### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 242

April 6, 1965

A meeting of the Campus Planning Committee was held at 1:30 p.m. on April 6, 1965, in Room 120 of the Administration Building. Members present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky, Mr. Nolan E. Barrick and Chairman M. L. Pennington. Also present were Mr. Robert L. Mason, Mr. O. R. Downing and Mr. John G. Taylor.

#### 2996. Approval of Minutes

On motion by Mr. Barrick, seconded by Mr. Urbanovsky, the Minutes of Meetings Nos. 240 and 241 were approved.

#### 2997. President's Approval of Minutes

President Goodwin approved the Minutes of Meetings Nos. 240 and 241 on March 12, 1965.

#### 2998. Agricultural Facilities (CPC No. 93-64)

#### Horse Facilities

#### Inspecting Team

The trip has been made but the report has not been received as yet. The changing of department heads in Animal Husbandry has caused some delay.

#### 2999. Campus Lights

#### Men's Residence Council Request

Mr. Downing reported that the luminaries are still undelivered, and a tracer has gone out. Approximately one day's work remains.

#### 3000. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

#### A. Application

The preliminary proposal was mailed to the National Science Foundation on March 25, 1965.

#### B. X-Buildings on Site

There are five buildings on the present site. The two east buildings, X-17 and X-18, can remain in place. The middle building, X-19, could be turned to one side, although it probably would be best to remove it. The two west buildings, X-20 and X-21, must be removed.

It was the consensus that it would be well to move the buildings during the summer in order not to interfere with the Chemistry classes or interfere as little as possible. When the Research Building is in place, it will relieve the laboratories very little, and it probably will be necessary to continue the use of the X-buildings as laboratories after that time.

It was agreed that additional study on the site will be made and a specific recommendation given at the Board of Directors meeting in May.

### 3001. Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

#### A. Plans

After the recent meeting of the Building Committee, the architects were instructed to begin the preparation of plans, subject to Board approval, as the \$450,000 request for matching funds through the Higher Education Facilities Act has been approved at the state level, and it looks as if it will be approved at the federal level. It is imperative that progress be made as rapidly as possible.

#### B. Utility Extensions

It was agreed that the utility extensions should be developed for the entire area as a part of the master planning. It is presumed that the one engaged to prepare the plans will have a utility expert, and that the utilities will be a part of the study.

Pending the master plan, it was agreed that the project architect can proceed on his plans and specifications without difficulty.

#### 3002. Dormitory and Dining Facilities (Project CH-Tex-150(D)

Units B and C (CPC Nos. 72-62 and 73-62) (H. A. Lott, Inc., \$2,788,420.40 - August 1, 1964, and \$3,513,215.13 - August 1, 1964)

#### 1. Fountains

All the fountains seem to be working properly, with the possible exception of one overflow standpipe. The contractor may have it corrected.

#### 2. Sunken Terrace (South of Snack Bar, Unit C)

The plans have just gone back to Mr. Barrick's office for final completion, and it is anticipated that the plans will be completed within 10 days.

#### 3003. Dormitory Expansion

#### A. New Project

At the recent meeting of the Building Committee of the Board, the members agreed to recommend to the full Board that the College look to private capital for the next dormitory project or projects.

It was agreed that it would be well to explore various ground rules, such as whether or not women's housing will be included or if it will be primarily men's housing at this time, will it be private capital and private operation, or will it be a tax-exempt corporation which would be operated by the College, etc.?

#### B. Spaces Needed by 1967

A report from Mr. H. L. Burgess, Coordinator of Room Reservations, as of April 6, 1965, is as follows:

#### Reservations for Residence Halls

Fall Semester 1964			Fall Semester 1965
Men Women	1,100 1,420	- XC	1,633 2,077
	2,520		3,710

#### 3003. <u>Dormitory Expansion</u>

#### B. Spaces Needed by 1967 (continued)

The requests for Walls and Gates Halls reached 1,000 on January 1, 1965, and were cut off as the capacity is only 404 in each side, and about 30 spaces in each side must be reserved for the upper-class legislators and officers.

Reservations are first accepted as of October 1 for the fall semester of the following year.

The students now residing in the halls have priority for reservations for next year if they exercise it at the stipulated time this month.

#### 3004. <u>Greenhouses</u> (<u>Biology</u>)

Anything other than Dr. Camp's No. 1 recommendation, which would provide a fiber glass metal frame building, would be uneconomical, and it could be moved in the future. One unit 30' x 60' would cost about \$3 per square foot or between \$5,400 and \$6,000 for the total project. Whether or not there is need for another unit of 1,800 square feet, as requested, should be ascertained by someone else. Also, a determination should be made on academic need for the facilities.

#### 3005. Housing (Other) and Food Service

#### Housing Office

#### Construction Progress

Mr. Downing reported that the project is approximately 65 percent complete, and they hope to move the Room Reservations staff into the new facilities the first of next week.

#### 3006. <u>Library</u> (CPC No. 12-58)

It was agreed to recommend that the completion of the third story be added to that of the south basement of the Library, and that matching funds be requested through the Higher Education Facilities Act. An estimate of the construction cost is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. (Attachment No. 572, page 1722)

#### 3007. Master Plan

Mr. Barrick reported that he had contacted Dean Burchard on the preceding day, after a good bit of difficulty. Dean Burchard is interested in preparing the preliminary work only. He would consult with us on the nature of the problems and the selection of the firm to make the study if the College wishes. He could be available no earlier than May 17 and estimates that it would take two to three days to do the preliminary work. His fee would be \$250 per day, plus first-class fare and expenses.

In keeping with the action of the Building Committee at the recent meeting, the CPC agreed to recommend that the President invite Mr. Burchard to the campus at the time he is available.

#### 3008. Other Items

#### A. Southwestern Public Service Company Easement

The bill has been introduced in both Houses of the Legislature and should be handled on the uncontested calendar.

#### B. Safety Precautions

#### Radioactive Materials Survey

The survey is still in the process of preparation.

#### 3008. Other Items (continued)

#### C. City of Lubbock Easements

There seems to be some question as to whether or not the entire picture has been presented. Mr. Taylor, Mr. Urbanovsky and Mr. Mason were requested to serve as a subcommittee to work out all the details.

#### D. Campus Planning Conference

It was agreed that it would be well for Texas Tech to be represented at the Campus Planning Conference scheduled at The University of Texas on May 3 and 4, 1965, under the sponsorship of the School of Architecture at The University.

Mr. Urbanovsky, Mr. Barrick and Mr. Taylor said they would like to go. Mr. Mason and Mr. Downing will be at a meeting in North Carolina at the time. It was agreed to request President Goodwin to attend and, if possible, M. L. Pennington will attend.

#### 3009. Parking

#### Ports of Entry

The report from Dean Jones, Chief Daniels and Mr. Mike Stinson arrived on April 6, 1965, and is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. (Attachment No. 573, page 1723)

It was the consensus that, as there had been no time to make an advance study of the recommendations, it should be studied and action taken at a later date.

