength and courage. How different is an ox from a bull, a wedder from a ram, and a capon from a cock?

The practice of castration among mankind is very extensive, and of great antiquity. It was the punishment of adultery among the Egyptians. In the Roman dominions, the number of eunuchs was considerable: At this day, these mutilated males are employed through all Asia  
and

and part of Africa, as guards upon the chastity of the ladies. In Italy, this infamous, this cruel operation, has nothing for its object but the improvement of the voice. The Hottentots cut off one testicle, because they imagine that this operation renders them more swift in the chase. In other countries, the poor people mutilate their children, to make them incapable of procreation, and to prevent them from feeling those excruciating pangs which they themselves suffer, when they want bread to support their offspring.

The species of castration vary according to the object in view. When the improvement of the voice is intended, the two testicles are only cut out. But men, whose minds are inflamed with jealousy, would not believe their females to be safe in the custody of such eunuchs: They employ none but such as have been deprived of the whole external parts of generation.

But amputation is not the only means of accomplishing this end. Formerly, the growth of the testicles was prevented, and their structure destroyed, without any incision: They bathed the infants in warm water and decoctions of plants, and then pressed and rubbed the testicles for a long time, in order to destroy their texture. Others compressed them with an instrument: Some pretend, that this species of castration does not endanger life.

The amputation of the testicles is not very dangerous: It may be done at any age; the

time of infancy, however, is always preferable. But the amputation of the whole external parts of generation is often fatal, especially if performed after the age of fifteen years. Even in the most favourable time, which is from seven to ten years of age, there is always great danger. The difficulty of preserving eunuchs of this kind renders them exceedingly precious. Tavernier informs us, that, in Turkey and Persia, they bring five or six times the price of the other kind. Chardin observes, that the total amputation is accompanied with the most exquisite pain; that it is performed pretty safely upon young children; and is exceedingly dangerous after the age of fifteen; that hardly a fourth part escape with life; and that the wound is never cured in less than six weeks. On the other hand, Pietro della Valle asserts, that those who suffer this punishment in Persia for rapes, and other crimes of that nature, heal easily, though advanced in years; and that they apply nothing but ashes to the wound. I know not whether those who underwent the same punishment in Egypt, as Diodorus Siculus relates, escaped with equal ease. According to Thevenot, vast numbers of negroes, who are forced by the Turks to submit to this operation, perish, even when it is performed on children of eight or ten years of age.

Beside negro eunuchs, there are others at Constantinople, throughout all Turkey, Persia, &c. who, for the most part, are brought from  
the

the kingdom of Golconda, the peninsula on this side the Ganges, the kingdoms of Assian, Aracan, Pegu, and Malabar, where their colour is gray; and from the Gulf of Bengal, where they are of an olive colour: There are some white eunuchs from Georgia and Circassia; but their number is small. Tavernier says, that, when he was in the kingdom of Golconda, in the year 1657, 22000 males were castrated. The black eunuchs come from Africa, and especially from Æthiopia. In proportion to the ugliness and horror of their appearance, they are the more esteemed, and bring a higher price. A very flat nose, a frightful aspect, large thick lips, and, above all, black teeth placed at a great distance from each other, are admired qualities in a eunuch. These people have commonly fine white teeth: But such teeth would be a capital defect in a eunuch, who ought to be a hideous monster.

Eunuchs, who are only deprived of their testicles, have a lively sense of titulation in what remains: They have even the external sign more frequently than other men. The part which remains, however, is generally small; for it continues nearly in the same state in which it was before the operation. A eunuch castrated at seven years of age, when arrived at twenty, is no better, with regard to this matter, than a child of seven. Those, on the other hand, who have not undergone the operation till the age of pu-



berty, or later, have parts nearly equal to those of sound men.

Peculiar relations subsist between the throat and the parts of generation, though we are totally ignorant of their causes. Eunuchs have no beard; their voice, though strong and piercing, can never reach a low or deep tone. Secret diseases often appear in the throat. The remarkable sympathy which some parts of the body have with others, though at a distance, and of a different nature, is a subject too much neglected: We are apt to despise effects, when we cannot easily discover their causes. Hence it is, that we never think of examining these relations or sympathies, although they are the proper spring of the animal constitution. In females, there is a remarkable sympathy between the uterus, breasts, and head. How many curious and useful facts of this nature might be discovered, if physicians paid more attention to this interesting subject! It would produce more enlightened views, and a more extensive utility, than can ever be expected from a mere register of anatomical names. It is impossible to discover the principles of animal motion: The springs which give life to organization are not to be found in the muscles, veins, arteries, and nerves, described with such minuteness by anatomists. Organized bodies are possessed of internal powers, upon which the gross laws of mechanism have no influence. Instead of attempting to discover these

these powers, by attending to their effects, they have been treated as ideal existences; they have ceased to be the objects of philosophical research. They have at last reassumed their native importance in the laws of gravitation, in elective attractions, in the phenomena of electricity, &c. But, notwithstanding the evidence and universality of their existence, as their action is internal, as they are solely objects of reason, and have little connection with the senses, they are in danger of escaping our observation, and we admit them with difficulty; for judgment is generally occupied and directed by external objects. We never imagine that the internal constitution of animated beings ought to be a principal object of enquiry. We conceive that the human genius is limited to external objects; and, therefore, we overlook every thing that might lead to a more refined and spiritual philosophy.

The ancients, less limited in genius, and possessed of a more comprehensive philosophy, were not astonished to meet with facts which were inexplicable: They viewed Nature through a more transparent medium. A peculiar correspondence or sympathy, was to them only a phenomenon; but, to us, because not reducible to some fanciful laws of motion, it is a paradox. They knew that Nature produced her principal effects by laws concealed from human eyes: They knew, that, to trace her various laws and modes



modes of operation, exceeded the powers of our circumscribed faculties. A certain number of uniform and related effects, therefore, was to them sufficient to constitute a cause, or law of nature. Whether, according to the ancients, this sympathy shall be called a peculiar correspondence between different parts of the body, or, according to the moderns, it shall be considered as an unknown relation in the action of nerves, its existence in the animal œconomy is universal; its effects, therefore, are of the utmost importance to the theory of medicine, and cannot be too diligently scrutinized. But this is not the place for a full investigation of such an important subject. I shall only observe, that the relation between the voice and the generative organs takes place not only in eunuchs, but in other men: It is even discoverable in females. In men, the voice changes at the age of puberty; and in women, who have a strong rough voice, the passion of love is suspected to be violent.

The first symptom of puberty is a sense of fulness and stiffness in the groins, which is most perceptible when walking, or when the body is bent forward. This stiffness is often accompanied with pretty smart pain in the different joints of the limbs: It is likewise accompanied with a new and peculiar sensation in those parts which distinguish the sexes. Small whitish tubercles also begin to appear in these parts, which are the germs of their natural veil. The voice, for a considerable time, is rough and unequal; after which

which it becomes more full, articulate, and strong. This change is very conspicuous in boys; but it is less distinguishable in girls, because their voices are naturally more sharp.

These marks of puberty are common to both sexes: But each sex has marks peculiar to itself; as the eruption of the menses, and the expansion of the breasts in women; the beard and faculty of procreating in men. These marks, indeed, are not always uniform. The beard, for example, does not always appear precisely at the age of puberty: There are even whole nations who have hardly any beard. On the contrary, there is no country where the age of puberty in women is not distinguished by the enlargement of the breasts.

Among every race of mankind, the females arrive at puberty sooner than the males. But the age of puberty is very different in different countries. It seems to depend upon the temperature of the climate and the quality of the food. The children of citizens and of opulent parents, who are generally fed with rich and nourishing victuals, arrive sooner at this state. But children brought up in the country, or whose parents are poor, require two or three years longer; because their food is not only bad, but given too sparingly. In the southern parts of Europe, and in cities, girls arrive at puberty about the age of 12, and boys about 14. But, in northern climates, and in the country, girls hardly come

to

to maturity till they are fourteen, and boys not before sixteen.

It may be asked, Why are the females, in all climates, capable of procreating sooner than the males? The answer is easy: The bodies of men are larger and stronger; their bones are harder, and their muscles more compact; a longer time is therefore necessary for their growth. Besides, as the growth of the body must be nearly complete before a superfluous quantity of organic juices can be accumulated in the parts destined for generation, women, of course, must arrive at maturity sooner than men.

In the warmest climates of Asia, Africa, and America, the age of puberty commences in girls at ten, and sometimes at nine. The periodic discharge, though less abundant in warm climates, appears much sooner. The interval is nearly the same in all nations. With regard to time, a greater diversity takes place between individuals than between nations. In the same climate and nation, some females suffer this evacuation every 15 days; others have an interval of six weeks: But a month, or a few days more or less, is the most usual period.

The quantity evacuated seems to depend upon the quantity of nourishment and of insensible perspiration. The discharge is greatest in females who eat largely and take little exercise: It is least in warm countries, where the perspiration is more copious. The quantity of this discharge

discharge has been variously estimated. It is, indeed, difficult to make an accurate measure. In different subjects, and different circumstances, it varies from one or two ounces to a pound, and even more. It generally continues to flow three, four, or five days; but sometimes it remains for six, seven, and even eight days. The cause of this discharge is generally ascribed to a superfluity of bloody and nutritious juices. The symptoms which precede it are certain indications of a plethora, as heat, tension, swelling, and the pains felt not only in the parts themselves, and their environs, but in the mammæ, which also swell, and discover a superabundance of blood by the colour of the areolæ becoming then more deep: The eyes likewise are heavy, and the skin below the orbits takes on a faint blue or a violet colour: The cheeks glow; the head is heavy and affected with pain; and, in a word, the whole body is oppressed with a surcharge of blood.

The growth of the body, in length, generally terminates at the age of puberty. Before this period, young people commonly shoot up several inches in a very short time. But the quickness of growth is most remarkable in the parts of generation of both sexes. In males, this growth is only an augmentation in size: But, in females, it often produces a shrinking of some of the parts, which has received different appellations from

from those who have treated of the signs of virginity.

Men, jealous of pre-eminence of every kind, have always discovered a remarkable attachment to prior and exclusive possessions. This species of folly has bestowed a physical existence upon female virginity. Virginity, which is a moral being, a virtue existing solely in purity of heart, has been metamorphosed into a physical object, in which most men think themselves deeply interested. This notion, accordingly, has given rise to many absurd opinions, customs, ceremonies, and superstitions; it has even given authority to pains and punishments, to the most illicit abuses, and to practices which shock humanity. Young women have been obliged to submit to the examinations of ignorant matrons, and to expose the secrets of nature to the eyes of prejudiced physicians. They did not reflect, that every indecency of this kind is a violent attack against chastity; that every situation which produces an internal blush, is a real prostitution.

I have little hope of being able to eradicate the ridiculous prejudices which have been formed on this subject. Mankind always believe what they wish to be true, however vain and unreasonable the foundations of their faith. But, as it is the province of history to relate not only the succession of events, and the circumstances of facts, but likewise the origin of popular opinions and errors, I think it a necessary article in  
the

the history of man, to examine this favourite idol which he adores, to consider the reasonableness of his worship, and to inquire whether Virginity be a real or a fabulous divinity.

Fallopious, Vesalius, Diemerbroek, Riolan, Bartholin, Heister, Ruysch, and some other anatomists, maintain, that the membrane of the hymen has a real existence, and that it ought to be reckoned among the parts of generation peculiar to females. They assert, that it is a fleshy membrane, very thin in infants, but thicker in adults; that it is situated under the orifice of the urethra, and nearly shuts up the entrance of the vagina; that it is perforated by a round or oval hole, so small as hardly to admit a pea during infancy, or a large bean at the age of puberty. The hymen, according to Winslow, is a membranous fold, sometimes circular, and sometimes semilunar, with an aperture of a smaller or greater size in different subjects, &c. On the other hand, Ambrose Paré, Dulaurent, De Graaff, Pincus, Dionis, Mauriceau, Palfyn, and other anatomists of equal authority with those formerly mentioned, insist, that the membrane of the hymen is a mere chimera; that it is not natural to young girls; and express their astonishment that any man should talk of it as a thing which has a real and uniform existence. They produce a multitude of experiments and observations made upon subjects of different ages, in which they could never discover any appearance  
of

of this membrane. They acknowledge, that they have sometimes, but very seldom, seen those fleshy protuberances called *carunculae myrtiformes* connected by a membrane; but they maintain, that this membrane was preternatural. Anatomists are not less divided with regard to the number and qualities of these *carunculae*. Are they only rugosities of the vagina? Are they distinct and separate parts? Are they the remains of the hymen? Is their number uniform? Does only one, or many, accompany the state of virginity? All these questions have been stated, and each has received a different solution.

This opposition of sentiment, in a matter which depends on inspection, is an incontestible proof, that mankind have often an ardent desire to discover things in Nature which exist in their own imaginations only. Many anatomists of reputation have never been able to discover either the hymen or *carunculae*, even before the age of puberty. Those who support the contrary opinion, at the same time acknowledge, that these parts are not always the same; that their form, size, and texture, vary in different subjects; that, in place of the hymen, sometimes there is but one *caruncula*, at other times there are two or more united by a membrane; that the shape of the aperture is not uniform, &c. What is the consequence? We must conclude, that the causes of this mark of virginity are equivocal and inconstant; and that, even when

when they have existence, they produce only an effect of a transient and variable nature. Anatomy determines nothing with regard to the existence of the hymen and *carunculae*; it allows us to reject these symptoms of virginity, because they are not only uncertain, but imaginary.

The effusion of blood, though a more common symptom, is not less equivocal. It has, in all ages, been regarded as an infallible proof of virginity. But it amounts to nothing, in all those cases where the entrance of the vagina is naturally relaxed or dilated. Besides, the effusion of blood is not peculiar to virgins. Women who have no pretensions to virginity frequently experience this discharge. Some discharge copiously and often; others a small quantity, and only once; and some have no such effusion. This phenomenon depends upon age, health, structure of parts, and a number of circumstances. Of these we shall enumerate only a few, and endeavour, at the same time, to investigate the true causes of the various physical marks which have been held forth as infallible characteristics of female virginity.