#### 3010. Wage Scale

For some time, the CPC has had under study a possible revision of the prevailing wage rates in order to comply with the state law.

Mr. Barrick presented a schedule showing the prevailing rates for Texas Tech on nonfederal contracts and HHFA projects as of 1964, Lubbock Independent School District, Associated General Contractors, City of Lubbock, Midwestern Trades Council as of 9-10-63 which would be the union rates, and the proposed new rates for Texas Tech. The theory used in the preparation of the proposed rates for Texas Tech was to gear the rates to the new classified pay plan, reduced to an hourly basis. The maximum rate for each craft was used.

Mr. Barrick said that a good many different ways had been tried and that information had been sought from contractors and others. It was thought that the rates used by the City could be those used for heavy construction, which would be less than those for the building trades. It was mentioned that it would be possible to back off a step or so on the scale, or it could be the average rather than using the maximum.

After a good bit of discussion and since the new rates are based on the college classified pay plan, it was agreed to request Mr. Fredric J. Wehmeyer, Director of Classified Personnel, to study the proposal and make recommendations. It was suggested that he check with the Business Manager of the Public Schools as he has promised them that he would be notified of any change on campus before it was made. Also, it was suggested that he check with the City to see if the heavy construction or the building trades rates were used. Mr. Wehmeyer has surveys from Reese Air Force Base, various hospitals and industry throughout the area also.

Due to the necessity to get the Minutes out as quickly as possible, the detailed list will not be included in the Minutes at this time.

#### 3011. Will Rogers Statue

#### Lighting

Mr. Downing reported that all the material has now been received and that the installation will be completed this week.

M. L. Pennington Chairman

Campus Planning Committee April 6, 1965 Attachment No. 572 Item 3006

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE

# Library Building Estimated Cost of Finishing and Furnishing South Basement and Third Floor

Construction Costs	\$176,920			
Architects' Fees $(1\frac{1}{4}\%)$	2,212			
Stacks, 80, 6 Sections @ \$307.55	24,604			
Tables, 300 @ \$35	10,500			
Chairs, 300 @ \$30	9,000			
Counter-height Shelving, 8, 7 Sections	3,519			
Card Catalog Files, 5	5,903			
Lockers to hang between Shelving (graduate student use), 30 @ \$54	1,620			
9 2	4001 07B			
	\$ <u>234,278</u>			
	8			
College Funds (2/3)	\$156,185			
College and University				
Facilities Act (1/3)	78,093			
	\$234,278			

Campus Planning Committee April 6, 1965 Attachment No. 573 Item 3009

TO: THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

FROM: DEAN JONES, BILL DANIELS AND MIKE STINSON, COMMITTEE REPORT ON LOCATIONS AND OPERATION PROCEDURES OF PORTS OF ENTRY ON THE TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL CAMPUS

The committee decided to locate the spots for the five (5) ports of entry as follows:

- 1. Located on the Broadway entrance just west of the entrance to the L-shape parking lot of Bledsoe, Gordon, West and Sneed Halls, on the north side of the street. (See Figure 1 shown on the enclosed map.) This will allow dormitory students to enter from the Broadway entrance and have access to the parking lot adjacent to Bledsoe, Gordon, West and Sneed Halls. This would also allow visitors to enter the Museum parking lot without going through the port. The port would also be so situated as to instruct visitors into the Museum parking lot and unauthorized vehicles could be turned to the right and exit back to College Avenue through the L-shape parking lot.
- 2. To be located approximately 30 feet east of the intersection of 15th and Akron Avenue on the north side of 15th. This would allow students to park at the Bookstore, the Infirmary and Room Reservations which is all 30-minute parking, and unauthorized vehicles could be turned into the Bookstore on a right turn and could exit back out 15th Street. The port located at this spot would not interfere with student parking at Weeks, Drane and Horn Halls.
- 3. Located on Boston Avenue at the north edge of the intersection of the street that runs east and west behind the new women's residence halls. This would allow free access to student parking around the new women's residence halls, also, the reserve parking lot south of the Library and any unauthorized vehicles could be turned west on the street behind the new women's residence halls and exit on Flint.
- 4. Located on 15th Street at the four-way stop in front and across the street from the Veterinary Science Building. This will allow free movement for reserve parking the reserve parking lot # 1. At this point, it would not interfere with the off-campus parking located west of the Plant Science Building. Unauthorized vehicles could be turned around at this intersection and exit on Flint.
- 5. Located on Boston Avenue at the intersection behind the Textile Engineering Building. This would allow free access to the off-campus parking west of the Textile Engineering Building, and unauthorized vehicles could be turned around at this intersection and exit on 6th Street.

Enclosed is a map showing the designated spots for the five (5) ports of entry which the above committee members thought would be best situated when and if ports of entry are installed on the Texas Technological College campus. (This map is on file in the Office of the Vice President for Business Affairs.)

#### THE OPERATING PROCEDURES OF THE FIVE (5) PORTS OF ENTRY

- To operate from 7 a.m. to 3 p.m. Monday through Friday and 7 a.m. till 12 noon on Saturday. During this time the patrolmen on duty in the patrol cars would keep the officers who man the control stations informed as to the parking spaces available inside the ports of entry.
- 2. Staff, faculty and all college vehicles would be allowed to pass through the ports at any time.
- 3. Commercial transportation such as buses and taxis would be allowed to enter at any time.
- 4. Vendors would be issued special parking permits and would be recognized at the ports of entry.
- Visitors would be issued visitors permits and instructed as to the parking spaces available. This would also serve as an information booth for visitors in reference to location of buildings, etc. When seminars and workshops are to be held on the college campus, temporary parking permits would be sent out in advance and they would also be recognized at the ports during the meetings.
- 6. Special parking permits for handicapped students would also be recognized at the ports of entry.
- 7. This would prevent the students living in the residence halls from bringing their cars to the inner part of the campus and delivering each other to and from class, which is our largest traffic problem on the campus at this time.
- 8. People who use our campus as a place to drive from one part of the city to another would also be asked to use College Avenue and Flint Avenue to go around the college campus.
- 9. This would also prevent parents from using our campus streets as a parking place to pick up students.

Chief Hamilton at The University of Texas was asked to give us an evaluation on ports of entry. Enclosed is a copy of his letter.

#### January 12, 1965

Mr. Bill Daniels Chief Security Officer Texas Technological College Lubbock, Texas 79409

In answer to your questions regarding ports of entry, we have had control stations at the major entries to the campus as long as we have had a Traffic and Security department. We had one control station when I came to The University of Texas almost 20 years ago. This control station controlled entry to and parking on Inner Campus Drive, which was the main drive to The University of Texas. At the present time we have three ports of entry, and we are in the planning stages of the fourth which will eventually be the main entrance to The University of Texas campus. We operate the three control stations from 7:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Because of a construction problem, we have opened an additional control station for one hour each morning. We reserve the Inner Campus Drive from 5:00 p.m. until 9:30 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Because our campus is situated in the heart of the city, these control stations serve not only to protect the parking in critical areas of the campus, but stop the flow of outside traffic through the campus during the peak class hours. They also allow visitors to have a place where they can get information upon arrival at the campus. Visitors are allowed to park within the restricted areas of the campus and they receive permits at the control stations. We require the departments expecting visitors to notify our department and we notify the officers at these control stations. The officer at the control station must display discretion when someone comes to the campus and arrangements have not been made.