At the time of puberty, the parts of both sexes undergo a considerable change: Those of the male have then a growth so quick, that they arrive in a year or two at full maturity. Those of women increase likewise at this period. The nymphæ, in particular, which, though formerly almost imperceptible, now become full and con-

spicuous.

spicuous. The menstrual discharge appears at the same period. By an unusual accumulation of blood, all the parts swell, and approach towards each other. The orifice of the vagina contracts, though the dimensions of the vagina itself be enlarged. The appearances produced by this contraction are different in different subjects; for we are informed by anatomists, that there are sometimes four, and sometimes only three, or two, *carunculae*; and that a circular or semilunar ring, or rather a series of folds, is a common phenomenon. But anatomists have neglected to tell us, that, whatever form this contraction assumes, it never appears before the age of puberty. In young girls whom I have had occasion to dissect, nothing of this kind could be discerned; and, having collected many facts concerning this subject, I can with confidence assert, that when young women, before puberty, have commerce with men, no effusion of blood ever happens, unless the parts be greatly disproportioned, or some violence is committed. On the other hand, at the time of puberty, especially when the females are regular, and in good health, these effusions are common, and produced by the slightest causes. But those who are meagre, and subject to the *fluor albus*, generally want this mark of virginity. The frequent repetition of this flux of blood, and even at considerable intervals of time, is an evident demonstration that it is only a deceitful appearance.

ance. It is a certain fact, that young women, who at first had a copious effusion, have repeated this pretended symptom of virginity after a few months abstinence. This phenomenon may, by proper management, be frequently exhibited, especially before the body has acquired its full growth. It is equally certain, that young women, who have not been faithful to the marriage bed, have, notwithstanding, by the simple expedient of abstinence, given fresh proofs of innocence to their deluded husbands. Some women, in the course of two or three years, have exhibited this fictitious mark of purity five times. But this symptom is limited to a certain time; for it seldom appears after the age of eighteen years. When the growth of the body is completed, its parts become fixed and unalterable, and cannot assume differences but by the employment of such artifices as it would be both unnecessary and improper to relate. Besides, many women, particularly those who are irregular in their menses, and subject to the *fluor albus*, never have any fresh marks of virginity.

Nothing, therefore, can be more chimerical than the prejudices of men with regard to virginity, and nothing can be more fallacious than its pretended signs. A young woman may have commerce with a man before the age of puberty, and yet exhibit no marks of virginity. But the same woman, after she arrives at puberty, may have very copious effusions of blood.



Others, who are actual virgins, discover no such appearances. Men, therefore, should be perfectly easy as to this matter, and not indulge, as is too often the case, unjust and ridiculous suspicions.

If we wish to obtain an evident and infallible mark of virginity, we must search for it among those barbarous people, who are incapable of infilling by education the sentiments of virtue and honour into their children, but secure the chastity of their daughters by an expedient which could only be suggested by the rudeness of their manners. In *Æthiopia*, and other parts of *Africa*, in *Pegu*, *Arabia Petrea*, and other nations of *Asia*, the inhabitants, immediately after the birth of females, sew up those parts which Nature has separated, leaving only a space sufficient for the natural evacuations. As the child grows, the parts gradually adhere, and, when the time of marriage arrives, they are again disunited by incision. Instead of thread, the fibres of the asbestos are said to be employed, which is a substance not liable to sudden corruption. Some tribes content themselves with putting a ring through the parts. To this operation wives as well as girls are subjected, with this difference, that the ring allotted to the latter cannot be removed, but, in that allotted to the former, there is a lock, of which the husband alone possesses the key. But why should we mention barbarous nations, when we have similar examples at

no great distance? That absurd delicacy of a neighbouring nation, with regard to the chastity of their wives, is the offspring of a brutal and criminal jealousy.

How opposite are the tastes, the dispositions, the opinions, and the manners of different nations? After what has been related concerning the high estimation of virginity among the bulk of mankind, and the numberless precautions and ignominious methods employed to secure it, is it possible to believe that there are other people who despise virginity, and consider the trouble of removing it to be a mean and servile office?

Superstition has induced the inhabitants of certain countries to resign the first fruits of virginity to their idolatrous priests, and sometimes to the idols themselves. This privilege is enjoyed by the priests of *Cochin* and of *Calicut*; and, in *Goa*, the virgins are prostituted by their parents, either voluntarily or from choice, to an idol of iron. Gross superstition induces these people to commit such abominable outrages from religious motives. But views more servile and interested have induced men of other countries to devote their daughters to their chiefs. The inhabitants of the *Canary isles*, and of the kingdom of *Congo*, prostitute, in this manner, their daughters, without any injury to their reputation. Nearly the same custom takes place in *Turkey*, *Persia*, and several other countries both of *Asia* and *Africa*. Their most eminent nobles

think themselves highly honoured to receive from their sovereign, women with whom he himself is already disgusted.

In the kingdom of Arracan, and in the Philippine islands, a man would esteem it to be disgraceful to marry a young woman who had not been previously deflowered; and nothing but the force of money can prevail on any person to precede the husband. In the province of Thibet, mothers anxiously search for strangers, and earnestly solicit them to put their daughters in a proper state for obtaining husbands. The Laplanders likewise prefer girls who have had commerce with strangers. They fancy them to possess uncommon merit, because they have been able to please men who are better judges of beauty and female accomplishments than themselves. In Madagascar, and several other countries, the most dissolute and debauched women are soonest married. Many other examples might be given of this strange taste, which nothing but the grossest and most depraved manners could produce.

After puberty, marriage is the natural state of man. A man ought to have but one wife, and a woman but one husband. This is the law of nature; for the number of females is nearly equal to that of males. Such laws as have been enacted in opposition to this natural principle, have originated solely from tyranny and ignorance. Reason, humanity, and justice

revolt

revolt against those odious seraglios, in which the liberty and the affections of many women are sacrificed to the brutal passion of a single man. Does this unnatural pre-eminence render these tyrants of the human race more happy? No! Surrounded with eunuchs, and with women useless to themselves and to other men, they are tormented with the constant appearance of that accumulated load of misery they have created.

Marriage, therefore, as it is established among us, and other nations who are directed by the lights of reason and revelation, is a state most consonant to the nature of man, and in which it is his duty to employ those new faculties he acquires from puberty. By obstinately persisting in celibacy, these powers become troublesome, and sometimes fatal. In either sex, too long continency may give rise to diseases, or create irritations so violent, that neither reason nor religion may be able to counteract the impetuosity of those passions they excite: And thus man may be reduced to a level with the brutes, which, under the influence of such sensations, become perfectly furious and ungovernable.

In women, the furor uterinus is the most violent effect of this irritation. This disease is a species of madness, which deranges their ideas, and deprives them of all sense of shame. Both the nature and the seat of this melancholy dis-

D D 4

temper

temper are indicated by the most lascivious expressions, and the most indelicate actions. I have seen, with astonishment, a girl at the age of twelve years, who had a brown, but lively and florid complexion, and, though low in stature, was strong and plump, commit the most indecent actions upon the very appearance of a man, from which she could not be deterred, either by the presence or chastisement of her mother. She did not, however, lose her reason; and the paroxysms of the disease ceased, the moment she was left with her own sex. Aristotle alleges, that, at this age, the irritation is strongest, and that girls ought then to be carefully watched. The remark may be applicable to the climate in which he lived; but, in colder countries, the ardor of the female constitution does not appear so early.

When the furor uterinus increases to a certain degree, marriage will not remove it; and there have been instances of its proving fatal. Happily the force of nature is seldom the only cause of a passion so detestable, even when there is a predisposition to it in the habit of body. Before it arrives at this extremity, the imagination must be inflamed by licentious conversation, by obscene representations, or other causes. Among women, the opposite temperament is infinitely more common; for, with regard to this passion, most of them are either cool or indifferent. There are also many men to whom chastity is

an

an easy virtue. I have known some men who, at the age of twenty-five or thirty, enjoyed good health, without having ever felt this passion so strong as to render any gratification necessary.

Continency, however, is less to be dreaded than excess. The number of immoderate men is too great to require particular examples. By excess, some have lost their memory; some have been deprived of sight; some have become bald; and many have perished by pure debility. Young persons can never be sufficiently warned of the irreparable injury to their health, which the indulgence of the venereal appetite never fails to produce. How many cease to be men, or, at least, cease to enjoy the powers of manhood, before the age of thirty? and how many, at fifteen or eighteen, receive the seeds of a disease, disgraceful in itself, and which it is often impossible to eradicate?

It has already been remarked, that, at the age of puberty, the growth usually stops. It frequently happens, however, that, even after puberty, a tedious illness makes the body increase in length more than it would have done in a state of perfect health. This extraordinary increase is probably occasioned by the inactivity of the external organs of generation during the course of the disease. The organic particles do not reach these parts, because they are not determined thither by irritation; and this defect of irritation is owing to a lassitude and imbecility

lity of the parts, which prevent the secretion of the seminal fluid. These organic particles, therefore, remain in the mass of blood, and necessarily extend the extremities of the bones nearly in the same manner as happens to eunuchs. Thus young people, after recovering from a long sickness, are often taller, but worse shaped, than formerly. Some, for instance, become hunch-backed, and others crook-legged; because the still ductile extremities of the bones have been unnecessarily extended by a superfluity of organic particles, which, in a state of health, would have been exhausted in the formation of seminal fluid.

The production of children is the chief intention of marriage. But this intention is sometimes frustrated. Of the different causes of sterility, some are common to both sexes. But, as these causes are more apparent in men than in women, they are, therefore, more commonly ascribed to the former. In both sexes, sterility is occasioned either by a defect in the original conformation, or by accidental injuries done to the organs themselves. In men, the most pernicious defects of conformation are those which affect the testicles, or the muscles called *erectores penis*. A wrong direction of the urethra, which is sometimes not only oblique, but improperly perforated, is another obstacle to generation. The adhesion of the prepuce to the frænum is another obstacle; but it is not insurmountable.

In women, the conformation of the uterus may likewise occasion sterility. If the orifice of the uterus be always open, or always shut, conception will be equally prevented. But the most frequent cause of barrenness, both in men and women, arises from some depravity in their seminal fluids. I formerly quoted a remark of Valisnieri, that a corruption of the fluid in the testicles of women rendered them entirely barren. It is the same with men. If the secretion by which semen is formed be vitiated, the fluid is unfit for impregnation. The causes of sterility are not discoverable by any external appearances.

In cases of sterility, different means have been employed to discover whether the defect proceeded from the man or the woman. Inspection is the first resource; and, if the barrenness be occasioned by a fault in the external conformation, it is sufficient. But, if the defect lie in the internal organs, it is hardly possible either to discover or remove it. Some men, though they appear to be perfectly formed, want the genuine sign of a proper conformation. Others have this sign so imperfectly, or so seldom, that it is only a very equivocal mark of virility.

Every body knows that the action of this part is not under the command of the mind. It is the most animal part of the human body; for it acts by a kind of instinct, the causes of which are unknown. How many young persons, educated

educated in perfect purity, and totally ignorant of pleasure, have felt the most lively impressions, without being able to recognise either their cause or their object? How many, on the contrary, remain cold and languid, notwithstanding all the efforts of sense and imagination?

This part of our bodies, therefore, belongs less to us than any other of our members. It acts or is languid without our participation. Its functions commence or terminate at certain periods. All this happens without our command, and often contrary to our inclination.

Where, then, is the foundation for those laws, which are so unjust in their principle, and so disgraceful in their execution? The rules and decrees of the Congress\* are an affront to human reason. Its members should have known, that the very means they employed to investigate truth, were not only indelicate, but infallibly prevented its discovery.

When there is no defect in the external conformation, barrenness proceeds oftener from the woman than the man; for independent of the pernicious effects of the fluor albus, there

\* The name of an infamous court in France, where trials for impotency, with a view to dissolve marriages, were held. Proofs by inspection were taken before the judge, who was assisted by surgeons and midwives. This court was abolished by an arrest of the parliament of Paris, dated 18th February 1677. It is surprising that the Comte de Basson, who expresses the utmost detestation against this court, should have mentioned nothing of its dissolution.

seems

seems to be another cause which has never excited attention.

From my experiments, related in the sixth chapter, it appears that the testicles of females give birth to a kind of natural protuberances, which I have called *glandulous bodies*. They grow in a gradual manner, and serve for secreting and maturing the seminal fluid. They are in a continual fluctuating state. They begin to grow under the membrane of the testicle, which they soon perforate; they then swell, and their extremities spontaneously open, and distil a seminal fluid for some time; after which they gradually decay, leaving only a small reddish cicatrice on the place from whence they sprung. These glandulous bodies no sooner disappear than they are replaced by others; so that the testicles are continually labouring, and undergoing considerable changes. Hence any derangement in these organs, either by an unusual thickness of the fluid, or weakness of the vessels, prevents the proper exercise of their functions, renders them unable to secrete, or rather vitiates and corrupts the seminal fluid, which necessarily gives rise to sterility.

Conception sometimes precedes puberty. Many women have become mothers before the appearance of the menses; and some who never had any symptoms of this evacuation, are in the habit of bearing children. Instances of this kind happen in our climate, without travelling for



for them to Brazil, where whole nations are said to be perpetuated, though not a single woman be subject to the menstrual discharge; an evident proof that it is not the menstrual blood, but the seminal fluid of the male and female, which are essentially necessary to generation. It is likewise known, that the cessation of the menses, which generally happens about the age of forty or fifty years, does not disqualify every woman for conception; for some women have become pregnant at sixty or seventy, and even at an age still more advanced. These examples, though pretty frequent, may be regarded as exceptions to the general rule; but they are sufficient to demonstrate that the menstrual blood is by no means essential to generation.

In the ordinary course of Nature, women conceive not before the menses appear, nor after they have ceased. The age at which men first acquire the faculty of generating is not so distinctly marked. His body must attain a certain growth before semen is secreted; and, before this fluid be fully matured, the degree of growth must be still greater. This generally happens between the twelfth and eighteenth years. But the period when man loses the generative faculty, Nature seems to have left undetermined. At sixty or seventy, when old age begins to enervate the body, the semen is less abundant, and often unprolific. In the collections of public societies, however, there are many

many instances of men who have continued to procreate at the age of eighty or ninety.

There are likewise examples of boys who have procreated at eight, nine, and ten years, and of girls who have conceived at seven, eight, and nine years. But such facts are exceedingly rare, and ought to be regarded as singular phenomena. The sign of virility appears in infancy: But that is not sufficient; the production of semen must be added; and this happens not till the growth of the body is nearly completed. At first the quantity is small and generally sterile.

Two marks of conception have been mentioned by authors. The first is a kind of tremor, or shivering, which is said to begin at the moment of conception, and continues for some days. The second is derived from the orifice of the uterus, which, it is asserted, closes entirely after conception. But these signs appear to be very equivocal, if not altogether imaginary.

This tremor is mentioned by Hippocrates in the following terms: '*Liquido constat harum rerum peritis, quod mulier, ubi concepit, statim inhorrescit ac dentibus stridet, et auriculam reliquumque corpus convulsio prehendit.*' Galen, on the authority of some women, imputes this symptom to the contraction of the uterus. Others express it by a vague sensation of cold over the whole body, and employ the words *horror* and *berripilatio*. These, and other au-

thors,

thors, endeavour, like Galen, to establish the fact upon the testimony of women. Hippocrates says, 'quæ in utero gerunt, harum os uteri clausum est;' or, according to another translator, 'quæcunque sunt gravidæ, illis os uteri connivet.' Opinions, however, are various as to the changes which the uterus undergoes after conception. Some maintain, that the edges of the *os tincæ* are drawn so close together, that no vacuity is left between them; and others affirm, that these edges are not exactly close till after the two first months of pregnancy. They agree, however, that, immediately after conception, the orifice is shut up by a glutinous humour; that the *os tincæ*, which, previous to conception, might admit a substance of the size of a pea, has no perceptible aperture; and that this difference is so evident, as to be distinguishable by a skilful midwife. If these assertions were founded in truth, the state of pregnancy might be known a few days after conception.

It is urged, on the other hand, that if, after conception, the orifice of the uterus were closed, superfœtation would be impossible. To this it may be replied, that the seminal liquor may perhaps penetrate through the membranes of the uterus; that the uterus itself may open to receive the materials necessary for superfœtation; and that, at any rate, superfœtations so seldom happen, that they make a very trifling exception to the general rule. Other authors maintain

maintain, that this change in the uterus can never appear but in women who have formerly conceived and brought forth children. In first conceptions, indeed, the difference must be less perceptible; but, though ever so conspicuous, we have not sufficient evidence to conclude, that it is a certain, a uniform, and a positive sign. The study of anatomy, aided by experience, affords, on this subject, general notions only, which vanish upon a closer examination. The same observation may be applied to the shivering, or convulsive cold, which some women are said to feel at the time of conception. As most women experience not this sensation; as others, on the contrary, assure us, that they have felt a burning heat; and as others still confess that they are utter strangers to all such feelings; the natural conclusion is, that all these marks are highly equivocal, and that, when they do happen, they ought to be considered, not as the effects of conception, but of other causes.

On this subject I shall add one fact from Mr. Parsons's lectures on muscular motion, p. 79. which proves, that the orifice of the uterus does not close, immediately after conception, or, if it does close, that the semen may find a passage into the uterus, by penetrating its substance. In the year 1714, a woman of Charlestown in South Carolina, was delivered of two children, the one immediately after the other.

To the astonishment of the assistants, the one child was black and the other white. This evident testimony of the woman's infidelity to her husband, obliged her to acknowledge, that, one morning, her husband having just left her bed, a negro entered her chamber, and, by threats of immediate death, compelled her to gratify his desires. This fact shows, that the conception of two or more children does not always happen at one time, and supports my opinion, that the semen penetrates through the texture of the uterus.

There are many other equivocal signs of pregnancy, by which it is said to be early distinguishable; as a slight pain in the region of the uterus and loins; a numbness over the whole body; a continual drowsiness; a melancholy and capricious disposition; the toothach, headach; and a vertigo, which obscures the sight; yellowish, blood-shot eyes, with contracted pupils and depressed eye-lids; a pale and spotted countenance; a depraved appetite, accompanied with vomiting and spitting; hysteric symptoms; the fluor albus; the stoppage of the menstrual discharge, or, instead of it, an hæmorrhage; the secretion of milk in the breasts, &c. Many other marks supposed to be peculiar to pregnancy might be added; but they are frequently nothing more than the effects of particular diseases. But we shall leave the discussion of these to physicians. Details of this kind, to be

useful,

useful, would require a long series of profound investigation. This subject, like many others in physiology, in the animal economy, and in different branches of the medical art, has seldom been treated with any degree of philosophical accuracy.

## S E C T. III.

*Of Manhood.*

AT the age of puberty, or a few years after it, the human body attains its full stature. The growth of some persons stops at the fourteenth or fifteenth year; and, in others, it continues till they arrive at twenty-two or twenty-three years. During this period, most men are of a slender make: Their thighs and legs are small, and the muscular parts are not properly filled up. But, by degrees, the muscles swell, the limbs and different parts of the body assume their proper figure and proportions, and, before the age of thirty, the body, in men, acquires its most perfect symmetry.

But, in women, the body sooner attains this symmetry. As their size is smaller, and their muscles, and other parts, less strong, compact, and solid, than those of men, they arrive more early at a state of maturity. A woman at twenty years is as perfectly formed as a man at thirty.

The body of a well-shaped man ought to be square, the muscles boldly marked, and the features of the face distinctly defined. In women, the parts are rounder and softer, and their features

are more delicate. Man is adorned with strength and majesty; grace and softness are the peculiar embellishments of woman.

Even the external figure of the human species declares them to be the sovereigns of the earth. The body of man is erect; his attitude is that of command; and his countenance, which is turned towards the heavens, is impressed with the signatures of superior dignity. The image of his soul is painted in his face; the excellence of his nature penetrates through his material form, and animates his features with a divine illumination. His majestic deportment, and the firmness of his movements, announce the superiority of his rank. He touches the earth with his extremity only: He views it at a distance, and seems to despise it. His arms are not pillars to support his body: His hands tread not the earth, and lose not, by friction and pressure, that delicacy of feeling for which they were originally destined. His arms and hands are formed for purposes more noble; namely, for executing the commands of his intellect, for laying hold of distant objects, for removing obstacles, for defending him from injuries, and for seizing and retaining objects at pleasure.

When the mind is at ease, all the features of the countenance are in a state of profound tranquillity. Their proportion, their harmony, their union, point out serenity of sentiment, and accord with the calm that subsists within. When

the soul, however, is agitated, the human visage becomes a living canvas, upon which passions are represented with equal energy and delicacy; where every emotion is expressed by a correspondent feature; where every impression anticipates the will, and reveals, by obvious and pathetic characters, those intentions and feelings which we are solicitous to conceal.

It is in the eyes that the passions are most strongly marked, and most readily discovered. The eye belongs to the soul more than any other bodily organ. It participates of every mental emotion, the softest and most tender, as well as the most violent and tumultuous. It exhibits these emotions in all their force and purity, and infuses into the soul of the spectator the fire and the agitation of that mind in which they originate. In fine, the eye reflects the light of thought, and the glow of sentiment; it is the sense of the understanding, and the language of intelligence.

Men who squint, or are short-sighted, have less of this external soul, (as it may be termed,) whose chief residence is in the eye. These defects hurt the physiognomy, and give to the finest countenance a disagreeable, and often a stupid air. As nothing but strong and violent passions are discoverable in visages of this kind, and as they exhibit no marks of delicacy or vivacity of sentiment, we are apt to form unfavourable impressions of such persons, which, however

ill-

ill-founded, it is difficult for us to efface. We are so accustomed to be influenced by external appearances, that, if no symptoms of thought and reflection appear in a man's countenance, we instantly decide him to be void of ability. We even draw conclusions from the cut of the clothes, or the curls of the perwig; neither are these conclusions always false. Men ought, therefore, to pay some attention to these minute articles; because, in the eye of strangers, they make a part of ourselves, and contribute not a little to the judgments they form of our understanding and breeding.

A vivacious or a languid motion of the eye has a prodigious effect on the character of the countenance. Eyes are of different colours, dark hazel, light hazel, green, blue, gray, and whitish gray. The iris has a smooth velvet-like appearance, and consists of many small filaments, regularly disposed, and directed towards the centre of the pupil. The most usual colours of the eye are the hazel and the blue; and both these colours are sometimes to be found in the same eye. The eyes commonly called black, when narrowly inspected, are only of a dark hazel colour. They appear black in consequence of their being contrasted with the white of the eye. Those of a less deep hazel are likewise reckoned black, but are not equally beautiful. Shades of orange, hazel, gray, and blue, are frequently to be met with in the same eye;

E E 4

but,



but, wherever there is a blue tint, it becomes the prevailing colour, and outshines all the rest. The black and the blue are the most beautiful colours, and give most fire and vivacity of expression to the eye. In black eyes there is more force and impetuosity; but the blue excel in sweetness and delicacy. The former dart forth a perpetual and uniform flame, because their colour appears always the same, and reflects the same rays: But the modifications of light are distinguishable in the blue; because different rays are reflected by the various tints of which they are composed.

These, and other varieties in the colours of the eyes, are peculiar to man, the horse, &c. In most other animals, the colours of individuals vary not. The eyes of the ox are brown; those of the sheep are of a watery colour; those of the goat are gray, &c. Aristotle alledges, that, among men, gray eyes are the strongest; that the blue are weaker; that prominent eyes are short-sighted; and that brown eyes see not so well as others in a faint light.

Though the eye, in moving, seems to be drawn towards either side, yet it only moves round its own centre, which gives the pupil the appearance of approaching or receding from the angles of the orbit, and of being elevated or depressed. In man the eyes are nearer each other than in any other animal. In some species, the eyes are so remotely situated, that it is im-

possible

possible for both eyes to see the same object at the same time.

Next to the eyes, the parts which give most character to the countenance are the eye-brows. Being totally different from every other feature, their effect is augmented by the contrast: They form a deep shade in the canvas, and give relief to the other colours and features. The lashes of the eye-lid have also their effect; when long and bushy, they bestow beauty on the eye, and give a mild and pleasant aspect to the face. Lashes on both eye-lids are peculiar to man and the ape. Other animals have hair on the upper lid only; and even in man, the lash of the under lid is less than that of the upper. The eye-brows have only two movements; one by which they are elevated; and the other by which they are depressed and contracted.

The eye-lids guard the ball of the eye from dust, insects, &c. and keep the cornea moist. The upper eye-lid moves up and down; but the under lid has little or no motion. Although the motion of the eye-lids be subject to the will, yet, by sleep, fatigue, or surprise, we lose the command of them. They are sometimes also affected with convulsive motions, which we are unable to restrain. In birds and amphibious quadrupeds, the under eye-lid alone moves; and fishes and insects have no eye-lids either above or below.

The

The forehead occupies a large part of the face, and contributes greatly to its beauty. It should be well proportioned, neither too flat nor too prominent; neither too narrow nor too short; and it should be regularly adorned with hair both above and on each side. The hair gives great expression to the countenance; baldness is therefore a capital defect; and the practice of employing superficial hair, which is now so general, ought to be confined to such as are naturally bald; for borrowed locks often change the true character of the face. If every man wore his own hair, and allowed it to float freely, it would be more easy to distinguish characters by the general aspect of the countenance. The crown of the head, and immediately above the temples, are the parts which first become bald; but the hair below the temples, and on the under part of the back of the head, seldom falls off. Baldness is peculiar to man: Women, in the most advanced age, though their hair becomes white, are seldom affected with baldness: Children and eunuchs are not more subject to it than women; and the hair is stronger and more abundant in youth than at any other period. The longest hair becomes dry, and gradually wastes and falls off as we advance in life. The whiteness commences at the points; and, when the hair is totally white, it loses its strength, and at last falls off altogether. There have been examples of young people whose hair

was rendered white by disease, and which recovered its natural colour after their health was restored. It is alledged by Aristotle, that no man becomes bald before having intercourse with women, except such as have been bald from their birth. The ancient writers upbraid the inhabitants of the islands of the Archipelago with the epithet *bald-heads*; and assert, that these islanders are all brought into the world with this defect\*.

The nose is the most prominent feature in the face. But, as it has very little motion, even in the most violent passions, it contributes more to the beauty than to the expression of the countenance; and unless it be deformed, or greatly disproportioned, it is less attended to than those features which are capable of motion, as the mouth and the eyes. The form of the nose, and its remarkable promineney, are peculiar to the human species. Most animals have nostrils separated by a partition; but none of them have an elevated and advanced nose. Even the apes may be said to have nostrils only; the nose of an ape has the same position as that of a man; but it is so short and flat, that it can hardly be regarded as similar. By this organ, men, and most animals, breathe, and smell odoriferous bodies. Birds have no cartilaginous nostrils; they have only two holes or pipes for the purposes of respiration and smelling.

\* See Dapper's Voyage, p. 354. and Plin. edit. Hardouin, p. 541.

Next to the eyes, the mouth and lips have both the greatest motion and expression. These motions are excited by the passions, and the various forms of the mouth mark their different characters and modifications. The organs of speech give the mouth an animation superior to every other part of the face. The vermilion of the lips, and the whiteness of the teeth, so much excel the other colours of the face, that they attract our chief regard. We fix our eyes on the mouth of the speaker; every word, every articulation, produces different motions on the lips; and, however rapid, it is easy to distinguish them from each other. The deaf learn to distinguish these motions so accurately, that they often know the sentiments of the speaker merely by attending to the motions of his lips.

In man, and in all other animals, the under-jaw is alone endowed with the power of motion. The crocodile, the opinion of Aristotle and many other naturalists notwithstanding, is not an exception: I have examined many skeletons of that animal, and have found, by the nature of the articulation, that the under-jaw alone was moveable. In the human fœtus, and in monkeys, the under-jaw is greatly advanced before the upper. The deformity, in adults, is equal, whether the under-jaw be too prominent, or too much depressed: It ought to be nearly on a level with the upper. Strong passions, as well as languor, often produce an involuntary motion

motion in the under-jaw: Pain and pleasure, as well as languor, give rise to yawning; but, in the former, the motion is more brisk and lively.

When the mind is suddenly affected with ardent desire, or keen regret, we feel a sort of starting, or internal oppression; this motion of the diaphragm elevates the lungs, and produces that sudden inspiration which forms a sigh: And, when the mind considers the cause of its emotion, and perceives no method of accomplishing its desire, or of banishing its regret, the sighs are repeated, and sorrow, or mental pain, succeeds. If this pain of mind be great and unexpected, it produces tears; the air rushes quickly into the lungs, and gives rise to many inspirations, which are accompanied with involuntary shocks: Each inspiration makes a noise stronger than that of sighing, and is distinguished by the name of sobbing; these sobs succeed each other more rapidly than sighs; and, in the former, the sound of the voice is more apparent. The accent of the voice is still more distinguishable in groaning, which is a species of sob long continued; and its slow sound is heard both in expiration and inspiration: Its expression consists in the continuation of a plaintive tone formed by inarticulate sounds. Groans are shorter or longer according to the degree of sorrow or dejection; but they are generally repeated several times. The time of inspiration forms the interval that takes place between each groan; and

and the intervals are nearly equal both in their duration and their distance. The plaintive shriek is a groan expressed with force, and with a high tone of voice. The shriek, when very sharp, generally continues on the same tone through its whole extent; but when moderate, it commonly ends in a lower tone.

Laughter is an interrupted sound, often repeated, and accompanied with a kind of convulsive motion of the belly, which is alternately elevated and depressed. To facilitate this motion, the breast and head are sometimes thrown forward; the chest remains immoveable; the angles of the mouth recede from each other; and the cheeks swell: Every time that the belly is depressed, the air bursts from the mouth, and occasions a noise, which, during the fit, is often repeated, sometimes on the same tone, and sometimes the tones gradually diminish.

The lips, in immoderate laughter, and in most violent passions, open wide; but, in the more tranquil emotions, the angles of the mouth recede, without any opening of the lips, the cheeks swell, and, in some persons, dimples are formed in them near the corners of the mouth: This charm belongs to the graces, and is commonly attended with an agreeable smile, which is a mark of benevolence, and of internal satisfaction: A smile is also a mode of expressing contempt and ridicule; but, in these malignant smiles, we press the lips close to each other.

The

The cheeks are uniform features, and have little motion or expression, except from that involuntary redness or paleness with which they are covered in different passions; they unite the features, and give a contour to the face; they contribute more to beauty than to expression; and the same observation may be applied to the chin, the ears, and the temples.

Shame, anger, pride, joy, equally give rise to blushing; while fear, terror, and sorrow, produce a paleness in the face. This change of colour is involuntary; it exhibits the state of the mind without its consent. It is an effect of sentiment over which the will has no command. We can easily disguise the other marks of passion; for a moment's reflection enables us to stop the action of the muscles of the face which characterize particular passions, and even to change their direction; but to stop or alter the redness or paleness of the countenance, is beyond our power; because these depend on a peculiar motion of the blood, occasioned by the action of the diaphragm, which is the chief internal organ of sensation.