This year we have registered 13,256 vehicles and of this number 9,239 have parking permits. We have also registered 174 motorcycles and of this number 153 have parking permits.

We have special motorcycle parking areas reserved for motorcycles. They must be parked in these areas and are not allowed to take up regular parking spaces.

We have 24,000 students and 7,943 faculty and staff members on the payroll as of December, 1964. This includes graduate students, teaching assistants, etc., who are on The University of Texas payroll.

I am definitely in favor of control stations and if our campus was laid out so that it was feasible, I would have the entire campus under control stations. It stops the problem of outside traffic which we have no control over and which are some of our more flagrant violators of our speed laws. This is noted when the control stations go off. Also, operating under the system of barring a vehicle which receives excessive tickets can be enforced and controlled because of the ports of entry. Also, it is good to have a place where visitors can receive information and locate individuals they wish to see on the campus.

We only allow faculty permits to enter the campus and go to their restricted areas. These permits are checked at the control stations.

I hope this information has been helpful. If I can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

/s/Allen R. Hamilton

Allen R. Hamilton Chief Traffic and Security Officer

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

AGENDA FOR THE JOINT MEETING OF THE CAMPUS AND BUILDING COMMITTEE AND CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE TO BE HELD AT 4:30 P.M. IN THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT APRIL 9, 1965

3012. Bookstore Addition (CPC No. 69-62) (H. A. Padgett Jr., August 1, 1964)

#### Final Acceptance

Consider the recommendation of the CPC for final acceptance date of March 11, 1965. (There is no question of liquidated damages, as the College has actually beautiful and the college has actually beau as the College has actually been using the facility since August 1, 1964.)

3013. Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

Consider approval of the recommendation of the Building Committee 6 K that the architects begin preparation of plans in order that progress may be made as rapidly as possible on development of the project.

3014. Dormitory and Dining Facilities (Project CH-Tex-150(D)

Units B and C (CPC Nos. 72-62 and 73-62) (H. A. Lott, Inc., \$2,788,420.40 - August 1, 1964, and \$3,513,215.13 - August

#### Elevators

Consider the recommendation of the CPC for final acceptance date of February 1, 1965, for completion of the contract with Esco Elevators, Inc.

3015. Dormitory Expansion

Consider the recommendation of the Building Committee that the College look to private capital for the next dormitory project or projects.

It would be well to explore ground rules, such as whether or not women's housing will be included or if it will be primarily men's housing at the start. Will it be private capital and private operation? Will it be a nonprofit corporation, etc.?

OK

#### 3016. Library

OK

Consider the recommendation of the CPC that the completion of the DK third floor be added to that of the south basement, and that matching funds be requested under the Higher Education Facilities Act. (It is estimated that the cost for the third floor and the south basement with equipment will be \$234,278. If matching funds are obtained, the cost to the College will be \$156,185 and \$78,093 from the Federal Government.)

#### 3017. Master Plan

OF

Consider the recommendation of the CPC that the President invite Dean John E. Burchard to the campus on May 17, 1965, to consult on the nature of the scope of the study and selection of the firm to prepare the plan.

#### 3018. Museum

OK

Consider the recommendation of the Museum Committee of the Board of Directors for approval of the Museum plans as developed to date.

#### 3019. Other Items

#### A. City of Lubbock Easements

(V)

The City of Lubbock requests the approval of the Board of Directors of Texas Technological College for an easement to alter their 69 KV and 12 KV feeder lines where Loop 289 intersects Erskine Street and crosses Texas Tech property, and along the Quaker Avenue right-of-way at Quaker and Erskine.

After the west leg of Loop 289 is completed, there will be a partial relocation of Quaker Avenue so that it will cross Loop 289 at almost a right angle. The relocation of Quaker south of Erskine will leave a portion of the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way unused. This unused portion will separate a small tract of college land which will lie west of the new portion of Quaker Avenue but east of the old portion. The City of Lubbock requests the approval of the Board of Directors of Texas Tech for a utility easement down the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way, subject to the Lubbock City Council's closing the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way, granting the College and the state of Texas a quitclaim deed to the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way and its acceptance by the Texas Legislature.

#### 3019. Other Items (continued)

#### B. Southwestern Public Service Company Easement

Southwestern Public Service Company requests the approval of the Board of Directors of Texas Technological College for an easement to bring their power line across what will be college property when the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way at Quaker Avenue and Erskine Street is closed and deeded to the College. There will be no poles on college property; just the power lines running above the property.

Skiles Oct funds

atte

ewrite

for bild sole

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 243

April 9, 1965

A meeting of the Campus and Building Committee of the Board of Directors and the Campus Planning Committee was held at 4:30 p.m. on April 9, 1965, in the Office of the President.

The only member of the Building Committee present was Mr. Harold Hinn. Other members of the Board of Directors attending were Mr. R. Wright Armstrong, Mr. Alvin R. Allison, Mr. Manuel DeBusk and Mr. J. Edd McLaughlin.

Members of the Campus Planning Committee present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky, Mr. Nolan E. Barrick and Chairman M. L. Pennington. Others present from the College were President R. C. Goodwin, Dr. W. M. Pearce, Mr. O. R. Downing, Mr. Robert L. Mason, Mr. John G. Taylor, Mr. Guy J. Moore, and Mr. R. B. Price.

(In order that the results of the meeting of the Board of Directors may be included in the Campus Planning Committee Minutes for record purposes, the action taken by the Board at the meeting on April 10, 1965, will follow that of the Campus and Building Committee for each item.)

## 3012. <u>Bookstore Addition</u> (<u>CPC No. 69-62</u>) (<u>H. A. Padgett, Jr., \$238,499</u> <u>August 1, 1964</u>)

#### Final Acceptance

Approved the final acceptance date of March 11, 1965.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

### 3013. Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

Authorized the architects to begin preparation of plans in order for progress to be made as rapidly as possible on the development of the project.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3014. <u>Dormitory and Dining Facilities (Project CH-Tex-150(D)</u>

Units B and C (CPC Nos. 72-62, and 73-62) (H. A. Lott, Inc., \$2,788,420.40 - August 1, 1964, and \$3,513,215.13 - August 1, 1964)

#### Elevators

Approved the final acceptance date of February 1, 1965, for the completion of the contract with Esco Elevators, Inc.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3015. <u>Dormitory Expansion</u>

At the meeting in the afternoon, it was agreed to postpone a decision on the method of financing the next dormitory project or projects until all members of the Building Committee were present.