In different passions, the whole head is affected with different motions and positions: It hangs forward during shame, humility, and sorrow; it inclines to one side in languor and compassion; it is elevated in pride, erect and fixed in obstinacy and self conceit; it is thrown backward in astonishment or surprise; and rolls from  
side

side to side in contempt, ridicule, and indignation.

Grief, joy, love, shame, and compassion, make the eyes swell, and cause the tears to flow. The effusion of tears is always accompanied with a contraction of the muscles of the face, which opens the mouth; the tears flow through the lachrymal ducts into the nose, and increase the fluid with which it is naturally moistened: The flowing of the tears is not constant; they seem to burst out at irregular intervals.

In grief, the corners of the mouth are depressed, the under-lip rises, the eye-lids fall down, the pupil is elevated, and half concealed under the eye-lid: The other muscles of the face are relaxed, which enlarges the space between the mouth and the eyes; and, of course, the countenance appears to be stretched out beyond its ordinary length. (See plate X. fig. 1.)

In consternation and terror, the brow is wrinkled, the eye-brows are elevated, the upper eye-lid opens so wide that it rises above the pupil, and uncovers a part of the white above the pupil, which last falls down, and is partly concealed by the under-lid. The mouth, at the same time, opens wide, the lips recede from each other, and expose the teeth both above and below. (See plate X. fig. 2.)

In

In contempt and derision, one corner of the upper-lip rises, and leaves the teeth bare; the other corner moves a little, and has the appearance of a malignant smile; the nostril next the elevated side of the lip shrivels up, and the angle of the mouth falls down: The eye on the same side is almost shut, while the other remains open; and both pupils are depressed in the same manner as when a person looks down from a height. (See plate X. fig. 3.)

In jealousy, envy, and malice, the eye-brows fall down and are wrinkled; the eye-lids rise, and the pupils fall down; the upper-lip is elevated on both sides; the angles of the mouth sink a little, and the middle of the under-lip rises and joins the middle of the upper one. (See plate X. fig. 4.)

In laughing, the angles of the mouth are drawn back, and somewhat elevated; the upper part of the cheeks rises; the eyes are more or less shut; the upper lip rises and the under one sinks; the mouth opens; and, when the laughter is immoderate, the skin of the nose wrinkles. (See plate X. fig. 5.)

Beside these marks, the arms, the hands, and the whole body, contribute to the expression of the passions. Gesture also concurs with the action of the features in expressing the different emotions of the soul. In joy, for example, the eyes, the head, the arms, and whole body, are agitated with quick and various movements. In



languor and grief, the eyes are sunk, the head reclines, the arms hang down, and the whole body remains fixed and immovable. In admiration, surprise, and astonishment, every motion is suspended, and the person remains in the same uniform attitude. These expressions of the passions are involuntary: But there is another species of expression, which consists in an agitation of the eyes, head, arms, and body; and these motions seem, at the same time, to be the effect of reflection, and to depend on the will. They appear to be efforts of the mind to defend the body, and may be regarded as secondary symptoms, by which particular passions may be distinguished. In love, hope, and keen desire, we elevate the head, and turn towards heaven, as if imploring possession; we stretch forward the head to make a nearer approach; and we extend the arms and open the hands, in order to seize and embrace the beloved object. On the other hand, in fear, hatred, and horror, we push the arms forward with precipitation, to repel the object of our aversion; we turn back the head and the eyes; we recoil, and at last fly, in order to avoid it. These motions are so sudden, that they appear to be involuntary: But this deception is the effect of habit; for these motions are produced by reflection, and, by their alacrity, discover the perfection of those qualities of the body which enable it to obey, with such amazing promptitude, the commands of the mind.

As

Plate X



As the passions are agitations or movements of the mind, for the most part connected with impressions of sensation, they may be expressed by motions of the body, and particularly by those of the countenance. We can, therefore, form a judgment of the affections of the mind by the motions of the body, and can discover the real situation of the soul by examining the changes in the features of the face. But, as the mind has no figure which can have any relation to that of matter, we can form no judgment of the general disposition of any mind by the features of the countenance, or by the figure of the body with which it is connected. A deformed body may contain an amiable mind; neither should we pronounce concerning the natural disposition of any person, merely because the features of his countenance are not agreeable; for there is no analogy between features and the nature of the soul, upon which any reasonable conjectures can be founded.

The ancients, however, were much addicted to this false notion; and there have not been wanting in every age, men who wished to support a scientific divination derived by a pretended skill in physiognomy. But nothing is more evident, than that this species of divination can be extended no farther than to the affections of the mind, when expressed by the motion of the eyes, visage, and other parts of the body: The form of the nose, of the mouth,

and

and of other features, has no more connection with the natural disposition of any person, than the stature, or size of the limbs, with the faculty of thinking. Has a man more genius in proportion as his nose is well made? Is the ability of another more circumscribed, because his eyes are small and his mouth large? It must, therefore, be acknowledged, that the divination of physiognomists is altogether chimerical, and destitute of any foundation in nature.

The ears, of all the parts of the head, contribute least to the expression of the face. They are placed at a side, and commonly concealed under the hair. But, in quadrupeds, the ears are more apparent; and by them we can discover whether the creatures be in a state of vigour or of imbecility; their motions denote sentiment, and correspond to the internal feelings of the animal. The human ears, though furnished with muscles, have hardly any motion, either voluntary or involuntary. Small ears are said to be most beautiful; but large ones are better calculated for hearing. Some nations greatly enlarge the lobes of their ears, by piercing them, and placing in them pieces of wood or metal, which they change successively for others of greater dimensions, till the holes become enormous; and the lobes uniformly increase in proportion to the size of the holes. I have seen these round pieces of wood, which had been brought from India or South America, of more than an inch  
and

and a half in diameter. It is difficult to investigate the origin of this singular custom: but it is equally difficult to trace the origin of piercing the ears, (a practice almost general,) and sometimes the nostrils, in order to adorn them with rings, &c. unless we attribute it to those naked savages, who contrived to carry, in the least incommodious manner, such things as appeared to them to be most precious.

But the whimsical varieties in the customs of different nations are still more apparent in the manner of dressing and wearing their beards. The Turks shave their heads; but allow their beards to grow. Most Europeans, on the contrary, shave their beards, and wear their own or borrowed hair. The savages of America pull out the hairs of their beards, but carefully preserve those of the head. The negroes shave their heads in different figures; sometimes they cut their hair in the shape of little stars, sometimes in the manner of a friar, but most commonly in alternate stripes. The Talapoins of Siam shave the heads and eye-brows of those children whose education is entrusted to them. In this article every nation has different usages. Some prefer the hair on the upper lip to that of the chin; others esteem hair on the cheek; some curl it, and others wear it straight. It is not long since we wore our hair behind loose and floating; we now inclose it in a bag. Our dress is different from that of our fathers. The differences in  
dress

dresses are as various as the different nations of the globe: And, what is singular, we have adopted that dress which is most inconvenient, wastes most time in adjusting, and is least agreeable to Nature.

Though fashions seem to be founded on caprice and fancy; yet, when generally adopted, they merit examination. Men have always given a value to those things which excite attention, and which convey flattering ideas of riches, power, and grandeur. The value of diamonds, and other precious stones, arises from their scarceness and brilliancy. The same observation applies to those shining metals, the weight of which we regard so little, that, for the sake of finery, we spread them over our garments. Ornaments of this kind are intended to excite the attention of spectators, to give them an idea of splendour and wealth, and to dazzle their fancies: How few have the capacity of distinguishing the person from the dress, or of estimating the man in any other manner than by the metal on his clothes!

Every thing that is rare and brilliant will, therefore, always be fashionable, while men derive more eminence from riches than virtue, and while the means of acquiring respect continue so widely different from real merit. Strangers receive their first impressions of us from our dress, which is varied according to the points of view in which we wish to be considered. The

modest

modest man, or he who wishes to assume that character, dresses with a simplicity corresponding to the nature of that virtue. The vain-glorious, on the contrary, neglect nothing that can support their pride or flatter their vanity; and they distinguish themselves by splendour or fineness in their external appearance.

Another very general object of dress is to increase the size of our figure, and to occupy more room in the world than Nature has allotted to us. We wish to enlarge our dimensions by high-heeled shoes and blown up garments; but however bulky our dress, it is exceeded by that vanity which it endeavours to cover. Why is the doctor's head loaded with an enormous quantity of borrowed hair, while that of the beau is so thinly covered? The former wishes to have the extent of his learning measured by the apparent dimensions of his head; and the latter desires to diminish his head, that he may exhibit the gaiety and sprightliness of his genius.

Other fashions appear to have a more rational object, namely, to conceal the defects of Nature, or to render them less disagreeable. Taking mankind in general, there is a greater number of deformed bodies, and disagreeable faces, than of handsome figures, and beautiful countenances. Fashions are always regulated by the practice of the majority; and, as the greatest part of mankind have defects to conceal, it is their interest to invent and support those modes

which

which tend to render their deformities less conspicuous. Women never think of paint, till the natural bloom of their cheeks is faded. Painting, however, is a very general custom. The mode of whitening the hair \* with powder, and curling it, is not so universal; but it seems to have been intended for the same purpose, to make the colours and features of the countenance appear with greater advantage.

But, leaving external ornaments, and the drape of the picture, let us return to the figure itself. The head of man is differently constructed, both internally and externally, from that of any other animal. The head of the monkey makes the nearest approach; its brain, however, is proportionally less; and there are other differences, to be afterwards pointed out. The bodies of almost all quadrupeds are entirely covered with hair. In man, the head alone has this ornament before the age of puberty, and it is more amply furnished with hair than the head of any other animal. The monkey resembles man very much in his ears, nose, and teeth.

Among animals, there is a great diversity in the size, position, and number of their teeth. Some are furnished with teeth in both jaws; others have them in the under-jaw only; in some they are widely separated from each other;

\* The savages of New Guinea powder their heads and beards with chalk. See *Recueil des Voyages*, &c. tom. iv. p. 637.

and

and are close and united in others. The palate of some fishes is a hard bony plate, stuck full of sharp points, which perform the office of teeth.

The mouths of most animals are armed with some solid substance, which enables them to apprehend or grind their food. The teeth of men, quadrupeds, and fishes, the beaks of birds, the pinchers, saws, &c. of insects, are all hard instruments, and, like the nails, horns, and hoofs, derive their origin from the nerves. We formerly remarked, that nerves, when exposed to the air, acquire a surprising hardness. As the mouth gives a free access to the air, it is therefore natural to think that the nerves which terminate there should harden, and produce the teeth, the bony plate, the beak, the pinchers, and all the other solid parts of animals.

The neck supports the head, and unites it to the body. It is larger and stronger in most quadrupeds than in man. Fishes, and other animals which are not furnished with lungs similar to ours, have no neck. Birds, in general, have longer necks than other animals. Those birds which have short claws have likewise short necks, and *vice versa*. Aristotle says, that birds of prey which have pounces are all short necked.

The human breast is proportionally larger than that of other animals; and none but man and the monkey have collar-bones. The breasts of women are larger and more prominent



nent than those of men : But their consistence and structure are nearly the same; for the breasts of men can secrete milk. There are many examples of this fact; and it commonly happens at the age of puberty. I have seen a young man of fifteen years squeeze more than a spoonful of milk out of one breast. Among animals there is a great variety in the number and situation of their paps. Some, as the monkey and elephant, have only two placed on the fore part of the breast; others have four, as the bear; others, as the sheep, have only two situated between the hinder legs; others have them in great numbers upon the belly, as the bitch and the sow. Birds, and all the oviparous animals, have no paps. Viviparous fishes, as the whale, the dolphin, &c. have breasts, and suckle their young. The form of the breasts varies in different animals, and even in the same animal at different ages. It is alleged, that women, whose breasts are shaped like a pear, make the best nurses, because the mouth of the child comprehends not only the nipple, but part of the breast itself.

Below the breast is the belly, in which the navel makes a conspicuous figure. In other animals it is hardly perceptible; and even the monkey has nothing in place of it but a kind of callosity.

The arms of man have little resemblance to the fore feet of quadrupeds, and still less to  
the

the wings of birds. The monkey tribe are the only animals which have arms and hands; but their structure is more rude, and their proportion less exact than those of man; his shoulders are likewise larger, and differently constructed from those of any other animal; and it is on the top of the shoulders that he can bear the heaviest burdens.

The form of the back differs not much from that of some quadrupeds; the region of the reins is indeed more muscular and strong. But the buttocks are peculiar to the human body; the thighs of quadrupeds are often mistaken for the buttocks, though they be totally different. Man being the only animal who can support himself perfectly erect, the swelling, or cushion on the top of his thighs, is necessary to sustain him in that posture.

The human foot is very different from that of all other animals, the monkey not excepted. The foot of the ape is rather a kind of hand; its toes are long, and situated like fingers, the middle one being by much the longest; and it has no heel. The sole of the foot is likewise larger in man, and his toes are better adapted for preserving the equilibrium of the body in walking, running, dancing, and other movements.

The human nails are less than those of other animals. If they protruded much beyond the points of the fingers, they would obstruct the dexterity of the hand. Those savages who al-  
low

low them to grow to an unnatural length, use them for slaying and tearing animals. But, although their nails be stronger and longer than ours, they can by no means be compared to the hoofs or the claws of other animals.