At the meeting next day, it was reported that dormitory expansion is still being studied.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3016. Library

Authorized the completion of the third floor, along with that of the south basement, and the issuance of a request for matching funds under the Higher Educational Facilities Act.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3017. Master Plan

Authorized the President to invite Dean John E. Burchard to the campus on May 17, 1965, to consult with college officials on the nature and scope of the study and the selection of a firm to prepare the plan.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3018. Museum

The Museum Committee of the Board of Directors recommended that the Committee of the West Texas Museum Association proceed with the development of plans as presented.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3019. Other Items

#### A. City of Lubbock Easements

Approved an easement to the City to alter the 69 KV and 12 KV feeder lines at the intersection of Loop 289 and Erskine Street and along the Quaker Avenue right-of-way at Quaker and Erskine.

Approved granting to the City, a utility easement down the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way when Quaker Avenue is relocated in the vicinity of Quaker Avenue and Erskine and Loop 289, subject to the Lubbock City Council's closing the old right-of-way, granting the College and the State of Texas a quitclaim deed to it and its acceptance by the Texas Legislature.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### B. Southwestern Public Service Company Easement

Approved an easement to the Southwestern Public Service Company to bring its power line across what will be college property when the old Quaker Avenue right-of-way at Erskine Street is closed and deeded to the College.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3020. Skiles Act

The Board of Directors instructed the College administration to proceed with plans for a public bid sale of bonds to be issued under the Skiles Act and authorized the employment of bond counsel to assist. The plans are to be complete, if possible, in time for final approval at the May Board meeting.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

M. L. Pennington Chairman

The meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 244

May 15, 1965

A meeting of the Campus Planning Committee was held at 8:30 a.m. on May 15, 1965, in Room 120 of the Administration Building. Members present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky and Chairman M. L. Pennington. Mr. Bill Felty sat in for Mr. Nolan E. Barrick. Also present were Mr. O. R. Downing, Mr. Robert L. Mason and Mr. John G. Taylor.

#### 3021. Approval of Minutes

On motion by Mr. Urbanovsky, seconded by Mr. Felty, the Minutes of Meetings Nos. 242 and 243 were approved.

#### 3022. President's Approval of Minutes

President Goodwin approved the Minutes of Meeting No. 242 on April 13, 1965, and Meeting No. 243 on April 21, 1965.

#### 3023. Agricultural Facilities (CPC No. 93-64)

#### Horse Facilities

#### Inspecting Team Report

Mr. Felty reported that Miss Kirkwood's report has been ready for some time. It is requested that the report be forwarded to Dean Thomas in order that the agricultural staff may make their recommendations along with the report.

#### 3024. Campus Lights

#### Men's Residence Council Request

Mr. Downing reported that the lighting on the parking lot west and north of Bledsoe and Gordon lots was completed on May 2, 1965.

#### 3025. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

#### A. Application

The preliminary application has been prepared and is undergoing final checking preparatory to the informal first submission to NSF.

It was agreed to go ahead and submit the informal application, as suggested by the NSF, in order that the benefit of the agency's thinking may be available for the preparation of the formal application.

Also, it was agreed that a meeting will be devoted to the Chemical Research Building to determine the exact status, the extent of Board approval needed and the steps necessary prior to the filing of the formal application.

#### B. X-Buildings on Site

There are five X-buildings in the area, numbered 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, running from east to west. Building X-17 is a classroom building for which funds have been requested from the

#### 3025. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

#### B. X-Buildings on Site (continued)

Legislature to convert to a Chemistry laboratory. However, it has been decided to postpone the reconversion for an additional year, as Miss Clewell cannot spare the building due to the need for classrooms. Buildings 18, 20 and 21 are Chemistry laboratories at the present time; 19 is an office building. Buildings 17 and 18 could remain on the site during construction, although it would be a bit crowded. The other three will have to be moved. To move the three Chemistry laboratories and move 19 to the site now occupied by 18 would be one solution. Another might be to move all five. If so, where will X-17 and X-19 be located? The most logical place for the Chemistry laboratories would seem to be west of the south wing of the Science Building.

After a good bit of discussion, it was agreed that a committee comprised of Mr. Urbanovsky, Mr. Felty and Mr. Taylor would work with Dr. Dennis on his needs and the sites, with a recommendation to be made at the next meeting of the CPC.

Miss Clewell is requested to serve with the committee, as it will affect her operation.

### 3026. Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

#### Application

The application has been filed and the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare notified the College on April 30, 1965, that it had tentatively reserved the funds.

After a very lengthy discussion, it was agreed that the next step for the College is approval of the final plans and specifications by the Board of Directors in order to receive governmental approval.

The final elevations, perspectives, layouts, etc., can be available for the Board of Directors on May 29, 1965. The bidding specifications can be completed later. Again, it is imperative that the College move as rapidly as possible.

It was agreed that the architects will be requested to present the final plans and specifications, subject to completion as mentioned above, to the Building Committee on May 28, 1965.

A revised completion schedule could run as follows:

Completion of bidding documents for approval by Federal Government, August, 1965.

Approval, which runs as much as six months, February, 1966.

Bidding, April, 1966

Completion, June, 1967

It might be possible to have a faster schedule, providing governmental approval is received at an earlier date.

#### 3027. Dormitory and Dining Facilities (Project CH-Tex-150(D)

Units B and C (CPC Nos. 72-62 and 73-62) (H. A. Lott, Inc., \$2,788,420.40 - August 1, 1964, and \$3,513,215.13 - August 1, 1964)

#### 1. Fountains

The fountains are essentially in good shape, although a problem is still being experienced with the students apparently

1728

#### 3027. Dormitory and Dining Facilities (Project CH-Tex-150(D)

### Units B and C (CPC Nos. 72-62 and 73-62) (H. A. Lott, Inc., \$2,788,420.40 - August 1, 1964, and \$3,513,215.13 - August 1, 1964)

#### 1. Fountains (continued)

loosening the overflow pipe, but the results are not the fault of the contractor.

#### 2. Sunken Terrace (South of Snack Bar, Unit C)

A good bit of discussion was devoted to the sunken terrace. The plans are now complete with the exception of a few minor details which can be ironed out as the construction goes.

It was agreed that Mr. Downing would begin to order the material, with construction to start as soon as school is out.

#### 3028. Dormitory Expansion

#### New Project

It looks as if there is nothing for the CPC to do until the Board has made the policy decision as to whether or not the next unit or units will be on or off campus or a combination.

#### 3029. Greenhouse (Biology)

No additional information has been received on the Biology Greenhouses since the last meeting of the CPC.

Whether or not it would be wise to locate additional Biology Greenhouses in the area of the present one was again discussed. It was thought that it might be cheaper to move the Biology Greenhouse to a permanent greenhouse location which would include not only the facilities for Biology but also those for Agronomy, Horticulture and Entomology.

After a good bit of discussion, it was agreed to request Dean Thomas, Dr. Camp, Dr. Young and Mr. Urbanovsky to make a study of the needs and location and to let the CPC know its findings.

#### 3030. Housing (Other) and Food Service

#### Housing Office

Mr. Downing reported that the facilities will be ready for open house within a couple of weeks. All material is on hand and the finishing up is being done. He said that it looked as if the budgeted figure of \$33,000 will suffice.