With regard to the proportions of the human body, we have no exact knowledge. The same parts have not the same proportions in any two individuals; and, even in the same person, the corresponding parts are not perfectly similar. For example, the right arm or leg have seldom the same dimensions with the left. Repeated observations alone can ascertain a standard by which we may be enabled to form a perfect idea of the natural and best proportions of the human figure. It is not by comparing men, or taking their dimensions, that we are to expect any light upon this subject: We have more to hope from the art of designing, and the efforts which have been made in imitating Nature. Taste and sentiment have exceeded the limits of mechanical operations. The square and compass are laid aside, and we trust more to the impressions made on the senses. Every possible form has been realized in bronze or in marble. We recognise the standard of Nature more by imitating her, than by her own productions; and we judge better concerning the perfection of a statue by viewing it, than by taking its different dimensions. It is by long practice in the art of designing, and by delicacy

cacy of sentiment, that eminent statuariés have been enabled to make men feel the justness of proportion in the works of Nature. The ancients made statues so exquisitely fine, that they have uniformly been regarded as exact representations of the most perfect human figures. These statues, which were only copies of the human form, are now considered as originals; because they were not imitated from an individual, but from the whole species, so attentively compared and diligently observed, that it is impossible to find an equal degree of symmetry and proportion in any one man that ever existed. We shall, therefore, relate the dimensions of the different parts which these artists have fixed as standards of perfection. They commonly divide the height of the body into ten times the length of the face; they likewise divide each *face*, or tenth of the body, into three equal parts; the first commences at the springing of the hair on the forehead, and terminates at the root of the nose; the nose is the second division; and the third extends from the nose to the end of the chin. In measuring the rest of the body, they use the term *nose*, or length of the nose, to denote the third of a face, or the thirtieth part of the body. The first face begins at the root of the hair above the forehead, and extends to the end of the chin; but, from the top of the forehead to the crown, there is still a third of a face, or a nose, in height. Thus, from

from the top of the head to the end of the chin, there is a face and a third; from the chin to the juncture of the clavicles, two thirds of a face; and, therefore, from the top of the breast to the crown of the head, is twice the length of the face, or the fifth of the body; from the joining of the clavicles to the under part of the paps they reckon one face; from this to the navel is a fourth face; and the fifth extends from the navel to the division of the inferior extremities, which should complete half the length of the body. Two faces are exhausted between the thigh and knee, to the last of which they allow half a face, being the first half of the eighth face; two faces are assigned between the knee and top of the foot, and from that to the sole half a face, which completes the ten faces, or length of the body. This division has been made from men of ordinary size; but, in those of a higher stature, they allow about half a face additional between the paps and the commencement of the thighs, which, in tall men, is not the middle of the body. When the arms are fully stretched in a horizontal line, the space between the tops of the middle fingers is equal to the length of the body. From the joining of the collar-bone to the articulation of the shoulder-bone with that of the arm, is one face. When the arm hangs down, or is bended forward, it is four faces in length; two between the joint of the shoulder and the elbow, and

two

two between the elbow and the root of the little finger, in all five faces, and an equal number for the other arm, which is precisely the length of the body; about half a face remains for the length of the fingers; but it must be remarked, that half a face is lost in the joints of the elbows and shoulders, when the arms are extended. The hand is about a face in length, the thumb a third of a face, or a nose, and the longest toe is of the same length with the thumb. The under part of the foot is equal in length to the sixth part of the height of the body. For the reasons already mentioned, if an experiment be made of these dimensions upon any individual, they will appear to be extremely imperfect. It is still more difficult to fix the proportional thickness of the different parts of the body. The changes are so great when the same man is meagre or in good case, and the action of the muscles in different positions, creates so much variety in the dimensions of the parts, that it is almost impossible to give any determined rules upon this subject.

The superior parts of the body, in infancy, are larger than the inferior; the thighs and legs are not nearly equal to half the length of the whole body; as the child advances in years, the inferior parts grow more in proportion than the superior; and, when the growth is complete, the thighs and legs are very nearly one half the length of the body.

The

The anterior part of the chest, in women, is more elevated, and its diameter larger, than in men; but the chests of the latter are proportionally broader. The haunches of women are likewise larger than those of men; because the haunch-bones of women, and those which join them and compose the pelvis, are proportionally larger. These differences in the structure of the chest and pelvis are so perceptible, that it is easy, by this criterion, to distinguish the skeleton of a woman from that of a man.

There are great varieties in the length of men. Those are said to be tall who are from five feet eight inches to six feet high. The middle stature is from five feet five to five feet eight; and those who fall below these dimensions are said to be of small stature. Women, in general, are two or three inches below the standard of men. Of giants and dwarfs, notice shall be taken in another place.

Though the human body be externally more delicate, it is, however, very nervous, and perhaps stronger, for its size, than the most robust quadruped. In comparing the force of a lion to that of a man, it ought to be considered, that the lion is armed with teeth and talons; and that these dreadful weapons convey a false idea of real strength. The arms which man has received from Nature are not offensive; and happy had it been if art had never put into his  
hands

hands weapons more destructive than the claws of the lion.

But there is a juster method of instituting a comparison between the strength of a man and that of the other animals, namely, by the weight they are able to carry. It is affirmed, that the porters of Constantinople can carry burdens of nine hundred pounds weight; and Desaguliers tells us, that, by means of a certain harness, by which every part of a man's body was proportionably loaded, the person he employed in this experiment was able to support, in an erect posture, a weight not less than 2000 pounds. A horse, which is about six times the size of an ordinary man, ought, therefore, when managed in the same manner, to bear 12,000 or 14,000 pounds; an enormous weight, in comparison of what that animal can support, even when it is distributed with every possible advantage.

The strength of animals may likewise be estimated by agility and perseverance in labour. Men, when accustomed to running, outstrip horses, or at least continue their speed much longer; and a man will accomplish a long journey sooner, and be less fatigued, than even the best road horses. The royal messengers of Spahan, who are trained to running, go 36 leagues in 14 or 15 hours. We are assured by travellers, that the Hottentots outrun lions in the chase; and that those savages who live upon hunting, pursue and even catch deer, and other animals of

equal swiftness. Many other stories are told of the amazing nimbleness of savages, of the long journeys they accomplish on foot, over the most craggy mountains, where there is no path to direct, and every obstacle to obstruct their progress. These people are said to travel 1000 leagues in six weeks, or at most two months. If we except birds, whose muscles are proportionally stronger than those of any other animal, no other creature could support such long continued fatigue. The civilized man is ignorant of his own strength; nor is he sensible how much he is weakened by effeminacy, nor to what extent he might recover his native force by an habitual and vigorous exercise of his powers.

Men of extraordinary strength sometimes appear \*. But this gift of nature, which would be highly valuable in the savage state, is of little use among polished nations, where more depends on mental than corporeal powers, and where manual labour is confined to the inferior orders of men.

Men are much stronger than women; and they have often employed this superiority in exercising a cruel and tyrannical dominion over the weaker sex, who were entitled to share with them both the pleasures and the pains of life. Savage nations condemn the women to perpe-

\* Nos quoque vidimus Athanatem nomine prodigiis ostentationis quingenario thorace plumbeo indutum, cothurnisque quingenatorum pondo calcatum, per scenam ingredi. Plin. lib. 7.

tual

tual labour. They cultivate the ground, and perform every office of drudgery, while the men indolently recline in their hammocks, from which they never think of stirring, unless when they go a hunting or fishing; and, so averse are they to motion, that they have often been known to stand in the same position for several hours. A savage has no idea of walking for amusement; and nothing astonishes him more than to see Europeans walking backwards and forwards in quest of nothing. All men are naturally indolent; but the savages of warm countries are not only the most lazy of human beings, but the most tyrannical to their women, whom they treat with a cruel barbarity. In nations more civilized, men dictate laws to the women. These laws are always more severe in proportion to the grossness of the national manners; and it is only among people highly polished that women have obtained that equality of condition which is due to them, and which contributes so powerfully to the happiness of society. This politeness of manners is the genuine offspring of the softer sex; they have opposed it to the arms of the victor, while their modesty has taught us to acknowledge the empire of beauty, a natural advantage greatly superior to mere strength. But, to give it full force and value, requires the assistance of art; for the ideas of beauty are so different, so capricious, and even contradictory, that the women, it is probable, have gained more



by the art of making themselves amiable, than by beauty itself, of which men form such opposite judgments. Men are agreed as to the ultimate object of their passion for the other sex, the estimation of which is augmented by the difficulty of acquisition. The beauty of women commenced the moment they learned to make themselves respectable, by refusing all approaches to their hearts which proceeded not from delicacy of sentiment; and, whenever the influence of sentiment was felt, polished manners was a necessary consequence.

The taste of beauty, among the ancients, differed widely from ours. With them, a small fore-head and joined eye-brows were charming features in a female countenance; and, in Persia, large joined eye-brows are still highly esteemed. In some Indian countries, black teeth and white hair are necessary ingredients in the character of a beauty; and in the Marian Islands it is a capital object with ladies to blacken their teeth with herbs, and to bleach their hair with certain liquors. Beauty, in China and Japan, is composed of a large countenance, small and half concealed eyes, a broad nose, minute feet, and a prominent belly. Some Indians of America and of Asia compress the heads of their children between two wooden planks, with a view to enlarge and beautify the face; others compress them laterally, others depress the crown only, and others make the head as round as possible.

fible. Every nation has ideas of beauty peculiar to itself; and every individual has his own notions and taste concerning that quality. These peculiarities probably originate from the first agreeable impressions we receive of certain objects; and therefore depend more upon chance and habit than upon difference of constitution. When we come to treat of the senses, we shall perhaps be able to give more determined ideas concerning those perceptions of beauty we receive by the eye.

## S E C T. IV.

*Of Old Age and Death.*

EVERY object in Nature must change and decay. The bodies of men no sooner arrive at full maturity, than they instantly begin to decline. The waste is at first insensible; several years frequently revolve before we perceive any considerable alteration. But we ought to feel the weight of our years, better than their number can be estimated by strangers; and, as those are seldom deceived who judge of our age by external characters, we would be still more sensible of it from what passes within us, if we were more attentive to our feelings, and deceived not ourselves by vanity and fallacious hopes.

When the body has acquired its full length, it increases in thickness: The commencement of this augmentation is the first step towards decay; for this extension is not a continuation of growth, which would communicate force and activity, but merely an addition of superfluous matter, that blows up the body, and loads it with a useless weight. This matter, which

which is denominated fat, generally appears at the age of 35 or 40 years; and, in proportion as the quantity of it augments, the body loses its former lightness and freedom of motion; its generative faculty is diminished; its members turn unwieldy; and it acquires extension at the expence of strength and activity.

Besides, the bones increase in solidity; the nutritious juices, which formerly served to expand the bones, now increase their quantity of matter only, by filling up their internal cavities; the membranes are changed into cartilages, and the cartilages into bones; the fibres of the muscles grow rigid; the skin is deprived of its moisture, and wrinkles are gradually formed in it; the hair turns hoary; the teeth fall out; the visage assumes a haggard appearance, the body bends forward, &c. The first approaches of this state are perceptible before the age of 40; they advance by slow degrees till 60, and more rapidly from that to 70, when decrepitude commences, and continues to augment till 90 or 100, when death puts a final period to our existence.

We shall now take a more particular survey of these changes; and, as we have inquired into the causes of the growth and expansion of the human body, let us also investigate those of its decay and dissolution. At the commencement of our existence, the bones are only small fibres, of a soft and ductile substance, and gradually acquire consistence and solidity. They may be

considered, in their original state, as small tubes lined both within and without with a thin membrane: This double membrane furnishes the osseous matter; for the small interval between the internal and external periosteum is soon converted into a bony plate. Some idea of the production and growth of bones may be formed, by comparing them with the manner in which wood and the more solid parts of vegetables are produced. We shall take, for example, the fig-tree or the alder, which are at first hollow in the middle, like the thigh and other hollow bones of the body. When a bud, that is to form a branch, begins to extend, it is only a soft ductile matter, which, by extension, becomes a slender herbaceous tube filled with pith. The external and internal surfaces of this tube are covered with a fibrous membrane, as well as the internal partitions by which the cavity is divided. These membranes, however thin, are composed of several plates of fibres lying above each other, which are still soft, but gradually harden by depositing the sap which they absorb for their nourishment; and by this means a woody plate is formed, during the first year, between the two membranes, which is more or less thick in proportion to the quantity of sap that has been deposited between the external and internal membranes. But, though each of these membranes become woody internally, their external surfaces remain soft and

and ductile; and, the following year, when the bud at the top of the branch begins to expand, the sap rises through the soft fibres of each membrane, and converts them, by its sediments, into other woody plates. The same process goes on annually; and, in this manner, the tree or branch gradually increases in thickness. The internal cavity likewise augments in proportion to the growth of the branch; because the internal membrane extends along with the other parts, and the woody plates are only applied successively to the plates already formed. If we examine a branch, or a joint, which has been the product of one year, we shall find, that it uniformly preserves the same figure through all the stages of its growth. The joints or knots which mark the production of each year, become fixed points for the reaction of those powers that expand the contiguous parts during the following year. The superior buds react against these points, and, by expanding themselves, form new branches or joints in the same manner as the first were produced.

The process of ossification would be very similar to that we have now described, if the fixed points of the bones began at the extremities, in place of the middle. At first the bones of the fœtus are only small threads, or tubes, of a ductile matter, which are easily perceived through the delicate and transparent skin. The thigh-bone, for example, is then a small short tube, like

like the herbaceous tubes above described. This tube is shut at both ends by a pulpy substance, and its external and internal surfaces are covered with two membranes composed of several layers of soft and ductile fibres. In proportion as this tube receives nutritious juices, the two extremities extend and recede from the middle point, which always preserves the same station. The extremities cannot extend without reacting against this middle point; and the parts which are nearest it begin first to acquire solidity. The first bony plate, like the first plate of wood, is produced in the interval which separates the two membranes or periosteæ. But the ossification commences in the middle, and gradually extends to the extremities, which remain soft long after the middle parts are converted into bone. The middle parts of bones, therefore, being first ossified, it is impossible that they should afterwards expand equally with those parts which remain longer in a soft and ductile state. This is the reason why bones are always thinnest in the middle, and thickest at the extremities. But, independent of this difference between the longitudinal growth of bones and of wood, the analogy between their increase in thickness is very striking: For the first bony plate is produced from the internal part of the periosteum; and, after the formation of this plate between the two periosteæ, two other plates are soon formed, one on each side of the first,

to

to which they adhere; and by this means both the circumference of the bone, and the diameter of its cavity, are augmented. Thus the interior parts of the two periosteæ continue successively to produce bony plates, in the same manner as woody plates are produced from the bark of vegetables.

But, after the bone has acquired its full growth, after the periosteæ cease to furnish ossious matter, then the nutritious juices, which were formerly employed in augmenting the bulk of the bone, serve only to increase its density. These juices are deposited in the internal parts of the bones, and give them more weight and solidity, as may easily be perceived by comparing the weight and density of an ox with those of a calf. The substance of the bones become, in process of time, so compact, as not to admit the circulation of those juices which are necessary for their support and nourishment. This substance, therefore, must now undergo a change similar to that which takes place in old trees, after they have acquired their full solidity; and this change is one of the first causes which render the dissolution of the human body inevitable.

The cartilages, which may be regarded as soft and imperfect bones, likewise receive nutritious juices, which gradually augment their density. They become more and more solid as we advance in years; and, in old age, are almost

as

as hard as bones. This rigidity of the cartilages renders the motion of the joints extremely difficult, and, at last, deprives us of the use of our members, and produces a total cessation of external movements. This is a second, and more marked cause of death, because it manifests itself by a laborious performance of the common actions of the body.

The membranes likewise become hard and dry, as we advance in years. Those, for example, which surround the bones, soon lose their flexibility. At the age of 20, they are incapable of farther extension. The muscular fibres suffer a similar change, in proportion to the time of life; though, to the touch, they seem to be softer as age increases. It is not the muscles, however, but the skin, that occasions this perception. After the body is come to its full growth, the fat increases, and, by being interposed between the fibres of the muscles, and between the skin and the muscles themselves, makes them feel softer, when, in reality, their density is greatly increased. Of this fact we have an incontestible proof, by comparing the flesh of young with that of old animals. In the former, it is tender and delicate; but, in the latter, it is dry, hard, and unfit for eating.

The skin always extends as the body increases; but, when the body diminishes, the skin has not elasticity enough to enable it to contract to its former dimensions: It, therefore, continues in wrinkles

wrinkles and folds, which can never be effaced. The wrinkles of the face partly arise from this cause; but, in their production, they have a relation to the form, to the features, and to the habitual motions of the countenance. If we examine the face of a man of 25 or 30 years of age, we may trace the origin of all the wrinkles which will appear in old age, especially when he laughs, cries, or makes any violent grimace. All the folds which are exhibited in these actions will in time become indelible wrinkles.