#### 3031. Library (CPC No. 12-58)

#### Application

The deadline for requesting matching funds under the Higher Education Facilities Act is July 1, 1965. The Texas Commission on Higher Education has requested the College to delay the actual application until the space survey, which is under way at this time, can be completed.

The completion of the south basement and the third floor will be in keeping with the original design by the architects. 1729

#### 3032. Master Plan

Dean Burchard, who is Dean Emeritus of Humanities and Social Sciences at MIT, is to be on campus on the afternoon of May 18 until the afternoon of May 20, 1965.

According to the announcement from the President's office, Dr. Pearce is to present his eight-year study of the academic needs of the institution to the faculty of the College at a meeting on next Tuesday morning.

Plans need to be made to utilize Dean Burchard's talents as much as possible.

#### 3033. Museum

At the last meeting of the Board of Directors, the question of the extent of new Museum facilities was mentioned. Dr. Green has requested the CPC to place the question on the agenda for the next meeting to determine if the new facilities will be on a square foot or flat sum basis.

From time to time, the information on the source of funds to construct the Museum is needed. Copies have been made for the members of the Board, the West Texas Museum Association and the Administration: In order that the breakdown may be preserved in the official college records, a copy is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. (Attachment No. 573, page 1731)

#### 3034. Other Items

#### A. Southwestern Public Service Company Easement

The bill has passed both houses and is on the Governor's desk for signature.

#### B. Safety Precautions

The report has been completed, and it is recommended that personnel be employed to provide environmental health control and safety. A budget to implement the program will be prepared and presented.

#### C. Skiles Act

There is doubt that the College can afford the loss of income from the Skiles Act, as the legislative appropriation contained no provision to offset the loss of local income. As good as the appropriation is in several areas, it will still be difficult to fund some sections, and some contemplated programs may be abandoned.

#### D. Traffic Light at Flint and Fifteenth

The CPC agreed unanimously to endorse the request to activate the traffic signal at the corner of 15th and Flint.

#### 3035. Parking

#### Ports of Entry

After a very lengthy discussion, it was agreed that the CPC will again recommend ports of entry to the campus.

#### 3036. Wage Scale

Mr. Wehmeyer, as requested, has prepared a revised wage scale, but there has been no opportunity for a meeting to agree on a recommendation.

#### 3037. Will Rogers Statue

Lighting

The installation has been completed.

M. L. Pennington Chairman

The meeting adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

Campus Planning Committee May 15, 1965 Attachment No. 573 Item 3033

### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

Office of the Vice President for Business Affairs

May 14, 1965

#### MEMORANDUM

To: Dr. R. C. Goodwin

From time to time there is a need to know how the construction of the Museum has been financed. Mr. R. B. Price, Comptroller, was asked to analyze the total carrying value of the Museum to determine the sources of funds with which it was constructed. Following is his report, which covers the construction costs from the original buildings through the minor additions made up to August 31, 1964.

State Funds	\$207,963.59
Texas Centennial	24,573.61
Fresco (Hurd Mural)	34,715.87
Auxiliary Enterprises	348.03
Museum Association: Paid directly to the contractors when building completed	50,903.48
Paid for minor additions to building through College current restricted funds	5,510.79

If you need any additional information, please let me know.

M. L. Pennington Vice President for Business Affairs

\$324,095.37

#### MLP:b

cc: Mr. R. Wright Armstrong

Mr. J. Edd McLaughlin

Total Carrying Value 8-31-64

Mr. Herbert Allen

Mr. Alvin R. Allison

Mr. Manuel DeBusk

Mr. Roy Furr

Mr. Harold Hinn

Mr. Charles D. Mathews

Mr. Wilmer Smith

Dr. Earl Green, Curator of Collections

Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky

Mr. Nolan E. Barrick

Mr. O. R. Downing

Mr. Robert L. Mason

Mr. John G. Taylor

Mr. R. B. Price

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 245

May 26, 1965

A meeting of the Campus Planning Committee was held at 9 a.m. on May 26, 1965, in Room 120 of the Administration Building. Members present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky, Mr. Nolan E. Barrick and Chairman M. L. Pennington. Also present were Mr. O. R. Downing, Mr. R. L. Mason and Mr. John G. Taylor.

#### 3038. Approval of Minutes

On motion by Mr. Barrick, seconded by Mr. Urbanovsky, the Minutes of Meeting No. 244 were approved.

#### 3039. President's Approval of Minutes

President Goodwin approved the Minutes of Meeting No. 244 on May 19, 1965.

#### 3040. Agricultural Facilities (CPC No. 93-64)

#### Horse Facilities

At the suggestion of Dean Thomas, it was agreed to take no action until Dr. George F. Ellis, Jr., the new Head of the Department of Animal Husbandry, has had a chance to make his recommendations.

#### 3041. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

#### A. Application

A rough draft of the application was mailed to the National Science Foundation on May 22, 1965.

#### B. Plans

To this date, the architects have been instructed to proceed only far enough to prepare enough information for the preliminary application.

It was agreed to recommend to the Board that the architects be authorized to prepare the preliminary plans and specifications for presentation to the Board of Directors at the July, 1965 meeting, if one is held.

It will be necessary to have the final plans and specifications in order to file the formal application with the National Science Foundation.

#### C. X-Buildings on Site

On recommendation of the Special Committee, it was agreed to recommend that five X-buildings south of the Chemistry Building be moved to a site west of the south wing of the Science Building, all to be placed within the triangle formed by the sidewalks.

It is estimated that the cost to disconnect, move and reconnect the buildings would be \$2,200 per building or a total of \$11,000.

#### 3042. Classroom-Office Building (New) (CPC No. 79-63)

#### A. Application

The HHFA is reviewing the application. If it meets HHFA approval, the Department of Health, Education and Welfare in Washington, will make the grant. Instructions should then be received on how to proceed.

#### B. Plans

The architects have scheduled the presentation of final elevations, perspectives, layouts, etc., including recent changes, to the Building Committee of the Board of Directors on the afternoon of May 28, 1965.

#### 3043. <u>Dormitory Expansion</u>

Approximately two hours were devoted to the subject, with a rehash of all that has gone before with a few new developments.

Mr. Rakkind of the Washington HHFA office and Mr. Travis William Miller of the Fort Worth HHFA office, recently visited the campus. The HHFA is attempting to develop procedures to aid institutions with large enrollments and large expected enrollment increases. Some of the ideas are to reduce the bond sinking fund requirements from two years to one or perhaps to have no reserve other than the repair and replacement reserve, reduce interest rate to 3% (the bill has passed the Subcommittee of the Banking and Finance— Committee), some relief on movable equipment, reduce the coverage required from 1.35 to 1.25 or possibly 1.0, provide flexibility in overall loan arrangements, cooperate in long-range housing plan, etc. Texas Tech was urged to present its problems and plans to the HHFA and a desire was expressed to use Texas Tech as a guinea pig.

Off-campus, privately-financed housing vs on-campus, collegefinanced housing was discussed in detail. Among the topics discussed were cost to the students, quality of housing, operation,
location, speed of construction, standards of construction, problem of summer occupancy of off-campus housing, whether off-campus
housing should be for men or women or both, effect of new junior
and senior colleges on Texas Tech enrollment, effect of parietal
rule on off-campus housing needs for housing, effect of housing
on growth and curricula, the value to the college to provide
women's housing on campus, etc.

A letter dated May 26, 1965, from Mr. H. L. Burgess, Coordinator of Room Reservations, was read and is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. (Attachment No. 574, page 1737)

Mr. Burgess feels that the acceptance of reservation requests for women students for next fall should be cut off, as there are 900 over capacity now and more are still coming. There have never been more than 500 to 600 cancellations in one year in the past.

The question of trying to have approximately 1,000 additional oncampus spaces, probably for women, by September 1, 1966, was discussed extensively. By a majority vote, it was agreed not to recommend additional on-campus spaces for September 1, 1966.

After another lengthy discussion, it was agreed to recommend additional on-campus housing for September 1, 1967.

Two groups of private citizens have options on land across College Avenue now, and another group is waiting to see what action the Board takes at the May meeting. 1734

#### 3043. <u>Dormitory Expansion</u> (continued)

It is reported that one group wants to build some 400 spaces for men and the other wants to build approximately 900 spaces for men. All have been discouraged to plan for women's housing although they prefer it, as space can usually be rented to women at a higher price and maintenance is less. If the Board sanctions off-campus housing on May 29, 1965, the groups will have the housing available by September 1, 1966.

Depending on the action taken by the Board at the next meeting, it will be possible to know the action taken by the groups for the off-campus housing by the special meeting of the Board in July. If the private groups are to provide housing for men in 1966, it would be possible to divert one or more of the men's halls for women at that time.

Also, the plans for construction of on-campus housing for 1967, if any, could be decided at the July meeting.

In addition to the two groups mentioned, there are a number of other interested groups. There was discussion on the selection or approval of groups. It would be most difficult for the Board to select off-campus groups, and it probably would not wish to do so. How would approval be granted? It was agreed that probably the Board would "sanction" off-campus housing. Even then, how much would be sanctioned? Would it be an open-door policy with competition determining the quantity and perhaps the quality also? Could and if so, should the Board sanction a limited amount at this time?

#### 3044. Greenhouse (Biology)

#### Report of the Committee

Mr. Urbanovsky has met with Dean Thomas, Dr. Young and Dr. Camp and they have prepared a report on the central location of future greenhouses and consolidation.

It was the consensus of the group that it would be well to add only one unit, 30' x 60', at an approximate cost of \$3 per square foot, to the present Biology Greenhouse, for an immediate solution. It could be and would be moved in the future.

The Campus Planning Committee agreed.

Mr. Urbanovsky's report is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. (Attachment No. 575, page 1738)

#### 3045. Library (CPC No. 12-58)

#### Application

A space study has been requested by the Commission on Higher Education before the application is filed.

The students who are making the survey have been delayed by final examinations.

All other information for the application is ready. The deadline for filing is July 1, 1965.

#### 3046. Master Plan

Dean Burchard has visited the campus and said that he would write his report to President Goodwin.

1735

#### 3047. Museum

The Museum has requested the Board of Directors to make a decision as to whether or not the College's contributions to the new facilities will be made on a square foot or flat sum basis.

#### 3048. Other Items

#### A. Southwestern Public Service Company

#### Easement

The bill was signed by the Governor on May 18, 1965.

#### B. Traffic Light at Flint and Fifteenth Street

A request was made on May 17, 1965, by President Goodwin to the City, as recommended by the CPC, to activate the traffic signal in keeping with the original agreement. No reply has been received to date.

#### C. Paving at Athletic Stadium

The CPC voted to concur with the recommendation of the Athletic Council that the parking space north of the Stadium be paved.

Mr. Urbanovsky pointed out that if the work is done this summer, it will be necessary to have supervision. Mr. Dvoracek of the Agricultural Engineering staff, is going to do other supervision for the College during the summer and it was felt that he could supervise the paving there. It would be necessary, however, to prorate his salary in order to cover the cost of supervision.

It is recommended that the CPC, working with Dr. J. William Davis, be authorized to take bids, with the contract award to be made at the July Board Meeting.

#### D. Proposed Work at Stadium by Coca-Cola Company

The Athletic Council has recommended that additional concession stands be constructed on the east side, the existing east concession stands be remodeled, stands on the west side be constructed much the same as those on the east side and the central facility be converted into a food and concession area.

The Athletic Council has been working with Mr. Barrick. Coca-Cola proposes to do the work at no expense to the College if a new five-year concession contract can be renegotiated.

The CPC agreed to recommend the physical facilities, with the stipulation that the color of the stands be toned down to be more in harmony with the rest of the stadium, subject to a study of the contract renegotation and financial arrangements by others. The cost of the stands could run as much as \$20,000.

#### E. Slurry Seal

A study indicates that it will be necessary to put slurry seal on some of the parking lots. The slurry seal will actually be installed after school is out at the end of the summer and a recommendation for a specific contract award will be made to the Board of Directors at the July meeting.

#### 3049. Parking

#### Ports of Entry

Mr. Urbanovsky and his staff have made some additional plans of the ports of entry.

After discussion, it was agreed to go ahead with the recommendation to the Board of Directors for ports of entry. Additional study will need to be made before the plans are finalized if the Board gives sanction to the idea of control points.

M. L. Pennington Chairman

The meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

Campus Planning Committee May 26, 1965 Attachment No. 574 Item 3043

### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

Office of Room Reservations

May 26, 1965

Mr. M. L. Pennington Vice President for Business Affairs Campus

Dear Mr. Pennington:

As per your request I am sending you some information that may be useful in making a decision for more on-campus housing for students.

Room applications by new students as of April 30, 1965, for fall 1965 compared to the same date last year.

	1964	1965	Increase
Men Women	1381 1618	1900 2290	37.6%
			42.56
Total	2999	4190	39.7%

According to experience in the past it is possible we may have enough cancellations by July 31, 1965, (the deadline to cancel) to absorb the waiting list but any applicants for the next  $3\frac{1}{2}$  months may have to look elsewhere for housing. It is very doubtful if we will have 900 girls to change their minds.

It seems we have had a larger percent living in the halls to indicate they plan to return this fall than in previous years. July 31st will tell us this.

We will have 3526 spaces for men for fall 1965. As of this date all spaces are filled with an unassigned paid waiting list of 650. We will have 3619 spaces for women for fall 1965. As of this date we are filled with a paid waiting list of 496 freshmen and 275 transfers. Added to this are 129 upperclassmen living on campus at this time waiting for assignment through expected cancellations. This makes a total of 900 unassigned women on our paid waiting list.

U916 77.4 900

Where do we go for fall 1966 if we have the same increase in enrollment for girls that we have had the last four years? New apartments in town will help the situation some for boys but not the girls.