In proportion as we advance in years, the bones, the cartilages, the membranes, the flesh, the skin, and every fibre of the body, become more solid, hard, and dry. Every part shrinks and contracts; and every movement is performed with slowness and difficulty: The circulation of the fluids is sluggish and interrupted; perspiration is diminished; the secretions change; digestion becomes slow and laborious; the nutritious juices are less abundant, and, being rejected by parts which are already too dense, they communicate no supplies. These parts, therefore, may be regarded as already dead, because they have ceased to receive nourishment. Thus the body dies by inches; its motions gradually decay; life wears away by imperceptible degrees; and death is only the last term in the series.

As, in women, the bones, the cartilages, the muscles, and every other part of the body, are softer



softer and less solid than those of men, they must require more time in hardening, to that degree which occasions death: Women, of course, ought to live longer than men. This reasoning is confirmed by experience; for, by consulting the bills of mortality, it appears, that, after women have passed a certain age, they live much longer than men who have arrived at the same age.

From what has been said, it may also be concluded, that men who have a weakly appearance, and approach nearer to the constitution of women, should live longer than those who are more robust; and likewise, that persons of either sex, who are long before they arrive at their full growth, should outlive those who advance more rapidly to that point; because, in this case, the bones, cartilages, and fibres, are later in arriving at that degree of solidity which is necessary to their destruction.

This natural cause of death is common to all animals, and even to vegetables. An oak perishes only because the oldest parts of the wood, which are in the centre, become so hard and compact, that they can receive no further nourishment. The moisture they contain being deprived of circulation, and not being replaced by fresh supplies, ferments, corrupts, and gradually reduces the fibres of the wood into powder.

The duration of life may, in some measure, be computed by the time occupied in growth. A plant or an animal that acquires maturity in

a short

a short time, perishes much sooner than those which are longer in arriving at that period. In animals, as well as vegetables, the longitudinal growth is first finished. Man grows in stature till he be 16 or 18 years of age; but his body is not completely unfolded in thickness before that of 30. Dogs acquire their full length in less than one year; but their growth in thickness is not finished till the second year. A man, who grows 30 years, lives till 90 or 100; and a dog, whose growth terminates in two or three years, lives only 10 or 12. The same observation may be applied to most animals. Fishes continue to grow for a great number of years; they accordingly live for centuries; because their bones never acquire the density of those of other animals. When we give the particular history of animals, we shall examine whether there be any exception to this rule, which Nature seems to follow in proportioning the duration of life to the time of growth, and whether crows and stags live so long as is commonly imagined. But it may be laid down as a general fact, that large animals live much longer than small animals, because they require more time to finish their growth.

Thus the causes of our dissolution are inevitable; and it is equally impossible to retard that fatal period, as to change the established laws of Nature. The ideas of those visionaries, who conceived the possibility of perpetuating human

life

life by the use of certain medicines, would have perished with themselves, if self-love did not always induce us to believe what exceeds the powers of Nature, and to be sceptical with regard to the most certain and invariable truths. The universal panacea, the transfusion of the blood, and other methods which have been proposed to render our bodies immortal, are as chimerical as the fountain of youth is fabulous.

When the constitution is sound, life may, perhaps, be prolonged for a few years, by moderating the passions, and by temperance. But even this is a difficult point; for, if it be necessary that the body should exert its whole force, and that it should waste all its powers by labour and exercise, what advantages can we derive from regimen and abstinence? Some men have indeed exceeded the ordinary term of human life: Without mentioning those extraordinary instances of longevity recorded in the Philosophical Transactions, such as that of Par who lived to the age of 144, and of Jenkins who lived 165 years, we have many examples of the prolongation of life to 110, and even 120. These men, however, used no peculiar arts for the preservation of their bodies. They appear, on the contrary, to have been peasants, hunters, labourers, and people accustomed to abuse their bodies, if it be possible to abuse them by any other means than those of continual idleness and debauchery.

Beside,

Besides, the varieties of climate, and of the modes of living, make no difference as to the period of our existence, which is the same in the European, the Negro, the Chinese, the American, the civilized man and the savage, the rich and the poor, the citizen and the peasant. Neither does the difference of races, of food, or of accommodation, make any change on the duration of life. Men who feed upon raw flesh or dried fish, on sago or rice, on cassada or roots, live as long as those who are nourished with bread and prepared viands. It is apparent, therefore, that the duration of life has no dependence either on manners or customs, or the qualities of particular food: If luxury and intemperance be excepted, nothing can alter those laws of mechanism which regulate the number of our years.

Any little differences which may be remarked in the term of human life seem to be owing to the quality of the air. There are generally more old men in high than in low countries. The mountains of Scotland, of Wales, of Auvergne, and of Switzerland, have furnished more examples of extreme old age than the plains of Holland, Flanders, Germany, or Poland. But, taking mankind in general, there is hardly any difference in the duration of life. When men are not cut off by accidental diseases, they every where live 90 or 100 years. Our ancestors never exceeded this period; and, since

VOL. II.

H H

the age of David, it has suffered no variation. If it should be asked, why the first races of men lived 900, 930, and even 969 years? we may, perhaps, be able to give a satisfactory answer. The productions of the earth were then of a different nature. The surface of the globe, as we remarked when treating of the Theory of the Earth, was, in the first ages of the world, less solid and compact; because, gravity having acted for a short time only, terrestrial bodies had not acquired their present density and consistence. The produce of the earth, therefore, must have been analogous to its condition. The surface being more loose and moist, its productions would, of course, be more ductile and capable of extension: Their growth, therefore, and even that of the human body, would require a longer time of being completed. The softness and ductility of the bones, muscles, &c. would probably remain for a longer period, because every species of food was more soft and succulent. Hence, the full expansion of the human body, or when it was capable of generating, must have required 120 or 130 years; and the duration of life would be in proportion to the time of growth, as is uniformly the case at present: For if we suppose the age of puberty, among the first races of men, to have been 130 years, as they now arrive at that age in 14 years, the age of the Antedeluvians will be in exact proportion to that of the present race; since, by

multi-

multiplying these two numbers by seven, for example, the age of the present race will be 90, and that of the Antedeluvians will be 910. The period of man's existence, therefore, may have gradually diminished in proportion as the surface of the earth acquired more solidity by the constant action of gravity; and it is probable, that the period from the creation to the days of David was sufficient to give the earth all the density it was capable of receiving from the influence of gravitation; and, consequently, that the surface of the earth has ever since remained in the same state, and that the terms of growth, in the productions of the earth, as well as in the duration of life, have been invariably fixed from that period.

Independent of accidental diseases, which are more frequent and dangerous in the latter periods of life, old men are subject to natural infirmities, that originate solely from the decay of the different parts of the body. The muscles lose their tone, the head shakes, the hands tremble, the legs totter, the sensibility of the nerves decreases, and every sense is blunted. But the incapacity for generating is the most characteristic infirmity of old age. This impotency may be ascribed to two causes; an alteration in the seminal fluid, and a want of tension in the external organs. The defect of tension is easily explained from the conformation of the organ itself, which is a spongy cavernous substance,

fitted

fitted to receive into its cavities a great quantity of blood, in order both to increase its size, and to render it more rigid. In youth, this organ is soft and flexible; and of course, it is easily extended by the impulse of the blood. But, as we advance in years, like every other part of the body, it becomes more solid, and loses its flexibility. Hence, though the impulse of the blood were equal to what it was in youth, this impulse is unable to dilate an organ which has become too dense to admit blood in a quantity sufficient to produce an erection that will answer the purposes of generation.

With regard to the change, or rather sterility of the seminal fluid, it cannot be prolific unless when it contains organic particles transmitted from every part of the body; for we have already shown \*, that the production of a small organized being, similar to its parent, cannot be effected without the union of the organic particles sent from all parts of the body. But, in very aged men, the parts have become too solid, and can neither receive, assimilate, nor transmit the nutritive and prolific particles. The bones and other solids, therefore, can neither produce nor transmit organic particles correspondent to their own natures; these particles must, of course, be wanting in the seminal fluids of old men; and this defect is sufficient to render them incapable of generating.

\* See above, ch. II. III. &c.

But,

But, admitting the sterility of old men to be owing to a defect in the organic particles of their seminal fluids, this defect may still be supplied by a young woman \*, which not unfrequently happens; for old men sometimes, though rarely, generate; and, when they do produce, they have a much smaller share in their children than young men. This is likewise one reason why young women, who are married to old, decrepit, and deformed men, often produce monsters, or children still more deformed than their fathers. But this is not a proper place for such discussions.

The greatest part of mankind die of the scurvy, the dropsy, or other diseases which seem to proceed from a vitiation of the blood and other fluids. Whatever influence the fluids may have in the animal economy, they are only passive and divisible substances, and obey the impulses of the solids, which are the true organic active parts, and upon which the motion, the quality, and even the quantity of the fluids entirely depend. In old age, the cavities of the vessels contract, the muscles lose their tone, the secretory organs are obstructed; the blood, the lymph, and the other fluids, of course, grow viscid, extravasate, and produce all those diseases and symptoms which are usually ascribed to a vitiation of the humours. But the natural decay of the solids is the original cause of these maladies.

\* See above, ch. x.

Though

Though it be true, that the bad state of the fluids proceeds from a depravity in the organization of the solids; yet the effects resulting from a change in the fluids produce the most alarming symptoms, if they become stagnant, or if they be obstructed in their circulation by the contraction of the vessels; if, by the relaxation of the vessels, they extravasate, they must soon corrupt, and corrode the weaker parts of the solids. In this manner the causes of destruction perpetually multiply; our internal enemies grow more and more powerful, and at last put a period to our existence.

All the causes of decay which I have mentioned, act continually upon the human body, and gradually lead to its dissolution. Death, which appears so terrible to us, is the last term only in the series of evils. Life begins to decay long before it is entirely extinguished; and the changes are perhaps greater from youth till the beginning of our decay, than from decrepitude to death; for we ought here to consider life as a subject capable of augmentation and of diminution. When the fœtus is first formed, the quantity of life is almost equal to nothing: It gradually extends and acquires consistence and force, in proportion to the growth and expansion of the body. On the other hand, when the body begins to decay, the quantity of life diminishes, till its final extinction. Thus life

both

both commences and terminates by imperceptible degrees.

Why then should we be afraid of death, if we have no reasonable apprehensions of its consequences? Why dread this single moment, which has been preceded by an affinity of others of the same order; since death is fully as natural as life, and both arrive in the same manner, without our being able to perceive their approach? If we inquire of physicians, and those who are accustomed to observe the actions and sentiments of the dying, we shall find, that, except in a few acute diseases, attended with agitations and convulsions, which exhibit only the appearances of pain, most men expire quietly, and without the smallest indication of uneasiness. Even when patients seem to be afflicted with the most dreadful agonies, they have no existence but in the imagination of the spectator: The truth of this has been repeatedly attested by many people who have recovered after the most violent commotions and convulsions, who, notwithstanding, were unable to recollect a single pang they had felt, or a single idea or sentiment that had passed during this seemingly distressful situation.

The greatest part of mankind, therefore, die without being sensible of the fatal stroke; and of those who preserve their senses to the last groan, there is not, perhaps, one who does not entertain some hope of recovery. Nature, for the happiness of man, has rendered this principle

II H 4

ple



ple much stronger than reason. Men never cease to flatter themselves with hopes of recovery, even though they might judge of their real condition from the example of others who had been afflicted with the same incurable disorders, from the tears of their friends, and from the countenances or desertion of their physicians. All these mortifying circumstances are only regarded as premature and ill-grounded fears; and hope never leaves us, till death shuts the scene.

A sick man tells you, that he feels the hand of death, that the king of terrors is just about to arrive, and that recovery is impossible: But if, from zeal or indiscretion, he is informed of his approaching dissolution, his countenance instantly changes, and he betrays all that uneasiness which naturally attends the first intimation of death. This man, it is evident, gives no credit to his own assertions. He may entertain some doubts concerning his situation; but his hopes are always superior to his fears: And, if he were not alarmed by that cruel parade of grief which too often imbitters the sick man's couch, he would never perceive the approach of his dissolution.

Death, therefore, is not that horrible object which we have fancied to ourselves. It is a spectre which terrifies us at a distance, but disappears when we approach it more closely. Our conceptions of it are founded on prejudice; and we regard it not only as the greatest of all mis-

fortunes,

fortunes, but as accompanied with the most excruciating tortures. The pain, it is said, must be extreme when the soul separates from the body; its duration may also be long, since time is measured by the celerity of ideas; and one painful moment, by augmenting the rapidity of our ideas, may have the appearance of an age, when the train of ideas proceeds with their usual gentleness and tranquillity. This reasoning is such an abuse of philosophy, that, if it had no influence in increasing the miseries of human life, it merits nothing but silence and contempt. As such arguments, however, gain credit with weak minds, and render the aspect of death a thousand times more hideous than it really is, a refutation of them may be attended with utility.

When the soul is first united to the body, do we feel a joy that transports us? No. This union is effected without our perception; why, then, should we be conscious of their dissolution? What reason have we to believe that the separation of the soul and body is attended with extreme pain? What cause should produce this pain? Does it reside in the soul or in the body? Pain of mind can only result from thought; and pain of body is always proportioned to its strength or weakness. At the approach of natural death, the body is in its weakest state, and, of course, it can feel but very little, if any pain.

Let us now suppose a violent death: Can the sufferings of a man, for example, whose head is carried

carried off by a cannon-ball, be more than instantaneous? Can the succession of his ideas, during this instant, be so rapid as to make the pain seem to continue for an hour, a day, or a century? We shall endeavour to discuss this point.

I acknowledge that the succession of our ideas is the only natural measure of time, and that we conceive it to be shorter or longer in proportion to the uniformity or irregularity of their motions. But, in this measure, there is a unit or fixed point, which is neither arbitrary nor indefinite, but is determined by Nature, and corresponds with the particular organization of individuals. Two ideas, which succeed each other, must necessarily be separated by an interval; one thought, however rapid, must require some portion of time before it can be followed by another. No succession can take place in an indivisible instant. The same remark is applicable to sentiment or feeling. A certain time must elapse in the transition from pain to pleasure, or from one painful sensation to another. This interval between our thoughts and sensations is the unit or fixed point formerly mentioned; and it can neither be extremely long nor extremely short, but must be nearly equal in its duration; because it depends on the nature of the mind and the organization of the body, the movements of which must have a determined degree of celerity. In the same indivi-

vidual,

vidual, therefore, there can be no succession of ideas so rapid, or so slow, as to produce that enormous difference in duration, by which a momentary pain is prolonged to that of an hour, a day, or a century.

A very acute pain, if continued for a certain time, uniformly brings on either fainting or death. Our organs, which are endowed only with a certain degree of force, cannot resist more than a certain quantity of pain. If the pain becomes excessive, the organs are unable to support it; and, of course, they can transmit no intelligence of it to the mind, with which there is no correspondence but by the distinct action of these organs. In this case, the action of the organs is interrupted; and, consequently, all internal sensation is at an end.