Yours truly,

/s/H. L. Burgess

H. L. Burgess Supervisor of Room Reservations

HLB:jb(b)

Campus Planning Committee May 26, 1965 Attachment No. 575 Item 3044

#### Report of Committee on Greenhouse Facilities

May 18, 1965

Dean Thomas, Dr. Young, Dr. Camp and E. J. Urbanovsky met in

Dean Thomas' office regarding the greenhouse facilities that would be con
structed on the Texas Tech campus at this time and in the future.

The problems discussed were, first, if production greenhouses were built on the campus, it was the consensus of opinion that greenhouses of this type would be located west of the freeway or in general, in the rural area of the campus. The material produced would have to be transported to the classes involved, which we feel at this time would be probably Biology in the 100 level.

Research greenhouses such as would be assigned to genetics, entomology, and biology and other plant science courses, should be located adjacent to the departments concerned.

All levels of horticulture courses taught that use the greenhouse as laboratory space, which at this time are approximately 20 labs, should be located adjacent to or nearby the other classroom areas where horticulture is taught.

All biology labs of the 200 level that require greenhouse space should be located adjacent to the other biology facilities. The present temporary greenhouse now being considered should, we feel, be built west of the present biology greenhouse.

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

AGENDA FOR THE JOINT MEETING
OF THE CAMPUS AND BUILDING COMMITTEE AND CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE
TO BE HELD AT 4:30 P.M. IN THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
MAY 28, 1965

3050. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

A. Preliminary Plans and Specifications

B. X-Buildings

Consider the recommendation of the CPC to move all five X-Buildings on the site to a new site west of the south wing of the Science Building into the triangular area formed by walks. The estimated cost is \$2,200 for each building or a total of \$11,000, the amount to be charged to the item for site clearance of the Chemical Research Building.

3051 Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

The final plans and specifications must be available to complete the application to the HHFA for matching funds under the Higher Education Facilities Act and it is imperative that the College be ready to move as rapidly as possible. The Board of Directors has authorized the filing of the application.

Consider the presentation of the architects, represented by Mr. Russell Phelps, for the final plans and specifications, with the mechanical details and bidding documents to be completed at a later date.

tails and bidding documents to be completed at a later date.

al for cology appears lype of archy curves to be surprised to be surprised to live services to live se

A. Methods of Financing

At the last meeting of the Board, it was agreed to consider on-campus and off-campus housing at this meeting.

and off-campus housing at this meeting.

On-Campus Housing

If there is to be on-campus housing, the CPC would like to recommend additional housing on campus, to be completed by September 1, 1967, probably for women, at the July meeting of the Board of Directors.

OK

ok

3052.

Student

shirt.

#### 3053. Greenhouse (Biology)

9/-

Consider the recommendation of the CPC to add one unit,  $30' \times 60'$ , to the existing Biology Greenhouse, at an estimated cost of \$3 per square foot, the amount to be paid from Unappropriated Balances.

It is recommended that the addition be installed during the summer, if at all possible, in order that it may be ready for classwork in the fall when it is desperately needed.

It is further recommended that the Building Committee be authorized to approve the contract award between meetings of the Board.

#### 3054. Master Plan

SJR 24, 10¢ ad valorem tax, effective 1966, 17 institutions, 12 years duration.

all mould - up 2 year.

#### 3055. Museum

1

S

Consider Museum Board of Directors request for clarification as to the Amethod to be used by the College in replacing the existing building.

The question is whether or not the replacement should be determined on a square foot or flat sum basis.

ir ness tenie - &

#### 3056. Other Items

ok

#### A. Paving at Stadium (Athletics)

Consider the recommendation of the Athletic Council and the CPC to pave the space at the north of the Stadium, with bids to be taken in time for presentation to the Board at the July meeting.

#### B. Concessions (Athletics)

#### 1. Facilities

υK

Consider the recommendation of the Athletic Council and the CPC that additional concession stands be constructed under the east side of the Stadium, the existing east concession stands to be remodeled, stands under the west side to be constructed much the same as those on the east side, and the central food facility be converted into a food and concession area, with the work to be done by the Coca-Cola Bottling Company.

7

#### 3056. Other Items (continued)

#### B. Concessions (Athletics)

#### 2. Contract

Oly

The estimated cost for the new concession stands is \$20,000 and Coca-Cola proposes that its contract be renegotiated for an additional five years, beginning September 1, 1966, under the same terms and conditions with the exception that the construction will be at no cost to the Athletic Department.

#### C. Practice Fields

The practice fields to the south of the Coliseum and north of the

the Athletic Department.

Dr. James W. Kitchen, Superintendent of Grounds, prepared the plans and specifications at the request of the Athletic Department. The estimated cost is approximately \$5,000, the amount to be paid by

track area have become extremely hard and uneven, and it is con-

It is imperative that the renovation be started as soon as possible in order to grow a healthy turf during the summer so it will withstand the vigorous use during the fall and spring.

It looks as if it will be necessary to have some contracting done in connection with the work, but the exact extent is not known at this time. It is recommended that the project be approved and the Building Committee be authorized to approve the necessary contractual arrangements between meetings of the Board of Directors.

2057. Ports of Entry "Scienting Motions" (Control Facility)

Consider the recommendation of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry. Control Stations

approved concept of "Israelling Stations"

Stations" \*\* \*\*Euclib complete plans to be

presented to Bank of coinceture

of later late July meeting for for the establishments of presented to Bank of coinceture

of later late July meeting for for the establishments of ports of entry.

Approved to Bank of the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the later of the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the CPC for the establishments of ports of entry.

In the control of the

#### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 246

May 28, 1965

A meeting of the Campus and Building Committee of the Board of Directors and the Campus Planning Committee was held at 7 p.m. on May 28, 1965, in the Office of the President.

Members of the Building Committee present were Mr. Wilmer Smith, Chairman, Mr. Herbert Allen and Mr. Harold Hinn. Other members of the Board of Directors in attendance were Chairman R. Wright Armstrong, Mr. Alvin R. Allison, Mr. Manuel DeBusk, Mr. Roy Furr, Sr., and Mr. Charles D. Mathews.

Members of the Campus Planning Committee present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky, Mr. Nolan E. Barrick and Chairman M. L. Pennington. Others present from the College were President R. C. Goodwin, Dr. W. M. Pearce, Mr. J. Roy Wells, Mr. Robert L. Mason, Mr. O. R. Downing, Mr. John G. Taylor, Mr. R. B. Price, and Mr. Guy J. Moore.

In order that the results of the meeting of the Board of Directors may be included in the Campus Planning Committee Minutes for record purposes, the action taken by the Board at the meeting on May 29, 1965, will follow that of the Campus and Building Committee for each item.

#### 3050. Chemical Research Building (CPC No. 87-64)

#### A. Preliminary Plans and Specifications

Authorized the architects to prepare preliminary plans and specifications for presentation at the July meeting.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### B. X-Buildings

Approved the moving of all five X-Buildings on the site to a new site west of the south wing of the Science Building into the triangular area formed by the walks, at an estimated cost of \$2,200 for each building, the amount to be charged to the site clearance of the Chemical Research Building.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

### 3051. Classroom-Office Building (New) (Foreign Languages and Mathematics) (CPC No. 79-63)

Approved the final plans and specifications as presented by Mr. Russell Phelps with the mechanical details and bidding documents to be completed at a later date.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3052. Dormitory Expansion

#### A. Method of Financing

Approved off-campus dormitories for men to be constructed by private capital with the stipulation that the College will write regulations under which private capital will be allowed to build off-campus housing for men under conditions which will enable the College to approve the housing.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3052. Dormitory Expansion (continued)

#### B. On-Campus Housing

Approved the recommendation for additional on-campus housing for women to be completed by September 1, 1967.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3053. Greenhouse (Biology)

Approved the recommendation to add one unit, 30' x 60', to the existing Biology Greenhouse at an estimated cost of \$3 per square foot, the amount to be paid from the Unappropriated Balances, and authorized the Building Committee to approve the contract award between meetings.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3054. Master Plan

A report on SJR 24 was given and in order that it may be of record it is included here.

The House and the Senate passed SJR  $2^4$  last Tuesday. It increases the ad valorem tax for college buildings from  $5\phi$  to  $10\phi$ , raised the number of participating institutions from 12 to 17, and the effective date is 1966.

The Constitutional Amendment must be passed by the people of Texas in November, 1965, in order for it to take effect.

#### 3055. Museum

The square footage of the present Museum is to be replaced on a square footage basis. There are 29,044 gross square feet, 28,266 net square feet and the total carrying value as of August 31, 1964, was \$324,095.37.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3056. Other Items

#### A. Paving at Stadium (Athletics)

Approved the recommendation to pave the space at the north of the Stadium, with bids to be taken in time for presentation at the meeting of the Board in July.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### B. Concessions (Athletics)

#### 1. Facilities

Approved the recommendation that additional concession stands be constructed under the east side of the Stadium, the existing east concession stands be remodeled, stands under the west side be constructed much the same as those on the east side, and the central food facility be converted into a food and concession area, with the work to be done by the Coca-Cola Bottling Company.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3056. Other Items (continued)

#### B. Concessions (Athletics)

#### 2. Contract

Approved the renegotiation of the concession contract with Coca-Cola for an additional five years beginning September 1, 1966, under the same terms and conditions with the exception that the construction mentioned in the preceding paragraph will be done by Coca-Cola and at no cost to the Athletic Department.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### C. Practice Fields

Approved the renovation of the practice fields south of the Coliseum and north of the track area at an estimated cost of \$5,000, the amount to be paid by the Athletic Department.

Dr. James W. Kitchen, Superintendent of Grounds, has prepared the plans and specifications and the Building Committee was authorized to approve necessary contractual arrangements between meetings.

(The Board of Directors approved.)

#### 3057. Ports of Entry

The action taken by the Board of Directors is that the Traffic and Security Commission is to make a detailed study and present it at the next meeting.

M. L. Pennington Chairman

The meeting adjourned at 9 p.m.

1742

### TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE Lubbock, Texas

#### MINUTES OF THE CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 247

June 5, 1965

A called meeting of the Campus Planning Committee was held at 10 a.m. on June 5, 1965, in the Faculty Club. Members present were Mr. E. J. Urbanovsky, Mr. Nolan E. Barrick and Chairman M. L. Pennington.

As Mr. Barrick was leaving town to be gone for approximately a month, the meeting was called in order to discuss the building program possibly facing the College in the very near future. If the Constitutional Building Amendment passes next November, it is possible that Texas Tech could have a building program from all funds in the next two years ranging anywhere from \$15 to \$20 or even more million dollars.

The present operation of the Campus Planning Committee is completely inadequate to cope with a project of such magnitude. The Campus Planning Committee has become little more than a housekeeping group and it will be necessary to eliminate the housekeeping duties if the CPC is to handle the next building program.

Some big, bold plans will be necessary and every possible effort should be expended in an attempt to get the maximum amount of value from the funds which will be available. It will be necessary to start with some broad outlines under which the work will proceed. A great deal of capable help, time and dedicated work will be required.

It would be helpful if the Building Committee of the Board could meet more often in the future in connection with the program, and for longer periods of time; perhaps some lasting a day or so.

The present members of the Campus Planning Committee cannot possibly handle the magnitude of duties which will be involved and continue the work loads now in effect. The loads of the offices have increased some two to three hundred percent since the Campus Planning Committee was organized and attempts are still being made to conduct the business of the Committee under the same procedures. Such is impossible and unless drastic changes are made, it will be useless to attempt to handle the projects.

It probably will be necessary to set up separate offices for projects or series of projects, manned by capable outside help. The procedures should be set out in a broad outline, starting with the inception of the idea and lasting until final acceptance. It is essential to know who will program and design the projects. Definite procedures for the supervision of construction, time schedules, and overall communications must be developed, set out and adhered to.

It was agreed that it will be necessary to broaden the CPC by getting additional people involved. A small Project Building Committee from the faculty probably will be necessary.

#### 3058. Building Program - Future

The following broad items were agreed on as it will not be possible to have the benefit of a master plan:

#### I. Priority List

- A. The priority list will affect the entire college and shape its future, and it must be most carefully prepared.
- B. A decision should be made very soon on guidelines to follow and the group to prepare the list for recommendation to the President and Board. It was not felt that this is a CPC function in view of past actions.

#### 3058. Building Program - Future

#### I. <u>Priority List</u> (continued)

- C. Specific needs should be developed, probably by academic programs. Statistical study and analyses would be the first steps.
- D. Physical determinations should be made for broad projects by priority or by group of priorities.

#### II. Implementation

#### A. Programming

The following programming would have to be done:

- 1. Functional aspects.
- Size, number of square feet, number of students, height in general, density of student use, etc.
- 3. Site.
- 4. Scope, concept and degree of quality and usage.
- Get project committees at this stage. The members should be carefully selected and not all from the department involved.

#### B. Design

- Employ project architects and put them to work.
   The entire program would be spelled out for them and liaison with the College would be established.
- This section would include all steps to contract awards.

#### C. Construction

This would start with groundbreaking and end with the final acceptance and final report on each project, which would list the firms and people involved, change orders, philosophy, dates of acceptance, floor plan, size, cost per square foot, etc., to be preserved for future use.

#### D. Campus Planning Committee

The CPC probably would be the coordinating group for all the projects and the liaison group to the President and the Board. Any other duties by the CPC probably would be impossible to fulfill. The regular duties of the members have multiplied greatly over the years. The three members cannot handle the building phase in the manner as in the past. The scope must be broadened and more people must be included.

Attempts are still being made to do things in the same old way and to continue to "poor boy" the operation and construction. It is going to be necessary for us to raise the sights, hire very competent help, coordinate the entire operation and move rapidly, efficiently and positively. Every possible effort must be made to derive the maximum benefit from the funds available in the next building program.

### 3058. Building Program - Future

#### III. Side Effects

#### Campus Operation

The myriad of side effects as parking, pedestrians, traffic, landscaping, etc., should be considered at the same time.

M. L. Pennington Chairman

The meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m.