What I have already remarked is perhaps more than is sufficient to evince, that the instant of death is neither accompanied with extreme nor long-continued pain. But, in order to eradicate the fears of the most timid of mankind, we shall still add a few words upon this subject. Excessive pain extinguishes all reflection, though symptoms of it have sometimes appeared in the very moment of violent death. When Charles XII. received the blow which terminated, in an instant, both his enterprises and his existence, he clapped his hand upon his sword. This mortal pang, since it excluded not reflection, could not be excessive. He found himself attacked;

he

he considered that he ought to defend himself; it is evident, therefore, that he felt no greater pain than he would have suffered from an ordinary stroke. This action could not be the result of a mechanical impulse; for I have shewn, in the description of man, that the most precipitate movements of the passions depend upon reflection, and are nothing but habitual exertions of the mind.

I would not have dwelt so long upon this subject, if I had not been anxious to eradicate a prejudice so repugnant to the happiness of man. I have seen many victims sacrificed to this prejudice, especially among the female sex, who die daily through the terror of death. Such dreadful apprehensions seem peculiarly to affect those who, by nature or education, are endowed with superior sensibility; for the vulgar look forward to their dissolution, either with indifference, or, at least, without any degree of terror.

True philosophy views objects as they exist. Our internal feelings would uniformly accord with this philosophy, if they were not perverted by the illusions of imagination, and by the unfortunate habit of creating hypothetical phantoms of excessive pains, and of pleasures which exceed the limits of human nature. Objects are only terrible or ravishing at a distance; when we have the resolution or the wisdom to take a near inspection of them, every alarming

and every alluring circumstance instantly disappear.

If this doctrine, concerning the gradual and generally insensible decay of the vital powers, required any farther support, no inconsiderable aid might be derived to it from the uncertainty of the signs of death. If we consult the writers on this subject, and particularly those of Winslow and Bruhier, we shall receive full conviction, that between life and death, the shade is often so undistinguishable, as to elude all the powers of the medical art. They inform us, 'That the colour of the face, the heat of the body, the fullness of the joints, are uncertain marks of life; and that the paleness of the countenance, the coldness of the body, the rigidity of the extremities, the cessation of motion, and the abolition of the senses, are very equivocal signs of death.' The same remark may be made with regard to the apparent cessation of the pulse, and of respiration: These motions are often so slow, that they elude all our perceptions. A mirror or a candle is applied near the mouth of a sick man; if the mirror be sullied, or the flame vibrates, life is concluded not to be extinguished. But these effects are often produced, after death has actually taken place; and sometimes they appear not, though the patient be still alive. When we wish to be certain of the death of any person, we apply fumes of tobacco, and other irritating bodies, to the nostrils; we endeavour

to excite the organs by violent agitations, by pricking or scarifying the hands and feet, by applying red hot iron or wax to different parts of the body, by raising loud and unusual cries, &c. But instances have occurred where all these and similar trials have proved abortive; and yet, to the astonishment of the spectators, the person supposed to be dead has afterwards recovered the powers of life.

Hence nothing can be more apparent, than that a certain condition of life has a great resemblance to actual death. Both humanity and reason, therefore, require that we should be cautious of abandoning the body, and of committing it too hastily to the grave. Neither ten, twenty, nor twenty-four hours are sufficient to distinguish a real from an apparent death; since instances are not wanting of persons returning from the tomb at the end of two and of three days. Why should we precipitate the interment of those persons, the prolongation of whose lives we most ardently desire? Why should a practice subsist, in the abolition of which all men are equally interested? Are not the frequent abuses recorded by physicians sufficient to deter us from too hasty interments? Mr. Winslow\* informs us, 'That the body, though living, is sometimes so completely deprived of every vital function, that it has every external appear-

\* See Winslow Differt. sur l'incertitude des Signes de la Mort, p. 81.

'ance of death. But,' he remarks, 'both religion and charity require, that a reasonable time should be allowed to discover whether any signs of life may not still manifest themselves, otherwise we become actual murderers, by burying people who are not dead. If we may credit the greatest number of authors, three days, or 72 hours, are sufficient for this purpose. If, during this period, no signs of life appear, but, on the contrary, the body begins to emit a cadaverous odour, which is an infallible mark of death, we may then bury it without scruple.'

We shall afterwards have an opportunity of mentioning the customs of different nations with regard to funerals, embalming, &c. The greatest part, even of the most savage people, pay more attention than we to their departed friends: What we esteem a ceremony only, they regard as a primary duty: They respect their dead; they clothe them; they speak to them; they recite their exploits; they praise their virtues: But we, who pretend to superior sensibility, fly from our dead, and inhumanly abandon them; we desire not to see them; we have neither the courage nor the inclination to speak of them; we even avoid such objects or situations as might recal the idea of them: We are, therefore, either more indifferent, or weaker, than savages.

Having

Having thus traced the history of life and death with regard to the individual, let us now consider both in relation to the whole species. Man dies at every age; and, though the duration of his life be longer than that of most animals, yet it is unquestionably more various and uncertain. Attempts have lately been made to ascertain these uncertainties, and, by observations, to fix some standard with regard to the mortality of mankind at different periods of life. If these observations were sufficiently numerous and exact, they would be of great utility in determining the number of people, their increase, the consumption of provisions, &c. Many authors have written with ability on this subject. M. de Parcieux, of the academy of sciences, has lately published an excellent work for regulating tontins and annuities. But, as his principal object was to calculate the mortality of annuitants, and as such persons are particularly pitched upon for their apparent strength of constitution, his calculations cannot be applied to mankind in general. For the same reason, his curious tables of the mortality of the different orders of religious must be confined to their proper objects. Hally, Grant, Kersboom, Simpson, &c. have also given tables of the mortality of the human species. But, as their observations have been limited to the bills of mortality in a few parishes of London, Breslau, and other large towns, they can afford little information as to the general mortality

mortality of mankind. To make complete tables of this kind, it is necessary to scrutinize the parish-registers, not only of London, Paris, &c. where there is a perpetual ingress of strangers, and egress of natives, but likewise those of the country, that, by comparing the results of both, general conclusions may be formed. M. Dupré de St. Maur, a member of the French Academy, has executed this plan upon twelve parishes in the country of France, and three in Paris. Having obtained his permission to publish his tables, I do it the more cheerfully, as they are the only calculations by which the probability of human life, in general, can be ascertained with any degree of certainty.



## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	1	2	3	4	5
Clement -	1391	578	73	36	29	16
Brinon -	1141	441	75	31	27	10
Jouy -	588	231	43	11	13	5
Leftiou -	223	89	16	9	7	1
Vandœuvre -	672	156	58	13	19	10
St. Agil -	954	359	64	30	21	20
Thury -	262	103	31	8	4	3
St. Amant -	748	170	61	24	11	12
Montigny -	833	346	57	19	25	16
Villeneuve -	131	14	3	5	1	1
Gouffainville -	1615	565	184	63	38	34
Ivry -	2247	686	298	96	61	50

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.	3738	963	350	256	178
Deaths before the end of 18, &c., years.	3738	4701	5051	5507	5485
Number of persons entered into their 18, &c., years.	10805	7067	6104	5754	5498

St. André -	1728	201	122	94	82	50
St. Hippolyte -	2516	754	361	127	64	60
St. Nicolas -	8945	1761	932	414	298	221

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.	2716	1415	635	444	331
Deaths before the end of 18, &c., years.	2716	4131	4766	5210	5541
Number of persons entered into their 18, &c., years.	13189	10473	9058	8423	7979

Division of 25904 deaths into the three parishes of Paris, and 22 country parishes.	6454	2378	985	700	509
Deaths before the end of 18, &c., years.	6454	8832	9817	10517	11026
Number of persons entered into their 18, &c., years.	25904	17540	15162	14177	12477

## YEARS of LIFE.

6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
16	14	10	8	4	6	5	6
16	9	9	8	5	2	12	2
8	4	6	1	0	3	0	3
4	3	1	1	1	0	1	0
11	8	10	3	2	1	3	3
11	4	7	2	7	3	3	3
2	2	2	1	2	0	0	0
15	3	6	8	6	4	4	2
21	9	7	5	5	2	4	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
21	17	15	12	8	5	5	9
29	34	26	13	19	9	6	4

154	107	99	62	59	35	44	36
5039	5746	5845	5907	5960	6001	6045	6081
5320	5166	5059	4960	4898	4839	4804	4760
35	28	14	8	7	3	9	6
55	25	16	20	8	9	9	6
162	147	111	64	40	34	38	25

252	200	141	92	55	46	56	37
5793	5993	6134	6226	6281	6327	6383	6420
7648	7396	7196	7055	6963	6908	6862	6806
406	307	240	154	114	81	100	73
11432	11639	11979	12133	12247	12328	12428	12501
12968	12562	12255	12015	11861	11747	11666	11566

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	14	15	16	17	18
Clement -	1391	5	5	6	6	10
Brinon -	1141	6	4	5	9	4
Jouy -	588	3	1	6	4	4
Lefflail -	223	1	1	1	1	0
Vandœuvre -	672	4	5	6	3	3
St. Agil -	954	3	5	2	7	8
Thury -	262	0	1	0	1	1
St. Amant -	748	5	1	5	3	6
Montigny -	833	2	4	2	2	3
Villeneuve -	131	0	1	0	2	4
Gouffainville -	1615	5	5	2	5	10
Ivry -	2247	4	8	7	4	14

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.

Deaths before the end of 14th, 15th, &c. years.	38	41	42	47	67
Number of persons entered into their 14th, 15th, &c. years.	6119	6160	6202	6249	6316
	4724	4686	4645	4603	4556

St. André -	1728	7	10	13	13	11
St. Hippolyte	2516	7	6	5	7	9
St. Nicolas -	8945	21	33	37	37	28

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.

Deaths before the end of 14th, 15th, &c. years.	35	49	55	57	48
Number of persons entered into their 14th, 15th, &c. years.	6455	6504	6559	6616	6664
	6769	6734	6685	6630	6573

Division of 23994 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 22 country parishes.

Deaths before the end of 14th, 15th, &c. years.	73	90	97	104	115
Number of persons entered into their 14th, 15th, &c. years.	12574	12664	12761	12865	12980
	11493	11420	11330	11233	11129

## YEARS of LIFE.

	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	3	13	8	9	10	7	22	9
	5	14	8	14	7	11	24	9
	3	5	2	4	4	4	5	2
	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1
	4	7	4	6	8	6	22	3
	5	6	4	6	3	6	11	10
	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	2
	1	4	7	6	6	4	5	4
	3	5	4	3	10	8	7	3
	0	1	1	4	1	0	1	0
	9	10	6	10	5	6	11	9
	10	12	6	15	10	9	10	14

	44	78	51	80	68	62	121	66
	6360	6438	6480	6569	6637	6699	6820	6886
	4489	4445	4367	4316	4236	4168	4106	3985
	10	7	9	17	11	9	9	8
	7	3	2	8	7	9	10	13
	44	53	31	56	48	41	59	47

	61	63	42	81	66	59	78	68
	6725	6788	6830	6911	6977	7036	7114	7182
	6525	6464	6401	6359	6278	6212	6153	6075
	105	141	93	161	134	121	199	134
	13085	13226	13319	13480	13614	13735	13934	14068
	11014	10909	10768	10675	10514	10380	10259	10060

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES	Deaths.	27	28	29	30	31
Clement -	1391	13	10	7	24	4
Brignon -	1141	7	13	6	28	6
Jouy -	588	2	3	4	8	2
Lefflou -	223	1	3	1	1	4
Vandeuvre -	672	5	10	1	28	2
St. Agil -	954	4	9	2	16	8
Thury -	262	0	5	2	2	0
St. Amant -	748	4	3	3	8	2
Montigny -	833	3	3	0	6	1
Villeneuve -	131	2	1	1	2	1
Gouffainville -	1615	9	8	10	10	4
Ivry -	2247	5	9	5	13	8

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.	55	77	42	146	42
Deaths before the end of 27th, 28th, &c. years.	6941	7018	7060	7206	7248
Number of persons entered into their 27th, 28th, &c. years.	3919	3864	3787	3745	3599

St. André -	1728	17	13	11	21	6
St. Hippolyte	2516	10	10	9	7	9
St. Nicolas -	8945	53	51	34	63	25

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.	80	74	54	91	49
Deaths before the end of 27th, 28th, &c. years.	7262	7336	7390	7481	7521
Number of persons entered into their 27th, 28th, &c. years.	6007	5927	5853	5799	5708

Division of 15592 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 12 country parishes.	135	151	96	237	82
Deaths before the end of 27th, 28th, &c. years.	14203	14354	14450	14687	14769
Number of persons entered into their 27th, 28th, &c. years.	9926	9793	9640	9544	9307

## YEARS of LIFE.

32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
13	14	8	17	12	18	15	3
15	3	4	20	8	8	8	6
5	4	3	13	6	7	4	1
4	3	1	6	4	4	1	1
9	1	3	17	5	5	4	0
7	2	5	18	9	4	5	1
3	1	0	7	0	1	2	2
8	6	5	7	4	5	5	3
10	3	4	8	4	1	2	9
2	1	0	6	5	0	5	0
14	6	7	8	8	5	2	7
11	18	10	19	12	13	23	3

101	62	50	146	77	71	76	27
7349	7411	7461	7607	7684	7755	7831	7858
3557	3456	3394	3344	3198	3121	3050	2974

10	17	15	21	14	8	12	4
12	13	13	16	21	15	13	10
57	41	54	82	75	58	59	46

79	71	82	119	110	81	84	60
7600	7671	7753	7872	7982	8063	8147	8207
5668	5589	5518	5436	5317	5207	5126	5042

180	133	132	265	187	158	160	87
14949	15082	15214	15479	15666	15818	15978	16065
9245	9045	8912	8770	8515	8328	8176	8016

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	40	41	42	43	44
Clemont -	1391	41	4	10	10	6
Brion -	1141	37	6	8	3	6
Jouy -	588	20	0	3	0	4
Leitrou -	223	4	0	2	2	0
Vandeuvre -	672	41	1	3	2	2
St. Agil -	954	22	2	8	7	3
Thury -	262	4	1	3	1	4
St. Amant -	748	20	1	6	2	4
Montigny -	833	8	3	6	5	4
Villeneuve -	131	7	0	3	1	0
Gouffainville -	1615	14	10	11	4	5
Ivy -	2247	27	7	19	7	14

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.	245	35	82	44	52
Deaths before the end of 40th, 41st, &c. years.	8103	8138	8220	8264	8316
Number of persons entered into their 40th, 41st, &c. years.	2947	2702	2667	2585	2541

St. André -	1728	26	5	19	12	10
St. Hippolyte -	2516	24	4	18	14	9
St. Nicolas -	8945	109	37	73	58	45

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.	159	46	110	84	64
Deaths before the end of 40th, 41st, &c. years.	8366	8412	8522	8606	8670
Number of persons entered into their 40th, 41st, &c. years.	4982	4823	4777	4667	4583

Division of 23994 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 13 county parishes.	404	81	192	128	116
Deaths before the end of 40th, 41st, &c. years.	16469	16550	16742	16870	16986
Number of persons entered into their 40th, 41st, &c. years.	7929	7525	7444	7252	7124

## YEARS of LIFE.

	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52
20	5	8	5	6	31	0	5	
11	5	6	9	0	23	1	3	
13	3	4	2	0	20	2	3	
3	3	0	3	3	5	1	1	
14	5	3	1	0	31	0	4	
14	1	3	3	0	24	3	9	
3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	
13	3	4	6	0	23	1	4	
13	6	1	6	1	10	2	5	
2	1	2	3	0	7	2	1	
11	9	5	12	6	15	4	9	
22	10	7	12	6	24	6	14	

139	51	43	62	22	216	22	56
8455	8506	8549	8611	8633	8849	8871	8927
2489	2350	2299	2256	2194	2172	1956	1934

24	21	9	13	10	24	7	18
33	14	13	15	12	20	10	19
111	54	47	68	50	120	40	59

168	89	69	96	72	164	57	96
8838	8927	8996	9092	9164	9328	9385	9481
4519	4351	4262	4193	4097	4025	3861	3804

307	140	112	158	94	380	79	152
17293	17433	17545	17793	17797	18177	18256	18408
7008	6701	6561	6449	6291	6167	5817	5738

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	53	54	55	56	57
Clement -	1391	5	5	14	5	5
Brimon -	1141	3	2	10	6	2
Jouy -	588	2	5	7	4	5
Leffion -	223	0	0	2	2	0
Vandœuvre -	672	1	1	13	1	1
St. Agil -	954	2	2	10	3	5
Thury -	202	1	1	4	0	1
St. Amant -	748	4	4	6	5	4
Montigny -	833	2	5	10	3	4
Villeneuve -	131	0	1	0	3	1
Gouffainville -	1615	5	9	6	10	10
Ivry -	2247	13	9	29	12	13

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.					
Deaths before the end of 534, 54th, &c. years.	38	44	111	54	51
Number of persons entered into their 53d, 54th, &c. years.	8965	9009	9120	9174	9225
	1878	1840	1796	1685	1631

St. André -	1728	8	10	19	11	15
St. Hippolyte -	2516	6	10	25	9	15
St. Nicolas -	8945	49	46	125	56	48

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.					
Deaths before the end of 534, 54th, &c. years.	63	66	169	76	78
Number of persons entered into their 53d, 54th, &c. years.	9544	9610	9779	9855	9933
	3708	3645	3579	3410	3334

Division of 25794 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 12 country parishes.					
Deaths before the end of 534, 54th, &c. years.	101	110	280	130	129
Number of persons entered into their 53d, 54th, &c. years.	18509	18619	18899	19029	19158
	5586	5485	5375	5095	4965

## YEARS of LIFE.

	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65
4	4	52	2	6	5	2	5	
3	0	24	1	3	4	7	7	
2	0	20	0	5	2	4	5	
1	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	
2	0	35	0	0	1	1	5	
3	3	21	3	2	7	5	7	
3	1	6	0	3	2	2	2	
7	2	27	0	4	3	4	12	
9	2	13	3	7	5	5	7	
2	1	4	3	0	1	1	2	
10	3	24	6	9	7	6	13	
13	3	40	3	12	12	11	14	

61	19	269	21	51	50	48	82
9286	9305	9574	9595	9646	9696	9744	9826
1580	1519	1500	1231	1210	1159	1109	1061
17	11	46	11	21	19	17	20
18	12	35	7	28	21	23	25
86	48	184	42	77	71	73	95

121	71	265	60	126	111	113	140
10054	10125	10390	10450	10576	10687	10800	10940
3256	3135	3064	2799	2739	2613	2502	2389
182	90	534	81	177	161	161	122
19340	19430	19964	20045	20222	20383	20544	20766
4836	4654	4564	4030	3949	3772	3611	3450



## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	66	67	68	69	70
Clemonst -	1391	5	3	4	1	11
Brimon -	1141	6	3	6	0	6
Jouy -	588	2	1	1	1	3
Lefflou -	223	1	1	0	1	0
Vandœuvre -	672	3	0	2	1	9
St. Agil -	954	3	6	5	2	19
Thury -	262	1	3	1	0	7
St. Amant -	748	7	5	6	6	18
Montigny -	833	6	2	5	1	9
Villeneuve -	131	3	0	1	0	4
Gouffainville -	1615	17	13	15	5	16
Ivry -	2247	21	5	23	7	31

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the } years they happened.	75	42	69	25	133
Deaths before the end of 66th, } 67th, dec. years.	9901	9943	10012	10037	10170
Number of persons entered into } their 66th, 67th, dec. years.	979	904	862	793	768

St. André -	1728	27	21	25	9	36
St. Hippolyte -	2516	19	12	20	13	35
St. Nicolas -	8945	95	67	115	50	177

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the } years they happened.	141	100	160	72	248
Deaths before the end of 66th, } 67th, dec. years.	11081	11181	11341	11413	11661
Number of persons entered into } their 66th, 67th, dec. years.	2249	2108	2008	1848	1776

Division of 23994 deaths into the } three parishes of Paris, and 12 } country parishes.	216	142	229	97	382
Deaths before the end of 66th, } 67th, dec. years.	20982	21124	21353	21450	21831
Number of persons entered into } their 66th, 67th, dec. years.	3228	3012	2870	2641	2544

## YEARS of LIFE.

71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78
1	3	1	3	5	1	1	2
2	12	2	0	4	2	0	3
1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	0	0	3	0	1	0
1	11	5	5	8	0	3	4
0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
3	10	2	2	18	2	4	4
2	8	3	2	9	1	4	2
0	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
8	22	12	12	16	6	6	8
6	21	11	19	24	12	11	14

  

25	100	37	44	88	24	33	38
10195	10295	10332	10376	10464	10488	10521	10559
635	610	510	473	429	341	317	284

  

9	25	14	19	20	16	10	25
10	28	5	15	23	11	18	15
64	118	53	90	127	63	59	69

83	171	72	124	170	90	87	109
11744	11915	11987	12111	12281	12371	12458	12567
1528	1445	1274	1202	1078	908	818	731

108	271	109	168	258	114	120	147
21039	22210	22319	22487	22745	22859	22979	23126
2160	2155	1784	1675	1507	1249	1135	1015

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	79	80	81	82	83
Clement -	1391	2	6	0	0	0
Brinon -	1141	0	3	1	0	0
Jouy -	588	0	2	0	0	0
Leffrou -	223	0	1	0	0	0
Vandœuvre -	672	0	7	0	0	0
St. Agil -	954	0	6	0	0	0
Thury -	262	0	3	0	0	0
St. Amant -	748	2	17	1	3	1
Montigny -	833	0	5	1	4	1
Villeneuve -	131	1	1	0	0	0
Gouffainville -	1615	1	17	6	9	5
Ivry -	2247	9	19	7	14	4

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the 7 years they happened.

Deaths before the end of 79th. 15 89 16 30 11

Deaths, &amp;c. years. 10574 10663 10679 10709 10720

Number of persons entered into their 79th, 80th, &amp;c. years. 246 231 142 126 96

St. André -	1728	8	17	4	10	8
St. Hippolyte -	2516	8	18	4	5	16
St. Nicolas -	8945	30	121	32	41	37

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the 7 years they happened.

Deaths before the end of 79th. 46 156 40 56 61

Deaths, &amp;c. years. 12613 12769 12809 12865 12926

Number of persons entered into their 79th, 80th, &amp;c. years. 622 576 420 380 324

Division of 23994 deaths into the three parishes of Paris, and 12 country parishes.

Deaths before the end of 79th. 61 245 56 86 72

Deaths, &amp;c. years. 23187 23432 23488 23574 23646

Number of persons entered into their 79th, 80th, &amp;c. years. 868 807 562 506 420

## YEARS of LIFE.

	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91
3	0	1	0	0	1			
0	0	0	0	1				
0	1							
0	0	0	1	1				
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
3	4	0	1	2	0	4	1	
1	0	0	0	0	0	1		
0	0	0	0	0	1			
7	2	4	4	2	2			
7	5	4	2	3	1	2	0	

21	12	9	8	9	5	9	1
10741	10753	10762	10770	10779	10784	10793	10794
85	64	52	43	35	26	21	12

7	3	7	4	5	2	4	0
4	10	4	1	4	2	2	2
25	35	19	20	25	4	17	5

36	48	30	25	34	8	23	7
12962	13010	13040	13065	13099	13107	13130	13137
263	227	179	149	124	90	82	59

57	50	39	33	43	13	32	8
23703	23763	23802	23835	23878	23891	23923	23931
348	291	231	192	159	116	103	71

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	92	93	94	95	96
Clemonst -	1391					
Brinon -	1141					
Jouy -	588					
Leffion -	223					
Vandœuvre -	672					
St. Agil -	954	0	0	0	0	0
Thury -	262					
St. Amant -	748	1	0	0	2	1
Montigny -	833					
Villeneuve -	131					
Gouffainville -	1615					
Ivry -	2247	2	0	0	1	0

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.	3	0	0	3	1
Deaths before the end of 92d, 93d, &c. years.	10797	10797	10797	10800	10801
Number of persons entered into their 92d, 93d, &c. years.	11	8	8	8	5

St. André -	1728	2	1	2	0	1
St. Hippolyte -	2516	2	1	1	2	1
St. Nicolas -	8945	9	5	4	5	2

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.	13	7	7	7	4
Deaths before the end of 92d, 93d, &c. years.	13150	13157	13164	13171	13175
Number of persons entered into their 92d, 93d, &c. years.	52	39	32	25	18

Division of 23994 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 12 country parishes.	16	7	7	10	5
Deaths before the end of 92d, 93d, &c. years.	23947	23954	23961	23971	23976
Number of persons entered into their 92d, 93d, &c. years.	63	47	41	33	23

## YEARS of LIFE.

PARISHES.	Deaths.	97	98	99	100
Clemonst -	1391				
Brinon -	1141				
Jouy -	588				
Leffion -	223				
Vandœuvre -	672				
St. Agil -	954	0	0	0	0
Thury -	262				
St. Amant -	748	0	3		
Montigny -	833				
Villeneuve -	131				
Gouffainville -	1615				
Ivry -	2247				

Total deaths 10805

Division of 10805 deaths into the years they happened.	0	3	0	1
Deaths before the end of 97th, 98th, &c. years.	10801	10804	10804	10805
Number of persons entered into their 97th, 98th, &c. years.	4	4	1	1

St. André -	1728	1	0	0	0
St. Hippolyte -	2516	0	1		
St. Nicolas -	8945	1	4	1	4

Total deaths 13189

Division of 13189 deaths into the years they happened.	2	5	1	4
Deaths before the end of 97th, 98th, &c. years.	13177	13182	13183	13187
Number of persons entered into their 97th, 98th, &c. years.	14	12	7	6

Division of 23994 deaths in the three parishes of Paris, and 12 country parishes.	2	8	1	5
Deaths before the end of 97th, 98th, &c. years.	23978	23986	23987	23992
Number of persons entered into their 97th, 98th, &c. years.	18	16	8	7

VOL. II.

K K

Many useful conclusions might be drawn from the above tables of M. Dupré. But I shall confine myself to those which regard the probabilities of the duration of life. In the columns under the years, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and other round numbers, as 25, 35, &c. there are, in the country-parishes, more deaths than in the preceding or subsequent columns. This is owing to the ages not being justly registered, most country-people being unable to ascertain their ages within less than two or three years. If they die at 58 or 59, they are registered at 60, and so of other round numbers. But this irregularity gives rise to no great inconvenience, as it can easily be corrected by the manner in which the numbers succeed each other in the tables.

It appears from the tables of the country-parishes, that one half of the children die nearly about the end of the fourth year; but, from the Paris table, 16 years are necessary to produce the same effect. This great difference proceeds from a general practice of the Parisians, who send their children to be nursed in the country, which necessarily increases the number of deaths during the first years of infancy. In the following calculation, I have estimated the probabilities of the duration of life from a combination of both tables; which must, therefore, make a very near approach to the truth.

TABLE,

TABLE, showing the Probabilities of the Duration of Human Life.

Age. Years.	Duration of life.		Age. Years.	Duration of life.		Age. Years.	Duration of life.	
	Years.	Months.		Years.	Months.		Years.	Months.
0	8	0	29	28	6	58	12	3
1	33	0	30	28	0	59	11	8
2	38	0	31	27	6	60	11	1
3	40	0	32	26	11	61	10	6
4	41	0	33	26	3	62	10	0
5	41	6	34	25	7	63	9	6
6	42	0	35	25	0	64	9	0
7	42	3	36	24	5	65	8	6
8	41	6	37	23	10	66	8	0
9	40	10	38	23	3	67	7	6
10	40	2	39	22	8	68	7	0
11	39	6	40	22	1	69	6	7
12	38	9	41	21	6	70	6	2
13	38	1	42	20	11	71	5	8
14	37	5	43	20	4	72	5	4
15	36	9	44	19	9	73	5	0
16	36	0	45	19	3	74	4	9
17	35	4	46	18	9	75	4	6
18	34	8	47	18	2	76	4	3
19	34	0	48	17	8	77	4	1
20	33	5	49	17	2	78	3	11
21	32	11	50	16	7	79	3	9
22	32	4	51	16	0	80	3	7
23	31	10	52	15	6	81	3	5
24	31	3	53	15	0	82	3	3
25	30	9	54	14	6	83	3	2
26	30	2	55	14	0	84	3	1
27	29	7	56	13	5	85	3	0
28	29	0	57	12	10			

K K 2

From

From this table, it appears, that a new born infant, or a child of 0 age, has an equal chance of living 8 years; that a child of 1 year will live 33 more; that a child of 2 years will live 38 more; that a man of 20 years will live 33 and five months more; and that a man of 30 years will live 28 more, &c.

It may be farther observed, 1. That 7 years is the age at which the longest duration of life is to be expected; for there is then an equal chance of surviving 42 years 3 months; 2. That, at 12 years, one fourth of life is expired, since we have no reason to hope for above 38 or 39 years more; 3. That, at 28 or 29 years, we have lived one half of our days, since there are only 28 more to be expected; and, lastly, That, at the age of 50, three fourths of life are gone, the remaining chance extending only to 16 or 17 years longer.

But these physical truths, however mortifying, may be alleviated by moral considerations. The first 15 years of our existence may be regarded as nothing: Every thing that passes during this long period, is either obliterated from the memory, or has so little connection with the views and objects which afterwards occupy our attention, that it ceases entirely to be interesting. The train of our ideas, and even the nature of our existence, suffer a total change. We begin not to live, in a moral sense, till after we have learned

learned to arrange our thoughts, to direct them towards futurity, to assume a kind of consistency of character similar to that state at which we are ultimately destined to arrive. Considering the duration of life in this point of view, which is the only real one, at the age of 25, we have passed one fourth of our days, at the age of 38, one half, and, at the age of 56, three fourths.

END OF THE SECOND VOLUME.